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Abstract

We establish a common tripled fixed point theorem for hybrid pair of mappings under generalized Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction. It is to be noted that to find tripled coincidence point, we do not employ the condition of continuity of any mapping involved therein. An example is also given to validate our result. We improve, extend and generalize several known results.
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1 Introduction

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $CB(X)$ be the set of all non empty closed bounded subsets of $X$. Let $D(x, A)$ denote the distance from $x$ to $A \subset X$ and $H$ denote the Hausdorff metric induced by $d$, that is,

\begin{align*}
D(x, A) &= \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a) \\
H(A, B) &= \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} D(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} D(b, A) \right\}, \text{ for all } A, B \in CB(X).
\end{align*}

Nadler \cite{27} extended the famous Banach Contraction Principle \cite{9} from single-valued mapping to multi-valued mapping. Then after several authors studied the existence of fixed points for various multi-valued contractive mappings under different conditions. For more details, see \cite{11, 12, 4, 15, 16, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 30} and the reference therein. The theory of multi-valued mappings has application in control theory, convex optimization, differential inclusion and economics.

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham \cite{12} established some coupled fixed point theorems and applied these to study the existence and uniqueness of solution for periodic boundary value problems. Lakshmikantham and Ciric \cite{24} proved coupled coincidence and common coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractive mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces and extended the results of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham \cite{12}.

Berinde and Borcut \cite{10} introduced the concept of tripled fixed point for single valued mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. In \cite{10}, Berinde and Borcut established the existence of tripled fixed point of single-valued mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. Samet and Vetro \cite{28} introduced the notion of fixed point of $N$ order in case of single-valued mappings. In particular for $N=3$ (tripled case), we have the following definition:
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Definition 1.1. Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $F : X \times X \times X \to X$ be a given mapping. An element $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called a tripled fixed point of the mapping $F$ if
\[ F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, z, x) = y \text{ and } F(z, x, y) = z. \]

For more details on coupled and tripled fixed point theory, see [3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20]. Very recently Samet et al. [29] claimed that most of the coupled fixed point theorems in the setting of single-valued mappings on ordered metric spaces are consequences of well-known fixed point theorems.

Tripled fixed point theory to multi-valued mappings were extended by Deshpande et al. [19] and obtained tripled coincidence point and common tripled fixed point theorems involving hybrid pair of mappings under generalized nonlinear contraction. Very few authors established coupled and tripled fixed point theorems for hybrid pair of mappings including [11, 2, 19, 25].

In [19], Deshpande et al. introduced the following for multi-valued mappings:

Definition 1.2. Let $X$ be a non empty set, $F : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ (a collection of all non empty subsets of $X$) and $g$ be a self-mapping on $X$. An element $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called
1. a tripled fixed point of $F$ if $x \in F(x, y, z), y \in F(y, z, x)$ and $z \in F(z, x, y)$.
2. a tripled coincidence point of hybrid pair $\{F, g\}$ if $g(x) \in F(x, y, z), g(y) \in F(y, z, x)$ and $g(z) \in F(z, x, y)$.
3. a common tripled fixed point of hybrid pair $\{F, g\}$ if $x = g(x) \in F(x, y, z), y = g(y) \in F(y, z, x)$ and $z = g(z) \in F(z, x, y)$.

We denote the set of tripled coincidence points of mappings $F$ and $g$ by $C(F, g)$. Note that if $(x, y, z) \in C(F, g)$, then $(y, z, x)$ and $(z, x, y)$ are also in $C(F, g)$.

Definition 1.3. Let $F : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ be a multi-valued mapping and $g$ be a self-mapping on $X$. The hybrid pair $\{F, g\}$ is called $w$-compatible if $g(F(x, y, z)) \subseteq F(gx, gy, gz)$ whenever $(x, y, z) \in C(F, g)$.

