Malaya
Journal ofMJM
an international journal of mathematical sciences with
computer applications...



www.malayajournal.org

Oscillation results for third order nonlinear neutral differential equations of mixed type

Ethiraju Thandapani,^{*a*,*} and Renu Rama^{*b*}

^{a,b}Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai -600 005, India.

Abstract

Some oscillation results are obtained for the third order nonlinear mixed type neutral differential equations of the form

$$\left((x(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau_1) + c(t)x(t + \tau_2))^{\alpha} \right)^{\prime\prime\prime} = q(t)x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_1) + p(t)x^{\gamma}(t + \sigma_2), \ t \ge t_0$$

where α , β and γ are ratios of odd positive integers τ_1 , τ_2 , σ_1 and σ_2 are positive constants.

Keywords: Oscillation, third order, neutral differential equations.

2010 MSC: 34C15. ©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the oscillatory nature of the third order nonlinear mixed type neutral differential equations of the form

$$((x(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau_1) + c(t)x(t + \tau_2))^{\alpha})''' = q(t)x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_1) + p(t)x^{\gamma}(t + \sigma_2), \ t \ge t_0$$
(1.1)

subject to the following conditions:

- (c_1) τ_1 , τ_2 , σ_1 and σ_2 are positive constants;
- (c_2) q(t) and p(t) are real valued positive continuous functions on $[t_0,\infty)$;
- (c_3) α , β and γ are ratios of odd positive integers;
- (c₄) b(t) and c(t) are real valued and thrice continuously differentiable functions with $0 \le b(t) < b < \infty$ and $0 \le c(t) < c < \infty$.

Let $\theta = \max\{\tau_1, \sigma_1\}$. By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a real valued continuous function x(t) defined for all $t \ge t_0 - \theta$ and satisfying the equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_0$. A nontrivial solution of equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has infinitely many zeros on $[t_0, \infty)$, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

Recently there has been a great interest in studying the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third order differential equations, see for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23], and the references cited therein. In [1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 15, 20, 23], the authors studied the oscillatory behavior of solutions of equation (1.1) when $b(t) \equiv 0$, $c(t) \equiv 0$ and $p(t) \equiv 0$. In [5, 6, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19, 21], the authors studied the oscillatory behavior of solutions of equation (1.1) when $c(t) \equiv 0$ and $p(t) \equiv 0$. In [2, 13, 14, 22], the authors discussed the oscillatory behavior of all solutions of equation (1.1) when $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$.

Motivated by this observation, in this paper we study the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of all solutions of equation (1.1) for different values of α , β and γ . So the purpose of this paper is to present some new oscillatory

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ethandapani@yahoo.co.in (Ethiraju Thandapani) and renurama68@gmail.com (Renu Rama).

and asymptotic criteria for equation (1.1). In Section 2, we present criteria for equation (1.1) to be either oscillatory or all its nonoscillatory solutions tend to zero as $t \to \infty$. Examples are provided in Section 3 to illustrate the results presented in Section 2.

2 Oscillation results

In this section, we present some new oscillation criteria for the equation (1.1). For convenience we use the following notations:

 $Q(t) = \min(q(t), q(t - \tau_1), q(t + \tau_2)), P(t) = \min(p(t), p(t - \tau_1), p(t + \tau_2)),$ and $z(t) = [x(t) + b(t)x(t - \tau_1) + c(t)x(t + \tau_2)]^{\alpha}.$

Lemma 2.1. If x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1), then the corresponding function z(t) satisfies only the following two cases

Case (I)
$$z(t) > 0, \ z'(t) > 0, \ z''(t) > 0, \ z'''(t) > 0;$$
 (2.1)

Case (II)
$$z(t) > 0, \ z'(t) > 0, \ z''(t) < 0, \ z'''(t) > 0.$$
 (2.2)

