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#### Abstract

Some oscillation results are obtained for the third order nonlinear mixed type neutral differential equations of the form $$
\left(\left(x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{0}
$$


where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are positive constants.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the oscillatory nature of the third order nonlinear mixed type neutral differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the following conditions:
$\left(c_{1}\right) \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are positive constants;
$\left(c_{2}\right) q(t)$ and $p(t)$ are real valued positive continuous functions on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$;
$\left(c_{3}\right) \alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers;
$\left(c_{4}\right) b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are real valued and thrice continuously differentiable functions with $0 \leq b(t)<b<\infty$ and $0 \leq c(t)<c<\infty$.

Let $\theta=\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right\}$. By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a real valued continuous function $x(t)$ defined for all $t \geq t_{0}-\theta$ and satisfying the equation (1.1) for all $t \geq t_{0}$. A nontrivial solution of equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has infinitely many zeros on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

Recently there has been a great interest in studying the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third order differential equations, see for example [1, 2, , 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and the references cited therein. In [1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 15, 20, 23, the authors studied the oscillatory behavior of solutions of equation (1.1) when $b(t) \equiv 0, c(t) \equiv 0$ and $p(t) \equiv 0$. In [5, 6, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19, 21], the authors studied the oscillatory behavior of solutions of equation 1.1 when $c(t) \equiv 0$ and $p(t) \equiv 0$. In [2, 13, 14, 22, the authors discussed the oscillatory behavior of all solutions of equation 1.1 when $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=1$.

Motivated by this observation, in this paper we study the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of all solutions of equation (1.1) for different values of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$. So the purpose of this paper is to present some new oscillatory

[^0]and asymptotic criteria for equation 1.1. In Section 2, we present criteria for equation 1.1) to be either oscillatory or all its nonoscillatory solutions tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Examples are provided in Section 3 to illustrate the results presented in Section 2.

## 2 Oscillation results

In this section, we present some new oscillation criteria for the equation 1.1 . For convenience we use the following notations:
$Q(t)=\min \left(q(t), q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right), P(t)=\min \left(p(t), p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)$, and $z(t)=\left[x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.1. If $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation 1.1), then the corresponding function $z(t)$ satisfies only the following two cases

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Case (I) } \quad z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0  \tag{2.1}\\
& \text { Case (II) } \quad z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)<0, z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation 1.1). Then there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. From the definition of $z(t)$, it is clear that $z(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. From equation 1.1), we have $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Therefore $z^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is strictly increasing for all $t \geq t_{1}$ and $z^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and $z^{\prime}(t)$ are of one sign for all $t \geq t_{1}$. We prove that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. If not, there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ and $M<0$ such that $z^{\prime}(t)<M$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Integrating the last inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we get

$$
z(t)-z\left(t_{2}\right)<M\left(t-t_{2}\right)
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $z(t) \rightarrow-\infty$, which is a contradiction. Hence $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.2. If $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ and $0<\delta \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\delta}+B^{\delta} \geq(A+B)^{\delta} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta \geq 1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{\delta}+B^{\delta}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{\delta-1}}(A+B)^{\delta} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Proof can be found in 21].
Theorem 2.3. Assume that $0<\beta=\gamma \leq 1$ and $\sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive decreasing solution, then every solution of equation 1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ (since the case $x(t)$ is negative is similar). Then there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $x(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. By the definition of $z(t)$ we have, $z(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Define a function $y(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=z(t)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), \text { for all } t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $y(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)= & z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
= & q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\beta}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& b^{\beta} p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& c^{\beta} p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
\geq & Q(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right]+ \\
& P(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.3) twice, the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq Q(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+P(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1), from Lemma 2.1 we have two cases for $z(t)$.
Case (I): In this case, we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Then from 2.7), we have $y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$.

From the inequality 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq P(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \text { for all } t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)-z(t)=\int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}} z^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using 2.10 and 2.11) in 2.9, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq P(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \geq P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}\left(z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By setting $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)>0$ and $w^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Now inequality 2.9 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $w(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the second order differential inequality (2.5), which is a contradiction.