Definition 1.4. Let $F : X \times X \times X \to 2^X$ be a multi-valued mapping and $g$ be a self-mapping on $X$. The mapping $g$ is called $F$–weakly commuting at some point $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ if $g^2x \in F(gx, gy, gz), g^2y \in F(gy, gz, gx)$ and $g^2z \in F(gz, gx, gy)$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. Then, for each $a \in X$ and $B \in CB(X)$, there is $b_0 \in B$ such that $D(a, B) = d(a, b_0)$, where $D(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b)$.

Mizoguchi and Takahashi [26] proved the following generalization of Nadler’s fixed point theorem for a weak contraction:

Theorem 1.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping. Assume that
\[ H(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y))d(x, y), \]
for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi$ is a function from $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfying $\lim\sup_{s \to t^+} \psi(s) < 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then $T$ has a fixed point.


In this paper, we prove a common tripled fixed point theorem for hybrid pair of mappings under generalized Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction. We improve and generalize the results of Amini-Harandi and O’Regan [4], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [12], Ciric et al. [13], Du [20], Harjani et al. [21] and Mizoguchi and Takahashi [26]. It is to be noted that to find tripled coincidence point, we do not employ the condition of continuity of any mapping involved therein. An example validate to our result has also been given.
2 Main results

Let $\Phi$ denote the set of all functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying

(i) $\varphi$ is non-decreasing,

(ii) $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$,

(iii) $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^2} < \infty$.

Let $\Psi$ denote the set of all functions $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ which satisfies $\lim_{r \to t^+} \psi(r) < 1$ for all $t \geq 0$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist some $\varphi \in \Phi$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$
\varphi \left( H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \right) \\
\leq \psi \left( \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gw), d(gz, gw)\} \right] \right) \\
\times \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gw), d(gz, gw)\} \right],
$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Furthermore, assume that $F(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a complete subset of $X$. Then $F$ and $g$ have a tripled coincidence point. Moreover, $F$ and $g$ have a common tripled fixed point, if one of the following conditions holds:

(a) $F$ and $g$ are $w$-compatible. $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = u$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n y = v$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n z = w$ for some $(x, y, z) \in C \{F, g\}$ and for some $u, v, w \in X$ and $g$ is continuous at $u, v$ and $w$.

(b) $g$ is $F$-weakly commuting for some $(x, y, z) \in C \{F, g\}$, $gx, gy$ and $gz$ are fixed points of $g$, that is, $g^2 x = gx$, $g^2 y = gy$ and $g^2 z = gz$.

(c) $g$ is continuous at $x, y$ and $z$. $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n u = x$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n v = y$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n w = z$ for some $(x, y, z) \in C \{F, g\}$ and for some $u, v, w \in X$.

(d) $g(\{C \{F, g\}\})$ is a singleton subset of $C \{F, g\}$.

**Proof.** Let $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Then $F(x_0, y_0, z_0), F(y_0, z_0, x_0)$ and $F(z_0, x_0, y_0)$ are well defined. Choose $g x_1 \in F(x_0, y_0, z_0), g y_1 \in F(y_0, z_0, x_0)$ and $g z_1 \in F(z_0, x_0, y_0)$, because $F(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$. Since $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$, therefore by Lemma 1.1 there exist $u_1 \in F(x_1, y_1, z_1), u_2 \in F(y_1, z_1, x_1)$ and $u_3 \in F(z_1, x_1, y_1)$ such that

$$
d(gx_1, u_1) \leq H(F(x_0, y_0, z_0), F(x_1, y_1, z_1)),
$$

$$
d(gy_1, u_2) \leq H(F(y_0, z_0, x_0), F(y_1, z_1, x_1)),
$$

$$
d(gz_1, u_3) \leq H(F(z_0, x_0, y_0), F(z_1, x_1, y_1)).
$$

Since $F(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, there exist $x_2, y_2, z_2 \in X$ such that $u_1 = gx_2, u_2 = gy_2$ and $u_3 = gz_2$. Thus