Proof. Assume that x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists a $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $x(t-\theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_1$. From the definition of z(t), it is clear that z(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_1$. From equation (1.1), we have z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_1$. Therefore z''(t) is strictly increasing for all $t \ge t_1$ and z''(t) are of one sign for all $t \ge t_1$. We prove that z'(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_1$. If not, there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$ and M < 0 such that z'(t) < M for all $t \ge t_2$. Integrating the last inequality from t_2 to t, we get

$$z(t) - z(t_2) < M(t - t_2).$$

Letting $t \to \infty$, we see that $z(t) \to -\infty$, which is a contradiction. Hence z'(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_1$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.2. If $A \ge 0$, $B \ge 0$ and $0 < \delta \le 1$, then

$$A^{\delta} + B^{\delta} \ge (A+B)^{\delta} \tag{2.3}$$

If $\delta \geq 1$ then

$$(A^{\delta} + B^{\delta}) \ge \frac{1}{2^{\delta - 1}} (A + B)^{\delta}.$$
 (2.4)

Proof. Proof can be found in [21].

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $0 < \beta = \gamma \leq 1$ and $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)$$
(2.5)

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha} (t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1)$$
(2.6)

has no positive decreasing solution, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ (since the case x(t) is negative is similar). Then there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that $x(t - \theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. By the definition of z(t) we have, $z(t - \theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. Define a function y(t) by

$$y(t) = z(t) + b^{\beta} z(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z(t + \tau_2), \text{ for all } t \ge t_2.$$
(2.7)

Then y(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} y'''(t) &= z'''(t) + b^{\beta} z'''(t - \tau_{1}) + c^{\beta} z'''(t + \tau_{2}) \\ &= q(t) x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_{1}) + p(t) x^{\beta}(t + \sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} q(t - \tau_{1}) x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} - \sigma_{1}) + \\ b^{\beta} p(t - \tau_{1}) x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} + \sigma_{2}) + c^{\beta} q(t + \tau_{2}) x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} - \sigma_{1}) + \\ c^{\beta} p(t + \tau_{2}) x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} + \sigma_{2}) \\ &\geq Q(t) [x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_{1}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} - \sigma_{1}) + c^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} - \sigma_{1})] + \\ P(t) [x^{\beta}(t + \sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} + \sigma_{2}) + c^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} + \sigma_{2})]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3) twice, the above inequality becomes

$$y'''(t) \ge Q(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t - \sigma_1) + P(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2).$$
(2.8)

Since x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1), from Lemma 2.1 we have two cases for z(t). **Case (I):** In this case, we have z'(t) > 0, z''(t) > 0 and z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Then from (2.7), we have y'(t) > 0, y''(t) > 0 and y'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$.

From the inequality (2.8), we have

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) \ge P(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2). \tag{2.9}$$

Since z'(t) is increasing, we have

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z'(t + \tau_2) \leq (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta}) z'(t + \tau_2) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$
(2.10)

Now

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) - z(t) = \int_{t}^{t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2} z'(s) ds$$

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2).$$
(2.11)

Using (2.10) and (2.11) in (2.9), we obtain

$$y'''(t) \geq P(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t+\sigma_{2}) \\ \geq P(t)(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2})^{\beta/\alpha}(z'(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}))^{\beta/\alpha} \\ \geq \frac{P(t)(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2})^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}}(y'(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}))^{\beta/\alpha}, t \geq t_{2}.$$
(2.12)

By setting y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) > 0 and w'(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Now inequality (2.9) becomes

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)}{(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\beta/\alpha} w^{\beta/\alpha} (t+\sigma_2 - \sigma_1), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.13)

That is, w(t) is a positive increasing solution of the second order differential inequality (2.5), which is a contradiction.

