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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the existence results of mild solution for an impulsive fractional order stochastic differential equation with infinite delay subject to nonlocal conditions. The results are obtained by using the fixed point techniques and solution operator generated by sectorial operator on a Hilbert space.
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## 1 Introduction

Recently, fractional differential equations have been proved to be valuable tools in the modeling of many phenomena in various fields of engineering, physics, economics and science. Fractional models have various applications such as nonlinear oscillations of earthquakes, viscoelasticity, electrochemistry, seepage flow in porous media, and electromagnetic, etc. There has been a significant development in fractional differential equations since last few years for more details one can see the papers ( $[7,,[8],[9],[1],[14],[15],[19])$ and references cited therein.

The deterministic systems often fluctuate due to environmental noise due to this reason it is important and necessary for researcher to study these systems. These systems are modeled as stochastic differential systems. In many evolution processes impulsive effects exist in which states are changed abruptly at certain moments of time. Therefore the stochastic differential equations with impulsive effects exist in real systems and provide a more accurate mathematical model. For more details one can see the papers ([16],[17],[18]) and references therein.

Further, if we combine the stochastic differential equation with a nonlocal initial condition strengthens the model even further. These fact motivate us to study such model in this paper. The basic tools are used in this paper including fixed-point techniques, the theory of linear semi-groups, results for probability measures, and results for infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations. The results are important from the viewpoint of applications since they cover nonlocal generalizations of integro-differential stochastic differential equation arising in various fields such as electromagnetic theory, population dynamics, and heat conduction in materials with memory, for more detail one can see the papers ([6],[13],[16],[23],[24],[25]) and references therein.

In [4] Bahuguna, considered the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)+A u(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u\left(b_{1}(t)\right), u\left(b_{2}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(b_{m}(t)\right)\right), t \in(0, T], \\
h(u)=\phi_{0} \text { on }[-\tau, 0],
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]and found the existence, uniqueness and continuation of a mild solution on the maximal interval of existence. The author also proved some regularity results under various conditions. Chauhan et al. [5] considered the following semi-linear fractional order differential equations with nonlocal condition
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{\alpha}}{d t^{\alpha}} x(t)+A x(t)=f\left(t, x(t), x\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right), t \in[0, T], t \neq t_{i}, \\
& x(0)+g(x)=x_{0}, \quad \Delta x\left(t_{i}\right)=I_{i}\left(x\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and discussed the existence and uniqueness results of solutions using the applications of classical fixed point theorems.

Balasubramaniam et al. [2] studied the existence of solutions for the the following semi-linear neutral stochastic functional differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left[x(t)+F\left(t, x(t), x\left(b_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, x\left(b_{m}(t)\right)\right)\right] & =A x(t) d t+G\left(t, x(t), x\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{n}(t)\right)\right) d w(t), t \in J=[0, b], \\
x(0) & =x_{0}+g(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A$ is a infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators $T(t), t \geq 0$, on a separable Hilbert space. By using fractional power of operators and Sadovskii fixed point theorem, the authors established the existence of mild and strong solutions.

Sakthivel et al. [22] considered the following impulsive fractional stochastic differential equations with infinite delay in the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)=A x(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}, B_{1} x(t)\right)+\sigma\left(t, x_{t}, B_{2} x(t)\right) \frac{d w(t)}{d t}, t \in[0, T], t \neq t_{k}, \\
\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right), k=1,2, \ldots, m x(t)=\phi(t), \phi(t) \in \mathfrak{B}_{h},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and studied the existence results of mild solutions and established the sufficient conditions for the existence of mild solutions by using fixed point techniques.

Motivated by the works of these author's ([2], [4], [5], [22]), we study the existence of mild solutions of the following semi-linear stochastic fractional functional differential equation of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{c} D_{t}^{\alpha} x(t)= & A x(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}, x\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sigma\left(t, x_{t}, x\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right) \frac{d w(t)}{d t}, t \in J, t \neq t_{k}  \tag{1.1}\\
\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)= & I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), k=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{1.2}\\
x(t)+g(x)= & \phi(t), t \in(-\infty, 0] \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J=[0, T]$ and ${ }^{c} D_{t}^{\alpha}$ denotes the Caputo's fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in(0,1) . A: D(A) \subset \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a closed linear sectorial operator defined on a Hilbert space $(\mathbb{H},\|\cdot\|)$. The functions $f, \sigma$ are given and satisfy some assumptions to be defined later. We assume that $x_{t}:(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, x_{t}(s)=x(t+s), s \leq 0$, belong to an abstract phase space $\mathfrak{B}_{h}$. Here $0 \leq t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{p}<t_{p+1} \leq T, I_{k} \in C(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}),(k=1,2, \ldots, p)$, are bounded functions, $\Delta x\left(t_{k}\right)=x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} x\left(t_{k}+h\right)$ and $x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} x\left(t_{k}-h\right)$ represent the right and left-hand limits of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ at $t=t_{k}$, respectively, also we take $x\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)=x\left(t_{i}\right)$.

