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Abstract
The purpose of this paper, is to study the algebraic nature of an $L$-fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring. Here we have defined the structure $L$-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring and developed some of its basic properties as theorems.
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1. Introduction
The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh. Then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, social science, graph theory etc. In 1999 Molodtsov presented the soft set and established the crucial consequences of the new theory. Many analysis have contributed towards the fuzzification of the idea of the Fuzzy soft set. In specific, nearrings and a few sorts of semirings have been demonstrated extremely helpful. Semirings called Hemiring which are regarded as a generalization of Rings have been found useful in solving problems in different areas of applied Mathematics and information sciences because a semiring provides an algebraic framework for modeling and studying the factor in these applied areas. They play important role in studying of automata theory formal language theory. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [13], several researchers explored on the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets. M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, [5] were developed some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the basic notion of $L$-Fuzzy soft sets and the author investigate the characterizations of $L$-Fuzzy soft sets and basic Properties are introduced.

2. Preliminaries
In this session $R$ is said to be a hemiring if it is an addition have defined some basic definitions which is needed for our further studies.

Definition 2.1. A pair $(F, E)$ is called a soft set (over $U$) if $F$ is a mapping of $E$ into the set of all subsets of the set $U$. In other words, the soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set $U$. Every set $F(\varepsilon)(\varepsilon \in E)$ from this family maybe considered as the set of $\varepsilon$-elements of the soft sets $(F, E)$ or as the set of $\varepsilon$-approximate elements of the soft set.

Definition 2.2. Let $(U, E)$ be a soft univerer and $A \subseteq E$. Let $\mathcal{F}(U)$ be the set of all fuzzy subsets in $U$. A pair $(\tilde{F}, A)$ is called a fuzzy soft set over $U$, where $\tilde{F}$, is a mapping given by $\tilde{F} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $L = (L, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1.

Definition 2.4. Let $R$ be a hemiring. An $L$-Fuzzy soft subset $(F, A)$ of $R$ is said to be an $L$-Fuzzy soft subhemiring (FSHR) of $R$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
Theorem 3.1. If $(F, A)$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +)$, then $(F, A)$ is an $L$-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of $R$.

Proof. Let $(F, A)$ be an $L$–fuzzy soft set subhemiring of a hemiring $R$. Consider $(F, A) = \{(x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x))\}$, for all $x$ in $R$, we take $(F, A) = (F, B) = \{(x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x), \chi_{(F,B)}(x+y))\}$, where $\mu_{(F,B)}(x) = \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(y)$, for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$ and $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(y)$, for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. Since $A$ is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of $R$, we have $\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(y)$, for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$, and $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(y)$ for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. Hence $(F, B) = (F, A)$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $R$.

Theorem 3.2. If $(F, A)$ is an $L$-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +)$, then $(F, A)$ is an $L$-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of $R$.

Proof. Let $(F, A)$ be an $L$–fuzzy soft set subhemiring of a hemiring $R$. That is $(F, A) = \{(x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x))\}$, for all $x$ in $R$. Let $(F, A) = (F, B) = \{(x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x, \chi_{(F,B)(xy)}))\}$, for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. Since $(F, A)$ is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of $R$, which implies that $1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \leq 1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(y)$

Therefore

$$\mu_{(F,B)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \leq \mu_{(F,B)}(y)$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. Hence $(F, B) = (F, A)$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $R$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(R, +)$ be a hemiring and $(F, A)$ be a non empty subset of $R$. Then $(F, A)$ is a subhemiring of $R$ if and only if $(F, B) = \{(x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x, \chi_{(F,A)}(x+y)))\}$ is a $L$-fuzzy soft subhemiring of $R$, where $\chi_{(F,A)}$ is the characteristic function.

Proof. Let $(R, +)$ be a hemiring and $(F, A)$ be a non empty subset of $R$. First let $(F, A)$ be a subhemiring of $R$. Take $x$ and $y$ in $R$.

Case 1: If $x$ and $y$ in $(F, A)$, then $x+y, xy$ in $(F, A)$, since $(F, A)$ is a subhemiring of $R$,

$$\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1$$

and

$$\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \geq \min\{(x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x))\}$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \geq \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \chi_{(F,A)}(y)$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. So

$$\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq \chi_{(F,A)}(x) \chi_{(F,A)}(y)$$

for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. Hence $(F, A)$ is an $L$-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $R$.
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.