Case (II). In this case, we have z'(t) > 0, z''(t) < 0 and z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Then y'(t) > 0, y''(t) < 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. From the inequality (2.8), we have

$$y'''(t) \ge Q(t) z^{\beta/\alpha} (t - \sigma_1).$$
 (2.14)

Since z'(t) and y'(t) are decreasing, we have

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z'(t + \tau_2)$$

$$\leq (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta}) z'(t - \tau_1)$$

 $y'(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1) \le (1 + b^\beta + c^\beta) z'(t - \sigma_1), \ t \ge t_2.$ (2.15)

or

Now

$$z(t) - z(t - (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)) = \int_{t - (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)}^{t} z'(s) \, ds$$
$$z(t) \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1). \tag{2.16}$$

or

Using
$$(2.15)$$
 and (2.16) in (2.14) , we obtain

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) \geq Q(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1)$$

$$\geq Q(t)(\sigma_1-\tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}(z'(t-\sigma_1))^{\beta/\alpha}$$

$$\geq \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1-\tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1+b^\beta+c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}}(y'(t-\sigma_1+\tau_1))^{\beta/\alpha}, t \geq t_2$$

By taking y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) > 0 and w'(t) < 0. Thus, w(t) is a positive decreasing solution of the second order differential inequality

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)}{(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha} w^{\beta/\alpha} (t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1),$$
(2.17)

which is a contradiction to (2.6). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that $\beta = \gamma \ge 1$ and $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta - 1}(1 + b^\beta + \frac{c^\beta}{2^{\beta - 1}})^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)$$
(2.18)

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}(1 + b^{\beta} + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}})^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \tau_1 - \sigma_1)$$
(2.19)

has no positive decreasing solution, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that $x(t-\theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. By the definition of z(t), we have $z(t-\theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. Now define a function y(t) by

$$y(t) = z(t) + b^{\beta} z(t - \tau_1) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta - 1}} z(t + \tau_2), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.20)

Then, since z(t) > 0, we have y(t) > 0 and

$$\begin{split} y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) &= z^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) + b^{\beta} z^{\prime\prime\prime}(t-\tau_{1}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z^{\prime\prime\prime}(t+\tau_{2}) \\ &= q(t) x^{\beta}(t-\sigma_{1}) + p(t) x^{\beta}(t+\sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} q(t-\tau_{1}) x^{\beta}(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}) + \\ & b^{\beta} p(t-\tau_{1}) x^{\beta}(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} q(t+\tau_{2}) x^{\beta}(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}) + \\ & \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} p(t+\tau_{2}) x^{\beta}(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}) \\ &\geq Q(t) [x^{\beta}(t-\sigma_{1}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1})] + \\ & P(t) [x^{\beta}(t+\sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2})], \ t \geq t_{2} \end{split}$$

Now using (2.4) twice in the last inequality, we obtain

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1) + \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2)t \ge t_2.$$
(2.21)

Since x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1), there are only two cases, as given in Lemma 2.1, for z(t).

Case (I): In this case, we have z(t) > 0, z'(t) > 0, z''(t) > 0 and z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Then from (2.20), we have y'(t) > 0, y''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. From the inequality (2.21), we have

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.22)

Since z'(t) is increasing, we have

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta - 1}} z'(t + \tau_2)$$

$$\leq (1 + b^{\beta} + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta - 1}}) z'(t + \tau_2), t \ge t_2$$

or

$$y'(t) \le (1 + b^{\beta} + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta - 1}})z'(t + \sigma_2 + \tau_2), t \ge t_2$$
(2.23)

and

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) - z(t) = \int_{t}^{t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2} z'(s) \ ds \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)$$

or

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2).$$
(2.24)

Now using (2.23) and (2.24) in (2.22), we have

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2)$$

$$\geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\beta/\alpha} (z^{\prime}(t+\tau_2 - \sigma_1 + \sigma_2))^{\beta/\alpha}$$

$$D(t)(z) = 2^{\beta/\alpha} (z^{\prime}(t+\tau_2 - \sigma_1 + \sigma_2))^{\beta/\alpha}$$

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\beta/\alpha} (y'(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1))^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta - 1} (1 + b^\beta + \frac{c^\beta}{2^{\beta - 1}})^{\beta/\alpha}}, t \ge t_2.$$
(2.25)

Setting y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) > 0, w'(t) = y''(t) > 0 and

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\beta/\alpha} w(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta - 1}(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}}, t \ge t_2.$$
(2.26)

That is w(t) is a positive increasing solution of the second order differential inequality (2.18), which is a contradiction.