The nonlocal condition $g: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is defined as $g(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{k} x\left(t_{k}\right)$ where $c_{k}, k=1, \ldots, p$, are given constants and $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{p}<T$. Such nonlocal conditions were first introduced by Deng [10]. The initial data $\phi=\{\phi(t), t \in(-\infty, 0]\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable, $\mathfrak{B}_{h}$-valued random variable independent of $w(t)$ with finite second moments.

To the best of our knowledge, the existence and uniqueness of mild solution for the system (1.1) - 1.3 with non local condition is an untreated topic yet in the literature and this fact is the motivation of the present work.

Our work is divided in four sections, Second section provides the basic definitions and preliminaries results which are used in proving our main results. In the third section, we state and prove the existence results of the considered problem in this the paper. The fourth section includes examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}$ be two separable Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})$ be the space of bounded linear operators from $\mathbb{K}$ into $\mathbb{H}$. For convenience, we will use the same notation $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the norms in $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})$, and
use $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to denote the inner product of $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{K}$ without any confusion. Let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F},\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ be a complete filtered probability space satisfying that $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ contains all $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathcal{F} . \mathbb{W}=(\mathbb{W})_{t \geq 0}$ be a Q-Wiener process defined on $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F},\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ with the covariance operator $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{Q}<\infty$. We assume that there exists a complete orthonormal system $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{K}$, a bounded sequence of nonnegative real numbers $\lambda_{k}$ such that $\mathrm{Q} e_{k}=\lambda_{k} e_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, and a sequence of independent Brownian motions $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that

$$
(w(t), e)_{\mathbb{K}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_{k}}\left(e_{k}, e\right)_{\mathbb{K}} \beta_{k}(t), e \in \mathbb{K}, t \geq 0
$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{2}^{0}=\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H}\right)$ be the space of all Hilbert Schmidt operators from $\mathbb{Q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{K}$ to $\mathbb{H}$ with the inner product $<\varphi, \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{2}^{0}}=\operatorname{Tr}[\varphi \mathbf{Q} \psi *]$.

Now, we introduce abstract space phase $\mathfrak{B}_{h}$. Assume that $h:(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with $l=\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(t) d t<\infty$, a continuous function. An abstract phase $\mathfrak{B}_{h}$ defined by
$\mathfrak{B}_{h}=\left\{\phi:(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\right.$, for any $a>0,\left(E|\phi(\theta)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is bounded and measurable function on $[-a, 0]$ with $\phi(0)=0$ and $\left.\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(s) \sup _{s \leq \theta \leq 0}\left(E|\phi(\theta)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d s<\infty\right\}$.

If $\mathfrak{B}_{h}$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}=\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(s) \sup _{s \leq \theta \leq 0}\left(E|\phi(\theta)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d s, \phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}
$$

then $\left(\mathfrak{B}_{h},\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}\right)$ is a Banach space $([20],[21])$.
Now we consider the space

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime}= & \left\{x:(-\infty, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \text { such that }\left.x\right|_{J_{k}} \in C\left(J_{k}, \mathbb{H}\right)\right. \text { and there exist } \\
& \left.x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \text {and } x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {with } x\left(t_{k}\right)=x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), x_{0}=\phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}, k=1,2, \ldots, p\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.x\right|_{J_{k}}$ is the restriction of x to $J_{k}=\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right], k=0,1,2, \ldots, p$. The function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime}}$ to be a semi-norm in $\mathfrak{B}_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, it is defined by

$$
\|x\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime}}=\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}+\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left(E\|x(s)\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, x \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. ([2]) Assume that $x \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime}$, then for $t \in J, x_{t} \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}$. Moreover,

$$
l\left(E\|x(t)\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq l \sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left(E\|x(s)\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left\|x_{0}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}, \text { where } l=\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(s) d s<\infty
$$

Definition 2.1. The Reimann-Liouville fractional integral operator for order $\alpha>0$, of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, X\right)$ is defined by

$$
\mathbb{J}_{t}^{0} f(t)=f(t), \mathbb{J}_{t}^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) d s, \quad \alpha>0, t>0
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function.
Definition 2.2. Caputo's derivative of order $\alpha>0$ for a function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
D_{t}^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) d s=\mathbb{J}^{n-\alpha} f^{(n)}(t)
$$

for $n-1<\alpha<n, n \in N$. If $0<\alpha<1$, then

$$
D_{t}^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} f^{(1)}(s) d s
$$

Obviously, Caputo's derivative of a constant is equal to zero.