Case (II). In this case, we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Then $y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. From the inequality (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq Q(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)$ are decreasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
z(t)-z\left(t-\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)\right)=\int_{t-\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)}^{t} z^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using 2.15 and (2.16) in 2.14, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq Q(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \\
& \geq Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}\left(z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}, t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)>0$ and $w^{\prime}(t)<0$. Thus, $w(t)$ is a positive decreasing solution of the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction to 2.6 . This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.4. Assume that $\beta=\gamma \geq 1$ and $\sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive decreasing solution, then every solution of equation 1.1 is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation 1.1). Then there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $x(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. By the definition of $z(t)$, we have $z(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Now define a function $y(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=z(t)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since $z(t)>0$, we have $y(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)= & z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
= & q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\beta}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& b^{\beta} p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
\geq & Q(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right]+ \\
& P(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right], t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using 2.4 twice in the last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1), there are only two cases, as given in Lemma 2.1, for $z(t)$.

Case (I): In this case, we have $z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Then from 2.20, we have $y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. From the inequality 2.21, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)-z(t)=\int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}} z^{\prime}(s) d s \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using $(2.23)$ and 2.24 in 2.22 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}\left(z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)>0, w^{\prime}(t)=y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} w\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is $w(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the second order differential inequality 2.18, which is a contradiction.

Case (II): In this case we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Then from 2.20, we obtain $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. From the inequality 2.21, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{1}\right) \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$ we have

$$
z(t)-z\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)=\int_{t-\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)}^{t} z^{\prime}(s) d s \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime}(t) . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.28) and (2.29) in (2.27), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}\left(z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \frac{\left(y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}, t \geq t_{2} .
$$

Set $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$. Then $w(t)>0$ and $w^{\prime}(t)=y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and the last inequality becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}, t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $w(t)$ is a positive decreasing solution of the second order differential inequality 2.19, which is a contradiction. Now the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that $0<\beta \leq 1, \gamma \geq 1, b \leq 1, c \leq 1$ and $\sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{4^{\gamma-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive decreasing solution, then every solution of equation 1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation 1.1 for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Let us assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of (1.1) for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$.. Then there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $x(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. By the definition of $z(t)$, we have $z(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=z(t)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \text { for all } t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $y(t)>0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)= & z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
= & q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& b^{\beta} p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& c^{\beta} p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
\geq & Q(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right]+ \\
& P(t)\left[x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right], t \geq t_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.3) twice in the first part of righthand side of the last inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq Q(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+P(t)\left[x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right], t \geq t_{2} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $b \leq 1, c \leq 1, \gamma \geq 1$, and $0<\beta \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
\geq & x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\geq x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+\frac{c^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)
$$

and applying (2.4) twice and simplifying, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting 2.35 in 2.34, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq Q(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the following two cases for $z(t)$ as in Lemma 2.1 .
Case (I): In this case we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Then from 2.33), we have $y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$.

From the inequality 2.36), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Again using the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$, we obtain

$$
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)-z(t)=\int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}} z^{\prime}(s) d s \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) z^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using 2.38 and 2.39 in 2.37, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\gamma / \alpha} \\
& \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} \frac{\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}, t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)=y^{\prime}(t)>0, w^{\prime}(t)=y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and it satisfies

$$
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{4^{\gamma-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} w^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2}
$$

Thus, $w(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the second order differential inequality 2.31, which is a contradiction.

Case (II): In this case we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Therefore $y^{\prime}(t)>$ $0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. From the inequality 2.36) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq Q(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$, we have $z^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2}
$$

Again using the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$, we see that

$$
z(t)-z\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)=\int_{t-\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)}^{t} z^{\prime}(s) d s \geq\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting 2.41 and 2.42 in 2.40 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By setting $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)$ is a positive decreasing solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction to 2.32 . This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.6. Assume that $0<\gamma \leq 1, \beta \geq 1, b \leq 1, c \leq 1$ and $\sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive increasing solution and the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive decreasing solution, then equation 1.1 is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5 and hence the details are omitted.
Theorem 2.7. Assume that $\beta \geq 1,0<\gamma \leq 1, b \geq 1, c \geq 1$ and $\sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive increasing solution, and the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right) \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive decreasing solution, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of equation 1.1 for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Then there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $x(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. By the definition of $z(t)$ we have $z(t-\theta)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=z(t)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\gamma-1}} z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \text { for all } t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $y^{\prime}(t)>0$, and using the fact $b \geq 1, c \geq 1, \gamma \leq 1, \beta \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)= & z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\gamma-1}} z^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
\geq & Q(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right]+ \\
& P(t)\left[x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\gamma-1}} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right] \\
\geq & Q(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+P(t)\left[x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+b^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+c^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right], t \geq t_{2}
$$