Case (II): In this case we have z'(t) > 0, z''(t) < 0 and z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Then from (2.20), we obtain y'(t) > 0 and y''(t) < 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. From the inequality (2.21), we have

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.27)

Using the monotonicity of z'(t) and y'(t), we have

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta - 1}} z'(t + \tau_2)$$

$$\leq (1 + b^{\beta} + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta - 1}}) z'(t - \tau_1)$$

or

$$y'(t+\sigma_1) \le (1+b^{\beta} + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}})z'(t+\sigma_1 - \tau_1), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.28)

Also from the monotonicity of z'(t) we have

$$z(t) - z(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1) = \int_{t - (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)}^t z'(s) \ ds \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)$$

or

$$z(t) \ge (\sigma_1 - \tau_1) z'(t).$$
 (2.29)

Using (2.28) and (2.29) in (2.27), we get

$$\begin{split} y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) &\geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha} (t-\sigma_1) \\ &\geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha} (z^{\prime}(t-\sigma_1))^{\beta/\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha} \frac{(y^{\prime}(t-\sigma_1 + \tau_1))^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1+b^{\beta} + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}})^{\beta/\alpha}} \end{split}$$

or

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha} \frac{(y'(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1))^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + \frac{c^\beta}{2^{\beta-1}})^{\beta/\alpha}}, t \ge t_2$$

Set y'(t) = w(t). Then w(t) > 0 and w'(t) = y''(t) < 0 and the last inequality becomes

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha} w^{\beta/\alpha} (t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1)}{4^{\beta - 1} (1 + b^\beta + \frac{c^\beta}{2^{\beta - 1}})^{\beta/\alpha}}, t \ge t_2.$$
(2.30)

Thus, w(t) is a positive decreasing solution of the second order differential inequality (2.19), which is a contradiction. Now the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $\gamma \geq 1$, $b \leq 1, c \leq 1$ and $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}}{4^{\gamma - 1}(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)$$
(2.31)

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha} (t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1)$$
(2.32)

has no positive decreasing solution, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$. Let us assume that x(t) is a positive solution of (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$. Then there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that $x(t - \theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. By the definition of z(t), we have $z(t - \theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. Set

$$y(t) = z(t) + b^{\beta} z(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z(t + \tau_2) \text{ for all } t \ge t_2.$$
(2.33)

Then, y(t) > 0, and

$$\begin{split} y'''(t) &= z'''(t) + b^{\beta} z'''(t - \tau_{1}) + c^{\beta} z'''(t + \tau_{2}) \\ &= q(t) x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_{1}) + p(t) x^{\gamma}(t + \sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} q(t - \tau_{1}) x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} - \sigma_{1}) + \\ b^{\beta} p(t - \tau_{1}) x^{\gamma}(t - \tau_{1} + \sigma_{2}) + c^{\beta} q(t + \tau_{2}) x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} - \sigma_{1}) + \\ c^{\beta} p(t + \tau_{2}) x^{\gamma}(t + \tau_{2} + \sigma_{2}) \\ &\geq Q(t) [x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_{1}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} - \sigma_{1}) + c^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} - \sigma_{1})] + \\ P(t) [x^{\gamma}(t + \sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}(t - \tau_{1} + \sigma_{2}) + c^{\beta} x^{\gamma}(t + \tau_{2} + \sigma_{2})], t \geq t_{2}. \end{split}$$

Using (2.3) twice in the first part of righthand side of the last inequality, we have

$$y'''(t) \ge Q(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1) + P(t)[x^{\gamma}(t+\sigma_2) + b^{\beta}x^{\gamma}(t-\tau_1+\sigma_2) + c^{\beta}x^{\gamma}(t+\tau_2+\sigma_2)], t \ge t_2.$$
(2.34)