**Case 2** If $x \in (F,A)$, $y$ not in $(F,A)$ (or $x$ not in $(F,A)$, $y$ in $(F,A)$), then $x + y, xy$ may or may not be in $(F,A)$,
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1,
\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 0 \quad \text{(or)}
\]
\[
x_{(F,A)}(x) = 0,
\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1,
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1 \text{ (or) } 0
\]
and
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0,
\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1 \quad \text{(or)}
\]
\[
x_{(F,A)}(x) = 1,
\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 0
\]
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 0 \text{ (or) } 1.
\]
Clearly
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) \geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \land \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \land \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) \leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.

**Case 3** If $x$ and $y$ not in $(F,A)$, then $x + y, xy$ in $(F,A)$, since $(F,A)$ is a subhemiring of $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(y)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(x + y)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
\]
\[
= 1 \text{ or } 0.
\]
and
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(x + y)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
\]
\[
= 0 \text{ or } 1.
\]
Clearly
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) \geq \min \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \land \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. So
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) \leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$.
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
for all $x$ and $y$ in $R$. So in all the three cases, we have $B$ is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of $(F,A)$. Conversely, let $x$ and $y$ in $(F,A)$, since $(F,A)$ is $(F,A)$ non empty subset of $R$. And,
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(y)
\]
\[
= 1,
\]
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x)
\]
\[
= \chi_{(F,A)}(y)
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
Since
\[
B = \left\{ \chi_{(F,A)}, \overline{\chi_{(F,A)}} \right\}
\]
is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of $R$, we have
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y)
\]
\[
\geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \land \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
\[
= \{1 \land 1\}
\]
\[
= 1,
\]
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
\]
\[
\geq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
\[
= \{1 \land 1\}
\]
\[
= 1.
\]
Therefore
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1
\]
and,
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(x + y)
\]
\[
\leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
\[
= \{0 \lor 0\}
\]
\[
= 0,
\]
\[
\chi_{(F,A)}(xy)
\]
\[
\leq \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \lor \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}
\]
\[
= \{0 \lor 0\}
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
which implies that

Let \( \chi_{(F,A)}(x + y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 0. \)

Therefore, \( x + y \) and \( xy \) in \( (F,A) \). Hence \( (F,A) \) is a subhemiring of \( R \).

We denote the composition of operations by \( \circ \) for the following:

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( (F,A) \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \( H \) and \( f \) is an isomorphism from a hemiring \( R \) onto \( H \). Then \( (F,A) \circ f \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of \( R \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \) and \( y \) in \( R \) and \( (F,A) \) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \( H \). Then we have,

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x + y) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x + y)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x) + f(y)),
\]

as \( f \) is an homomorphism

\[
\geq \{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \land \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\},
\]

which implies that

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x + y) \geq \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.
\]

And

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)f(y)),
\]

as \( f \) is an isomorphism

\[
\geq \{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \land \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\},
\]

which implies that

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy) \geq \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.
\]

Therefore \( (F,A) \circ f \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \( R \).

\[\blacksquare\]

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( (F,A) \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \( H \) and \( f \) is an anti-isomorphism from a hemiring \( R \) onto \( H \). Then \( (F,A) \circ f \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of \( R \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \) and \( y \) in \( R \) and \( (F,A) \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \( H \). Then we have

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x + y) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x + y)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y) + f(x)),
\]

as \( f \) is an anti-isomorphism

\[
\geq \{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \land \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\},
\]

which implies that

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x + y) \geq \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.
\]

And

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y)f(x)),
\]

as \( f \) is an anti-isomorphism

\[
\geq \{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)) \land \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\},
\]

which implies that

\[
(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy) \geq \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \land (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.
\]

Therefore \( (F,A) \circ f \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \( R \).

\[\blacksquare\]
Now,

\[
((a_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \\
geq p(a)\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} \\
= \{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \wedge p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} \\
= \{((a_{(F,A)})^p)(x) \wedge ((a_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
((a_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) \\
geq \{((a_{(F,A)})^p)(x) \wedge ((a_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}.
\]

Hence \((a(F,A))^p\) is an \(L\)-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring \(R\).
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