$$
d(gx_1, gx_2) \leq H(F(x_0, y_0, z_0), F(x_1, y_1, z_1)),
$$

$$
d(gy_1, gy_2) \leq H(F(y_0, z_0, x_0), F(y_1, z_1, x_1)),
$$

$$
d(gz_1, gz_2) \leq H(F(z_0, x_0, y_0), F(z_1, x_1, y_1)).
$$

Continuing this process, we obtain sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in $X$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $gx_{n+1} \in F(x_n, y_n, z_n), gy_{n+1} \in F(y_n, z_n, x_n)$ and $gz_{n+1} \in F(z_n, x_n, y_n)$ such that

$$
d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq H(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n, z_n)),
$$

$$
d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq H(F(y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, z_n, x_n)),
$$

$$
d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) \leq H(F(z_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(z_n, x_n, y_n)),
$$

which implies, by $(i)$, we have

$$
\varphi \left( d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \right) \\
\leq \varphi \left( H(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n, z_n)) \right) \\
\leq \psi \left( \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right] \right) \\
\times \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right],
$$
which, by the fact that \( \varphi < 1 \), implies
\[
\varphi (d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) \leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
(2.2)
Similarly
\[
\varphi (d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})) \leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
(2.3)
and
\[
\varphi (d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})) \leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
(2.4)
Combining (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get
\[
\max \{\varphi (d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})), \varphi (d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})), \varphi (d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}))\} \leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
Since \( \varphi \) is non-decreasing, it follows that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right) = \delta.
\]
(2.5)
Since \( \varphi \in \Psi \), we have \( \lim_{r \to \delta} \varphi (r) < 1 \) and \( \psi (\delta) < 1 \). Then there exist \( \alpha \in [0, 1) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( \varphi (r) \leq \alpha \) for all \( r \in [\delta, \delta + \varepsilon) \). From (2.6), we can take \( n_0 \) such that \( \delta \leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n_0}, gx_{n_0+1}), d(gy_{n_0}, gy_{n_0+1}), d(gz_{n_0}, gz_{n_0+1})\} \right) \leq \delta + \varepsilon \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \). Then from (2.1) and (i_q), for all \( n \geq n_0 \), we have
\[
\varphi (d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) \leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right) \times \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right) \leq \alpha \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
Thus, for all \( n \geq n_0 \), we have
\[
\varphi (d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) \leq \alpha \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
(2.7)
Similarly, for all \( n \geq n_0 \), we have
\[
\varphi (d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})) \leq \alpha \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right),
\]
(2.8)
and
\[
\varphi (d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})) \leq \alpha \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
(2.9)
Combining (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), for all \( n \geq n_0 \), we get
\[
\max \{\varphi (d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})), \varphi (d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})), \varphi (d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}))\} \leq \alpha \varphi \left( \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right).
\]
Since $\varphi$ is non-decreasing, for all $n \geq n_0$, it follows that

$$\varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} \right] \leq a \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} \right], \quad (2.10)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in $(2.10)$ and using $(2.6)$, we obtain that $\delta \leq a \delta$. Since $a \in [0, 1)$, therefore $\delta = 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} \right] = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Since $\{\varphi \max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\}\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and $\varphi$ is non-decreasing, then $\max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\}$ is also a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers. This implies that there exists $\theta \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} = \theta.$$

Since $\varphi$ is non-decreasing, we have

$$\varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} \right] \geq \varphi[\theta]. \quad (2.12)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in $(2.12)$, by using $(2.11)$, we get $0 \geq \varphi[\theta]$, which, by $(ii \varphi)$, implies $\theta = 0$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Suppose that $\max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then, we have $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0, d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) = 0$ and $d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}) = 0$ which implies that $gx_n = gx_{n+1} \in F(x_n, y_n, z_n)$, $gy_n = gy_{n+1} \in F(y_n, z_n, x_n)$ and $gz_n = gz_{n+1} \in F(z_n, x_n, y_n)$, that is, $(x_n, y_n, z_n)$ is a triple coincidence point of $F$ and $g$. Now, suppose that $\max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} \neq 0$, for all $n \geq 0$. Denote

$$a_n = \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} \right], \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