Using the fact that $b \leq 1$, $c \leq 1$, $\gamma \geq 1$, and $0 < \beta \leq 1$, we have

$$x^{\gamma}(t+\sigma_2) + b^{\beta}x^{\gamma}(t-\tau_1+\sigma_2) + c^{\beta}x^{\gamma}(t+\tau_2+\sigma_2)$$

$$\geq x^{\gamma}(t+\sigma_2) + b^{\gamma}x^{\gamma}(t-\tau_1+\sigma_2) + c^{\gamma}x^{\gamma}(t+\tau_2+\sigma_2)$$

$$\geq \quad x^{\gamma}(t+\sigma_2)+b^{\gamma}x^{\gamma}(t-\tau_1+\sigma_2)+\frac{c^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}}x^{\gamma}(t+\tau_2+\sigma_2),$$

and applying (2.4) twice and simplifying, we obtain

$$x^{\gamma}(t+\sigma_{2})+b^{\beta}x^{\gamma}(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2})+c^{\beta}x^{\gamma}(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}) \geq \frac{1}{4^{\gamma-1}}z^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}(t+\sigma_{2}).$$
(2.35)

Substituting (2.35) in (2.34), we get

$$y'''(t) \ge Q(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1) + \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}z^{\gamma/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.36)

Now we consider the following two cases for z(t) as in Lemma 2.1.

Case (I): In this case we have z'(t) > 0, z''(t) > 0 and z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Then from (2.33), we have y'(t) > 0, y''(t) > 0 and y'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$.

From the inequality (2.36), we have

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.37)

Using the monotonicity of z'(t), we get

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z'(t + \tau_2)$$

$$\leq (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta}) z'(t + \tau_2), t \geq t_2.$$
(2.38)

Again using the monotonicity of z'(t), we obtain

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) - z(t) = \int_{t}^{t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2} z'(s) \ ds \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2),$$

or

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) \ge (\sigma_1 - \tau_2)z'(t).$$
(2.39)

Now using (2.38) and (2.39) in (2.37), we obtain

$$y'''(t) = \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma/\alpha} (t+\sigma_2)$$

$$\geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} (\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha} (z'(t+\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_2))^{\gamma/\alpha}$$

$$\geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} \frac{(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha} (y'(t+\sigma_2 - \sigma_1))^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(1+b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}}, t \geq t_2.$$

By setting y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) = y'(t) > 0, w'(t) = y''(t) > 0 and it satisfies

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}}{4^{\gamma - 1}(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}} w^{\gamma/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1), t \ge t_2.$$

Thus, w(t) is a positive increasing solution of the second order differential inequality (2.31), which is a contradiction.

Case (II): In this case we have z'(t) > 0, z''(t) < 0 and z'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Therefore y'(t) > 0, y''(t) < 0 and y'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. From the inequality (2.36) we have

$$y'''(t) \ge Q(t)z^{\beta/\alpha}(t - \sigma_1), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.40)

Since z''(t) < 0, we have z'(t) is decreasing and therefore

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z'(t + \tau_2) \leq (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta}) z'(t - \tau_1),$$
(2.41)

or

$$y'(t - \sigma_1) \le (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})z'(t - \sigma_1 - \tau_1), t \ge t_2$$

Again using the monotonicity of z'(t), we see that

$$z(t) - z(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1) = \int_{t - (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)}^t z'(s) \, ds \ge (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)z'(t),$$

or

$$z(t) \ge (\sigma_1 - \tau_1) z'(t).$$
 (2.42)

Substituting (2.41) and (2.42) in (2.40), we obtain

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}} (y'(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1))^{\beta/\alpha}, t \ge t_2.$$
(2.43)

By setting y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) is a positive decreasing solution of