Definition 2.3. A two parameter function of the Mittag Lefller type is defined by the series expansion

$$
E_{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \iota} \int_{c} \frac{\mu^{\alpha-\beta} e^{\mu}}{\mu^{\alpha}-z} d \mu, \alpha, \beta>0, z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

where $c$ is a contour which starts and ends at $-\infty$ and encircles the disc $|\mu| \leq|z|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ counter clockwise. The most interesting properties of the Mittag Lefller functions are associated with their Laplace integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} t^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\omega t^{\alpha}\right) d t=\frac{\lambda^{\alpha-\beta}}{\lambda^{\alpha}-\omega}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \omega>0
$$

Definition 2.4. [12] A closed and linear operator $A$ is said to be sectorial if there are constants $\omega \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in$ $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right], M>0$, such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{(\theta, \omega)}=\{\lambda \in C: \lambda \neq \omega,|\arg (\lambda-\omega)|<\theta\} \subset \rho(A)  \tag{1}\\
& \|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda-\omega|}, \lambda \in \sum_{(\theta, \omega)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.5. [1] Let $A$ be a closed and linear operator with the domain $D(A)$ defined in a Banach space $X$. Let $\rho(A)$ be the resolvent set of $A$. We say that $A$ is the generator of an $\alpha$-resolvent family if there exist $\omega \geq 0$ and a strongly continuous function $T_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, where $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a Banach space of all bounded linear operators from $X$ into $X$ and the corresponding norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|$, such that $\left\{\lambda^{\alpha}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega\right\} \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$
\left(\lambda^{\alpha} I-A\right)^{-1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} T_{\alpha}(t) x d t, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega, x \in X
$$

where $T_{\alpha}(t)$ is called the $\alpha$-resolvent family generated by $A$.
Definition 2.6. [11] Let $A$ be a closed and linear operator with the domain $D(A)$ defined in a Banach space $X$ and $\alpha>0$. We say that $A$ is the generator of a solution operator if there exist $\omega \geq 0$ and a strongly continuous function $S_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, such that $\left\{\lambda^{\alpha}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega\right\} \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$
\lambda^{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda^{\alpha} I-A\right)^{-1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda t} S_{\alpha}(t) x d t, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda>\omega, x \in X
$$

where $S_{\alpha}(t)$ is called the solution operator generated by $A$.
Theorem 2.1. [26](Schauder fixed point theorem) If $U$ is a closed, bounded, convex subset of a Banach space $X$ and the mapping $T: U \rightarrow U$ is completely continuous, then $T$ has a fixed point in $U$.
Definition 2.7. A measurable $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ - adapted stochastic process $x:(-\infty, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called a mild solution of the system 1.1-1.3 if $x(0)=\phi(0)-g(x) \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}$ on $(-\infty, 0],\left.\Delta x\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), k=1,2, \ldots, p$, the restriction of $x(\cdot)$ to the interval $[0, T) \backslash t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}$, is continuous and $x(t)$ satisfies the following fractional integral equation

$$
x(t)= \begin{cases}S_{\alpha}(t)(\phi(0)-g(x))+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s &  \tag{2.4}\\ +\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[x\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(x\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \\ \cdots & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\left[x\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+I_{p}\left(x\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{p}, T\right],\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
S_{\alpha}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t} \lambda^{\alpha-1}\left(\lambda^{\alpha} I-A\right)^{-1} d \lambda, T_{\alpha}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{\lambda t}\left(\lambda^{\alpha} I-A\right)^{-1} d \lambda
$$

are called analytic solutions operator and $\alpha$-resolvent family and $\Gamma$ is a suitable path lying on $\sum_{\theta, \omega}$ for more details one can see [11].

Further we introduce the following assumptions to establish our results:
(H0) If $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $A \in \mathbb{A}^{\alpha}\left(\theta_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$ then for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and $t>0$ we have $\left\|T_{\alpha}(t)\right\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$ and $\left\|S_{\alpha}(t)\right\| \leq$ $C e^{\omega t}\left(1+t^{\alpha-1}\right), \omega>\omega_{0}$. Thus we have