From $(2.10)$, we have $a_n \leq a a_{n-1}$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} a_n + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} a^{n-n_0} a_{n_0} < \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

On the other hand, by $(iii \varphi)$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} \right] < \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Thus, by $(2.14)$ and $(2.15)$, we have $\sum \max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1})\} < \infty$. It means that $\{gx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{gy_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{gz_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequences in $g(X)$. Since $g(X)$ is complete, so there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = gx, \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = gy \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} gz_n = gz. \quad (2.16)$$

Now, since $gx_{n+1} \in F(x_n, y_n, z_n), gy_{n+1} \in F(y_n, z_n, x_n)$ and $gz_{n+1} \in F(z_n, x_n, y_n)$, therefore by using condition $(2.1), (i \varphi)$ and by the fact that $\varphi < 1$, we get

$$\varphi \left( D(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) \right) \leq \varphi \left( H(F(x_n, y_n, z_n), F(x, y, z)) \right) \leq \psi \left( \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx), d(gy_n, gy), d(gz_n, gz)\} \right] \right) \times \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx), d(gy_n, gy), d(gz_n, gz)\} \right] \leq \varphi \left[ \max \{d(gx_n, gx), d(gy_n, gy), d(gz_n, gz)\} \right].$$

Since $\varphi$ is non-decreasing, we have

$$D(gx_{n+1}, F(x, y, z)) \leq \max \{d(gx_n, gx), d(gy_n, gy), d(gz_n, gz)\}. \quad (2.17)$$
Letting \( n \to \infty \) in (2.17), by using (2.16), we obtain
\[
D(gx, F(x, y, z)) = 0.
\]
Similarly
\[
D(gy, F(y, z, x)) = 0 \text{ and } D(gz, F(z, x, y)) = 0,
\]
which implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
& gx \in F(x, y, z), \\
& gy \in F(y, z, x) \text{ and } gz \in F(z, x, y),
\end{align*}
\]
that is, \((x, y, z)\) is a tripled coincidence point of \( F \) and \( g \).

Suppose now that \((a)\) holds. Assume that for some \((x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}, \)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = u, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} g^n y = v \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} g^n z = w,
\]
(2.18)
where \( u, v, w \in X \). Since \( g \) is continuous at \( u, v \) and \( w \). We have, by (2.18), that \( u, v \) and \( w \) are fixed points of \( g \), that is,
\[
gu = u, \quad gv = v \quad \text{and} \quad gw = w.
\]
(2.19)
As \( F \) and \( g \) are \( w \)-compatible, so, for all \( n \geq 1, \)
\[
\begin{align*}
& g^n x \in F(g^{n-1} x, g^{n-1} y, g^{n-1} z), \\
& g^n y \in F(g^{n-1} y, g^{n-1} z, g^{n-1} x), \\
& g^n z \in F(g^{n-1} z, g^{n-1} x, g^{n-1} y),
\end{align*}
\]
(2.20)
Now, by using (2.1), (2.20), (i\( \varphi \)) and by the fact that \( \varphi < 1 \), we obtain
\[
\varphi (D(g^n x, F(u, v, w)))
\leq \varphi \left( H(F(g^{n-1} x, g^{n-1} y, g^{n-1} z), F(u, v, w)) \right)
\leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(g^n x, gu), d(g^n y, gv), d(g^n z, gw)\} \right)
\times \varphi \left( \max \{d(g^n x, gu), d(g^n y, gv), d(g^n z, gw)\} \right)
\leq \varphi \left( \max \{d(g^n x, gu), d(g^n y, gv), d(g^n z, gw)\} \right).
\]
Since \( \varphi \) is non-decreasing, we have
\[
D(g^n x, F(u, v, w)) \leq \max \{d(g^n x, gu), d(g^n y, gv), d(g^n z, gw)\}.
\]
(2.21)
On taking limit as \( n \to \infty \) in (2.21), by using (2.18) and (2.19), we get
\[
D(gu, F(u, v, w)) = 0.
\]
Similarly
\[
D(gv, F(v, w, u)) = 0 \text{ and } D(gw, F(w, u, v)) = 0,
\]
which implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
& gu \in F(u, v, w), \\
& gv \in F(v, w, u) \quad \text{and} \quad gw \in F(w, u, v).
\end{align*}
\]
(2.22)
Now, from (2.19) and (2.22), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
& u = gu \in F(u, v, w), \\
& v = gv \in F(v, w, u) \quad \text{and} \quad w = gw \in F(w, u, v),
\end{align*}
\]
that is, \((u, v, w)\) is a common tripled fixed point of \( F \) and \( g \).