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}} w^{\beta/\alpha} (t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1), t \ge t_2,$$
(2.44)

which is a contradiction to (2.32). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.6. Assume that $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $\beta \geq 1$, $b \leq 1, c \leq 1$ and $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\gamma/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)$$

$$(2.45)$$

has no positive increasing solution and the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1)$$
(2.46)

has no positive decreasing solution, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5 and hence the details are omitted. \Box

Theorem 2.7. Assume that $\beta \ge 1$, $0 < \gamma \le 1$, $b \ge 1, c \ge 1$ and $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}} y^{\gamma/\alpha} (t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)$$
(2.47)

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} y^{\beta/\alpha}(t + \tau_1 - \sigma_1)$$
(2.48)

has no positive decreasing solution, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that x(t) is a positive solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$. Then there exists a $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that $x(t - \theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. By the definition of z(t) we have $z(t - \theta) > 0$ for all $t \ge t_2$. Set

$$y(t) = z(t) + b^{\beta} z(t - \tau_1) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\gamma - 1}} z(t + \tau_2) \text{ for all } t \ge t_2.$$
(2.49)

Then, y'(t) > 0, and using the fact $b \ge 1$, $c \ge 1$, $\gamma \le 1$, $\beta \ge 1$, we have

$$y'''(t) = z'''(t) + b^{\beta} z'''(t - \tau_{1}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\gamma-1}} z'''(t + \tau_{2})$$

$$\geq Q(t)[x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_{1}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} - \sigma_{1}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} - \sigma_{1})] + P(t)[x^{\gamma}(t + \sigma_{2}) + b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}(t - \tau_{1} + \sigma_{2}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\gamma-1}} x^{\gamma}(t + \tau_{2} + \sigma_{2})]$$

$$\geq Q(t)[x^{\beta}(t - \sigma_{1}) + b^{\beta} x^{\beta}(t - \tau_{1} - \sigma_{1}) + \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}(t + \tau_{2} + \sigma_{2})]$$

$$+P(t)[x^{\gamma}(t+\sigma_{2})+b^{\gamma}x^{\gamma}(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2})+c^{\gamma}x^{\gamma}(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2})], t \ge t_{2}$$

Now applying (2.4) and (2.3) twice in first and second part of right hand side of last inequality, we get

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1) + P(t) z^{\gamma/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.50)

Now we consider the following two cases for z(t) as given in Lemma 2.1.

Case (I): In this case we have z'(t) > 0, z''(t) > 0 and z'''(t) > 0 and therefore y'(t) > 0, y''(t) > 0 and y'''(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. From the inequality (2.50), we have

$$y'''(t) \ge P(t)z^{\gamma/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2), t \ge t_2.$$
 (2.51)

Applying monotonicity of z'(t), we get

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z'(t + \tau_2)$$

$$y'(t) \leq (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta}) z'(t + \tau_2), t \geq t_2.$$
(2.52)

Also using the monotonicity of z'(t), we get

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) - z(t) = \int_{t}^{t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2} z'(s) \, ds > z'(t)(\sigma_2 - \tau_2)$$

$$z(t + \sigma_1 - \tau_2) \ge z'(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2).$$
(2.53)

Combining (2.51), (2.52) and (2.53), we obtain

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime}(t) = P(t)z^{\gamma/\alpha}(t+\sigma_2)$$

$$\geq P(t)(\sigma_1-\tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}z^{\prime}(t+\tau_2)$$

$$\geq \frac{P(t)(\sigma_1-\tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}(y^{\prime}(t+\sigma_2-\sigma_1))^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}}, t \geq t_2.$$

By putting y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) is a positive increasing solution of

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)(\sigma_2 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}}{(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}} w^{\gamma/\alpha} (t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1), t \ge t_2$$

which is a contradiction (2.47).