Now applying 2.4 and 2.3 twice in first and second part of right hand side of last inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+P(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the following two cases for $z(t)$ as given in Lemma 2.1.
Case (I): In this case we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ and therefore $y^{\prime}(t)>0, y^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ and $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. From the inequality 2.50, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq P(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(t)=z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& y^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Also using the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)-z(t)=\int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}} z^{\prime}(s) d s>z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right) \\
z\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq z^{\prime}(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \tag{2.53}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining 2.51, 2.52 and 2.53, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) & =P(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \geq P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}, t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By putting $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of

$$
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t)\left(\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} w^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2}
$$

which is a contradiction (2.47).
Case (II): In this case we have $z^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Therefore $z^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing, for all $t \geq t_{2}$. Since $z^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t) & =z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Also using the monotonicity of $z^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
z(t)-z\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)=\int_{t-\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)}^{t} z^{\prime}(s) d s \geq\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) z^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From 2.50, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right), t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 2.54, 2.55 and 2.56, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $y^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$, we see that $w(t)$ is a positive decreasing solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha} Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}\left(w\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta / \alpha}, t \geq t_{2} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction to 2.48 . This completes the proof.
Theorem 2.8. Assume that $\gamma \geq 1,0<\beta \leq 1, b \geq 1, c \geq 1$ and $\sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{P(t) y^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}}{4^{\gamma-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive increasing solution and the second order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t) y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive decreasing solution, then equation 1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.7 and hence the details are omitted.
Corollary 2.9. Assume that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma \geq 1, \sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}-2}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}-s-1\right) P(s) d s \geq 4^{\alpha-1}\left(1+a^{\alpha}+\frac{b^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha-1}}\right) \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}}^{t}(t-s+1) Q(s) d s \geq 4^{\alpha-1}\left(1+a^{\alpha}+\frac{b^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha-1}}\right) \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Condition 2.61 and 2.62 imply that the differential inequalities 2.59 and 2.60 have no positive increasing and no positive decreasing solutions respectively see [12, 16]. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.8

Corollary 2.10. Let $\beta<\gamma, b \leq 1, c \leq 1, \sigma_{2}>\sigma_{1}>\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}} Q(s) d s\right) d t=\infty  \tag{2.63}\\
& \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\int_{t-\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}+1}^{t} P(s) d s\right) d t=\infty \tag{2.64}
\end{align*}
$$

then every solution of equation 1.1 is oscillatory.
Proof. Conditions 2.63 and 2.64 imply that the differential inequalities 2.31 and 2.32 have no positive increasing and no positive decreasing solutions respectively [12, 16]. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.5

## 3 Examples

In this section, we shall see some examples to illustrate main results.
Example 3.1. Consider the third order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((x(t)+2 x(t-1)+3 x(t+2))^{3}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=(t+1) x^{3}(t-3)+t x^{3}(t+5), t \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b(t)=2, c(t)=3, \tau_{1}=1, \tau_{2}=2, \sigma_{1}=3, \sigma_{2}=5, q(t)=t+1, p(t)=t$ and $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=3$. Then $Q(t)=t, P(t)=t-1$ and we can easily see that all the conditions of Corollary 2.9 are satisfied. Therefore all the solutions of equation (3.1) are oscillatory.

Example 3.2. Consider the third order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{2} x(t-1)+\frac{1}{3} x(t+2)\right)^{3}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=(t+1) x(t-3)+(t+2)^{2} x^{3}(t+4), t \geq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b(t)=\frac{1}{2}, c(t)=\frac{1}{3}, \tau_{1}=1, \tau_{2}=2, \sigma_{1}=3, \sigma_{2}=4, \alpha=1, \beta=1, \gamma=3, q(t)=t+1, p(t)=(t+2)^{2}$. Then $Q(t)=t, P(t)=t^{2}$ and we can easily see that all the conditions of Corollary 2.10 are satisfied. Therefore all the solutions of equation $(3.2$ are oscillatory.
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