Suppose now that \((b)\) holds. Assume that for some \((x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}, \)
\( g \) is \( F \)-weakly commuting, that is,
\[
\begin{align*}
& g^2 x \in F(gx, gy, gz), \\
& g^2 y \in F(gy, gz, gx), \\
& g^2 z \in F(gz, gx, gy) \quad \text{and} \quad g^2 x = gx, g^2 y = gy, g^2 z = gz.
\end{align*}
\]
Thus \( gx = g^2 x \in F(gx, gy, gz), \quad gy = g^2 y \in F(gy, gz, gx) \quad \text{and} \quad gz = g^2 z \in F(gz, gx, gy), \) that is, \((gx, gy, gz)\) is a common tripled fixed point of \( F \) and \( g \).

Suppose now that \((c)\) holds. Assume that for some \((x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}\) and for some \( u, v, w \in X, \)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = x, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} g^n y = y \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} g^n w = z.
\]
Since $g$ is continuous at $x$, $y$ and $z$. We have that $x$, $y$ and $z$ are fixed point of $g$, that is,

$$gx = x, \, gy = y \text{ and } gz = z.$$ 

Since $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$, therefore, we obtain

$$x = gx \in F(x, y, z), \, y = gy \in F(y, z, x) \text{ and } z = gz \in F(z, x, y),$$

that is, $(x, y, z)$ is a common tripled fixed point of $F$ and $g$.

Finally, suppose that (d) holds. Let $g(C\{F, g\}) = \{(x, x, x)\}$. Then $\{x\} = \{gx\} = F(x, x, x)$. Hence $(x, x, x)$ is a common tripled fixed point of $F$ and $g$.

**Example 2.1.** Suppose that $X = [0, 1]$, equipped with the metric $d : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ defined as $d(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ and $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)$ be defined as

$$F(x, y, z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
{0}, & \text{for } x, y, z = 1, \\
[0, \frac{x^4}{4}], & \text{for } x, y, z \in [0, 1),
\end{array} \right.$$ 

and $g : X \to X$ be defined as

$$g(x) = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$ 

Define $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\varphi(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\ln(t + 1), & \text{for } t \neq 1 \\
\frac{3}{4}, & \text{for } t = 1,
\end{array} \right.$$ 

and $\psi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1)$ defined by

$$\psi(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$ 

Now, for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1)$, we have

**Case (a).** If $x = u$, then

$$H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))$$ 

$$= \frac{u^4}{4}$$ 

$$\leq \ln(u^2 + 1)$$ 

$$\leq \ln(\max\{x^2, u^2\} + 1)$$ 

$$\leq \ln(d(gx, gu) + 1)$$ 

$$\leq \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1],$$

which implies that

$$\varphi(H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)))$$ 

$$= \ln[H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))] + 1$$ 

$$\leq \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1]$$ 

$$\leq \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1]$$ 

$$\times \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1]$$ 

$$\leq \psi(\varphi[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}])$$ 

$$\times \varphi[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}].$$

**Case (b).** If $x \neq u$ with $x < u$, then

$$H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))$$ 

$$= \frac{u^4}{4}$$ 

$$\leq \ln(u^2 + 1)$$ 

$$\leq \ln(\max\{x^2, u^2\} + 1)$$ 

$$\leq \ln(d(gx, gu) + 1)$$ 

$$\leq \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1],$$
which implies that

\[
\varphi(H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))) = \ln[H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + 1] \\
\leq \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1] \\
\leq \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1] \\
\times \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] \\
\leq \psi(\varphi[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}]) \\
\times \varphi[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}].
\]