Case (II): In this case we have z''(t) < 0 for all $t \ge t_2$. Therefore z'(t) is decreasing, for all $t \ge t_2$. Since z'(t) is decreasing we have

$$y'(t) = z'(t) + b^{\beta} z'(t - \tau_1) + c^{\beta} z'(t + \tau_2) \leq (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta}) z'(t - \tau_1), t \geq t_2.$$
(2.54)

Also using the monotonicity of z'(t), we get

$$z(t) - z(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1) = \int_{t - (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)}^{t} z'(s) \, ds \ge (\sigma_1 - \tau_1)z'(t)$$

or

$$z(t) \ge (\sigma_1 - \tau_1) z'(t).$$
 (2.55)

From (2.50), we have

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta/\alpha}(t-\sigma_1), t \ge t_2.$$
(2.56)

Combining (2.54), (2.55) and (2.56), we obtain

$$y'''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} (y'(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1))^{\beta/\alpha}, t \ge t_2.$$
(2.57)

By taking y'(t) = w(t), we see that w(t) is a positive decreasing solution of

$$w''(t) \ge \frac{(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha} Q(t)}{4^{\beta - 1} (1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\beta/\alpha}} (w(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1))^{\beta/\alpha}, t \ge t_2,$$
(2.58)

which is a contradiction to (2.48). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.8. Assume that $\gamma \ge 1$, $0 < \beta \le 1$, $b \ge 1, c \ge 1$ and $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{P(t)y^{\gamma/\alpha}(t + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(\sigma_1 - \tau_2)^{\gamma/\alpha}}{4^{\gamma - 1}(1 + b^{\beta} + c^{\beta})^{\gamma/\alpha}}$$
(2.59)

has no positive increasing solution and the second order differential inequality

$$y''(t) \ge \frac{Q(t)y^{\beta/\alpha}(t - \sigma_1 + \tau_1)(\sigma_1 - \tau_1)^{\beta/\alpha}}{(1 + b^\beta + c^\beta)^{\beta/\alpha}}$$
(2.60)

has no positive decreasing solution, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.7 and hence the details are omitted. \Box

Corollary 2.9. Assume that $\alpha = \beta = \gamma \ge 1$, $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_2 - \tau_2 - 2} (t + \sigma_2 - \tau_2 - s - 1)P(s) \ ds \ge 4^{\alpha - 1}(1 + a^{\alpha} + \frac{b^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha - 1}})$$
(2.61)

and

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t-\sigma_1+\tau_1}^t (t-s+1)Q(s) \ ds \ge 4^{\alpha-1}(1+a^\alpha + \frac{b^\alpha}{2^{\alpha-1}})$$
(2.62)

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Condition (2.61) and (2.62) imply that the differential inequalities (2.59) and (2.60) have no positive increasing and no positive decreasing solutions respectively see [12, 16]. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.8. \Box

Corollary 2.10. Let $\beta < \gamma$, $b \le 1$, $c \le 1$, $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 > \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. If

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t}^{t+\sigma_1-\tau_1} Q(s) \, ds \right) \, dt = \infty$$
(2.63)

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t-\sigma_2+\tau_2+1}^{t} P(s) \, ds \right) \, dt = \infty$$
(2.64)

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Conditions (2.63) and (2.64) imply that the differential inequalities (2.31) and (2.32) have no positive increasing and no positive decreasing solutions respectively [12, 16]. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.5. \Box

3 Examples

In this section, we shall see some examples to illustrate main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the third order differential equation

$$((x(t) + 2x(t-1) + 3x(t+2))^3)''' = (t+1)x^3(t-3) + tx^3(t+5), \ t \ge 1$$
(3.1)

Here b(t) = 2, c(t) = 3, $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = 2$, $\sigma_1 = 3$, $\sigma_2 = 5$, q(t) = t + 1, p(t) = t and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 3$. Then Q(t) = t, P(t) = t - 1 and we can easily see that all the conditions of Corollary 2.9 are satisfied. Therefore all the solutions of equation (3.1) are oscillatory.

Example 3.2. Consider the third order differential equation

$$\left(\left(x(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(t-1) + \frac{1}{3}x(t+2)\right)^3\right)^{\prime\prime\prime} = (t+1)x(t-3) + (t+2)^2x^3(t+4), \ t \ge 1$$
(3.2)

Here $b(t) = \frac{1}{2}$, $c(t) = \frac{1}{3}$, $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = 2$, $\sigma_1 = 3$, $\sigma_2 = 4$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 3$, q(t) = t + 1, $p(t) = (t + 2)^2$. Then Q(t) = t, $P(t) = t^2$ and we can easily see that all the conditions of Corollary 2.10 are satisfied. Therefore all the solutions of equation (3.2) are oscillatory.

References

- R. P. Agarwal, M. F. Aktas, and A. Tiryaki, On oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear delay differential equations, Arch. Math., 45(2009), 1-18.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, B. Baculáková, J. Džurina and T.Li, Oscillation of third-order nonlinear functional differential equations with mixed arguments, Acta Math. Hungar., 134(2011), 54-67.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equation, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
- [4] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, Oscillation of third-order functional differential equations, *Elec. J. Qual. Theo. Diff. Equ.*, 43(2010), 1-10.
- [5] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, Oscillation of third-order neutral differential equations, Math. Comput. Modelling, 52(2010), 215-226.
- [6] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, On the aymptotic behavior of a class of third order nonlinear neutral differential equations, *Cent. European J. Math.*, 8(2010), 1091-1103.
- [7] B. Baculíková and J. Džurina, Oscillation of third-order nonlinear differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett., (2011), 466-470.
- [8] B. Baculíková, E. M. Elabbasy, S. H. Saker, and J. Džurina, Oscillation criteria for third-order nonlinear differential euqations, *Math. Slovaca*, 58(2008), 201-220.
- [9] T. Candan and R. S. Dahiya, Functional differential equations of third order, *Elec. J. Diff. Equ.*, 12(2005), 47-56.
- [10] P. Das, Oscillation criteria for odd order neutral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 188(1994), 245-257.
- [11] K. Gopalsamy, B. S. Lalli and B. G. Zhang, Oscillation of odd order neutral differential equations, *Czech. Math. J.*, 42(1992), 313-323.
- [12] S.R.Grace, Oscillation criteria for nth order neutral functional differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184(1994), 44-55.
- [13] S. R. Grace, On oscillation of mixed neutral equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 194(1995), 377-388.
- [14] S. R. Grace, Oscillation of mixed neutral fractional differential equations, Appl. Math. Comp., 68(1995), 1-13.
- [15] S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, R. Pavani, and E. Thandapani, On the oscillation of certain third order nonlinear functional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 202(2008), 102-112.
- [16] S.R.Grace and B.S.Lalli, Oscillation theorems for certain neutral functional differential equations with periodic cofficients, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 3(1994), 85-93.
- [17] J. R. Graef, R. Savithri, and E. Thandapani, Oscillatory properties of third order neutral delay differential equations, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys. A*, 2003, 342-350.
- [18] T. Li and E. Thandapani, Oscillation of solutions to odd-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations, *Elec. J. Diff. Equ.*, 23(2011), 1-12.

- [19] T.Li, C.Zhang and G.Xing, Oscillation of third order neutral delay differential equations, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2012(2012), 1-11.
- [20] S. H. Saker and J. Džurina, On the oscillation of certain class of third-order nonlinear delay differential equations, *Math. Bohemica*, 135(2010), 225-237.
- [21] E. Thandapani and T. Li, On the oscillation of third-order quasi-linear neutral functional differentiall equations, Arch. Math., 47(2011), 181-199.
- [22] J. R. Yau, Oscillation of higher order neutral differential equations of mixed type, Israel J. Math., 115(2000), 125-136.
- [23] C. Zhang, T. Li, B. Sun and E. Thandapani, On the oscillation of higher-order half-linear delay differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(2011), 1618-1621.

Received: November 11, 2012; Accepted: January 7, 2013

UNIVERSITY PRESS