Similarly, we obtain the same result for \( u < x \). Thus the contractive condition (2.1) is satisfied for all \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in X \) with \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1] \). Again, for all \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in X \) with \( x, y, z \in [0, 1] \) and \( u, v, w = 1 \), we have

\[
H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) = \frac{x^4}{4} \\
\leq \ln(x^2 + 1) \\
\leq \ln(\max\{x^2, u^2\} + 1) \\
\leq \ln(d(gx, gu) + 1) \\
\leq \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1],
\]

which implies that

\[
\varphi(H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))) = \ln[H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + 1] \\
\leq \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1] \\
\leq \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1] \\
\times \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] \\
\leq \psi(\varphi[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}]) \\
\times \varphi[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}].
\]

Thus the contractive condition (2.1) is satisfied for all \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in X \) with \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1] \). Similarly, we can see that the contractive condition (2.1) is satisfied for all \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in X \) with \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1] \). Hence, the hybrid pair \( \{F, g\} \) satisfies the contractive condition (2.1), for all \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in X \). In addition, all the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and \( z = (0, 0, 0) \) is a common tripled fixed point of hybrid pair \( \{F, g\} \). The function \( F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow CB(X) \) involved in this example is not continuous at the point \((1, 1, 1) \in X \times X \times X\).

**Remark 2.1.** We improve, extend and generalize the results of Ciric et al. [13] in the sense that

(i) We prove our result for hybrid pair of mappings.

(ii) We prove our result in the framework of non complete metric space \((X, d)\) and the product set \( X \times X \times X \) is not empowered with any order.

(iii) We prove our result without the assumption of continuity and mixed \( g \)-monotone property for mapping \( F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow CB(X) \).

(iv) The functions \( \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \) and \( \psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1) \) involved in our theorem and example are discontinuous.

If we put \( g = I \) (the identity mapping) in the Theorem 2.1, we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete metric space, \( F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow CB(X) \) be a mapping. Suppose that there exist some \( \varphi \in \Phi \) and some \( \psi \in \Psi \) such that

\[
\varphi(H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))) \leq \psi(\varphi[\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\}]) \\
\times \varphi[\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\}],
\]

where

\[
\varphi = \ln[H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) + 1] \\
\psi = \ln[\ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1] + 1] \\
\times \ln[\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\} + 1]
\]

satisfies the contractive condition (2.1), for all \( x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1] \).
for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Then $F$ has a tripled fixed point.

If we put $\psi(t) = 1 - \frac{t^2}{4}$ for all $t \geq 0$ in Theorem 2.1 then we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.2.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exist some $\varphi \in \Phi$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$
\varphi(H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))) 
\leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\right\}\right) 
- \psi\left(\max\left\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\right\}\right),
$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Furthermore, assume that $F(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a complete subset of $X$. Then $F$ and $g$ have a tripled coincidence point. Moreover, $F$ and $g$ have a common tripled fixed point, if one of the following conditions holds:

(a) $F$ and $g$ are $w$–compatible. $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = u$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n y = v$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n z = w$ for some $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$ and for some $u, v, w \in X$ and $g$ is continuous at $u, v$ and $w$.

(b) $g$ is $F$–weakly commuting for some $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$, $gx$, $gy$ and $gz$ are fixed points of $g$, that is, $g^2 x = gx$, $g^2 y = gy$ and $g^2 z = gz$.

(c) $g$ is continuous at $x, y$ and $z$. $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n u = x$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n v = y$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n w = z$ for some $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$ and for some $u, v, w \in X$.

(d) $g(C\{F, g\})$ is a singleton subset of $C\{F, g\}$.

If we put $g = I$ (the identity mapping) in the Corollary 2.2 we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space, $F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist some $\varphi \in \Phi$ and some $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$
\varphi(H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))) 
\leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\right\}\right) 
- \psi\left(\max\left\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\right\}\right),
$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Then $F$ has a tripled fixed point.

If we put $\varphi(t) = 2t$ for all $t \geq 0$ in Theorem 2.1 then we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings. Suppose that there exists some $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$
H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) 
\leq \psi\left(2\max\left\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\right\}\right) 
\times \max\left\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\right\},
$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$. Furthermore, assume that $F(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ and $g(X)$ is a complete subset of $X$. Then $F$ and $g$ have a tripled coincidence point. Moreover, $F$ and $g$ have a common tripled fixed point, if one of the following conditions holds:

(a) $F$ and $g$ are $w$–compatible. $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = u$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n y = v$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n z = w$ for some $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$ and for some $u, v, w \in X$ and $g$ is continuous at $u, v$ and $w$.

(b) $g$ is $F$–weakly commuting for some $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$, $gx$, $gy$ and $gz$ are fixed points of $g$, that is, $g^2 x = gx$, $g^2 y = gy$ and $g^2 z = gz$.

(c) $g$ is continuous at $x, y$ and $z$. $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n u = x$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n v = y$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n w = z$ for some $(x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}$ and for some $u, v, w \in X$.

(d) $g(C\{F, g\})$ is a singleton subset of $C\{F, g\}$.

If we put $g = I$ (the identity mapping) in the Corollary 2.4 we get the following result:
Corollary 2.5. Let \((X, d)\) be a complete metric space, \(F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)\) be a mapping. Suppose that there exists some \(\psi \in \Psi\) such that

\[
H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \psi \left( 2 \max \{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\} \right) \times \max \{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\},
\]

for all \(x, y, z, u, v, w \in X\). Then \(F\) has a tripled fixed point.

If we put \(\psi(t) = k\), where \(0 < k < 1\), for all \(t \geq 0\) in Corollary 2.4, then we get the following result:

Corollary 2.6. Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space. Assume \(F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)\) and \(g : X \to X\) be two mappings satisfying

\[
H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq k \max \{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\},
\]

for all \(x, y, z, u, v, w \in X\), where \(0 < k < 1\). Furthermore, assume that \(F(X \times X \times X) \subseteq g(X)\) and \(g(X)\) is a complete subset of \(X\). Then \(F\) and \(g\) have a tripled coincidence point. Moreover, \(F\) and \(g\) have a common tripled fixed point, if one of the following conditions holds:

(a) \(F\) and \(g\) are \(w\)-compatible. \(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n x = u\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n y = v\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n z = w\) for some \((x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}\) and for some \(u, v, w \in X\) and \(g\) is continuous at \(u, v\) and \(w\).

(b) \(g\) is \(F\)-weakly commuting for some \((x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}\), \(gx, gy, gz\) are fixed points of \(g\), that is, \(g^2 x = gx\), \(g^2 y = gy\) and \(g^2 z = gz\).

(c) \(g\) is continuous at \(x, y\) and \(z\). \(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n u = x\), \(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n v = y\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} g^n w = z\) for some \((x, y, z) \in C\{F, g\}\) and for some \(u, v, w \in X\).

(d) \(g(C\{F, g\})\) is a singleton subset of \(C\{F, g\}\).

If we put \(g = I\) (the identity mapping) in the Corollary 2.6, we get the following result:

Corollary 2.7. Let \((X, d)\) be a complete metric space. Assume \(F : X \times X \times X \to CB(X)\) be a mapping satisfying

\[
H(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq k \max \{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\},
\]

for all \(x, y, z, u, v, w \in X\), where \(0 < k < 1\). Then \(F\) has a tripled fixed point.
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