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha}(t)\right\| \leq \tilde{M}_{T} \text { and }\left\|S_{\alpha}(t)\right\| \leq t^{\alpha-1} \tilde{M}_{S}
$$

where $\tilde{M}_{T}=\sup _{0 \geq t \geq T}\left\|T_{\alpha}(t)\right\|$ and $\widetilde{M}_{S}=\sup _{0 \geq t \geq T} C e^{\omega t}\left(1+t^{1-\alpha}\right)$ (for more details, see [12]).
(H1) There exist a constants $L_{g}>0$, such that $E\|g(x)-g(y)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq L_{g}\|x-y\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}$.
(H2) The nonlinear maps $f: J \times \mathfrak{B}_{h} \times \mathbb{H}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $\sigma: J \times \mathfrak{B}_{h} \times \mathbb{H}^{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})$ are continuous and there exist constants $L_{f}, L_{\sigma}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|f\left(t, \varphi, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-f\left(t, \psi, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} & \leq L_{f}\left[\|\varphi-\psi\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} E\left\|x_{i}-y_{i}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}\right] \\
E\left\|\sigma\left(t, \varphi, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-\sigma\left(t, \psi, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})}^{2} & \leq L_{\sigma}\left[\|\varphi-\psi\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} E\left\|x_{i}-y_{i}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{m}, t \in J$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}$.
(H3) The functions $I_{k}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are continuous and there exists $L_{k}>0$, such that

$$
E\left\|I_{k}(x)-I_{k}(y)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq L_{k} E\|x-y\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}
$$

$x, y \in \mathbb{H}, k=1,2, \ldots, p, L=\max \left\{L_{k}\right\}>L_{g}$.

## 3 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

Theorem 3.2. Let the assumptions (H0)-(H3) are satisfied and

$$
\Theta=\left[3 \tilde{M}_{S}^{2}(1+L)+3 \tilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} L_{f}(l+m)+3 \tilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} L_{\sigma}(l+m)\right]<1
$$

then the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique mild solution $x \in \mathbb{H}$ on $J$.
Proof. First we convert the problem 1.1 - 1.3 into a fixed point problem. Consider the operator $P: \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
(P x)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
S_{\alpha}(t)(\phi(0)-g(x))+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\
+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \\
S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[x\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(x\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\
+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\
\ldots & \\
S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\left[x\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+I_{p}\left(x\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)\right]+\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\
+\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, x_{s}, x\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, x\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{p}, T\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $y():.(-\infty, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the function defined by

$$
y(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\phi(t), & t \in(-\infty, 0] \\
0, & t \in J,
\end{array} \text { then } y_{0}=\phi\right.
$$

For each $z: J \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\left.z\right|_{t_{k}} \in C\left(J_{k}, \mathbb{H}\right), k=1, \ldots, p$ and $z(0)=0$, we denote by $\bar{z}$ the function defined by

$$
\bar{z}= \begin{cases}0, & t \in(-\infty, 0] \\ z(t), & t \in J\end{cases}
$$

If $x(\cdot)$ satisfies the system (2.4), then we can decompose $x(\cdot)$ as $x(t)=y(t)+\bar{z}(t)$, which implies $x_{t}=y_{t}+\bar{z}_{t}$ for $t \in J$ and the function $z(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$
z(t)= \begin{cases}S_{\alpha}(t)(\phi(0)-g(y+\bar{z}))+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right),\right. & \\ \left.\ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s},\right. & t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], \\ \left.y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right] & \\ +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \\ \ldots & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\left[y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+I_{p}\left(y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)\right] & \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{p}, T\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Set $\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}$, such that $z_{0}=0$ and for any $z \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}$, we have

$$
\|z\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}}=\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}+\sup _{t \in J}\left(E\|z(t)\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\sup _{t \in J}\left(E\|z(t)\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Thus $\left(\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime},\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is a Banach space. Define an operator $N: \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}$ by

$$
(N z)(t)= \begin{cases}S_{\alpha}(t)(\phi(0)-g(y+\bar{z}))+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right),\right. & \\ \left.\ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s},\right. & \\ \left.y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right] & \\ +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \\ \ldots & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\left[y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+I_{p}\left(y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)\right] & \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{p}, T\right] .\end{cases}
$$

In order to prove existence results, it is enough to show that $N$ has a unique fixed point. Let $z, z^{*} \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}$ then for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|S_{\alpha}(t)\left[g(y+\bar{z})-g\left(y+\bar{z}^{*}\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{*}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{*}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying assumptions, we have

$$
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq\left(3 \widetilde{M}_{S}^{2} L_{g}+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} L_{f}(l+m)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} L_{\sigma}(l+m)\right)\left\|z-z^{*}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}}^{2}
$$

For $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)-\bar{z}^{*}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)-I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{*}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{*}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying assumptions, we obtain

$$
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq\left(3 \widetilde{M}_{S}^{2}\left(1+L_{1}\right)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} L_{f}(l+m)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} L_{\sigma}(l+m)\right)\left\|z-z^{*}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}}^{2} .
$$

Similarly, for $t \in\left(t_{p}, T\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\left[\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)-\bar{z}^{*}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+I_{p}\left(y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)-I_{p}\left(y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{n}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{n}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{*}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{*}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{*}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying assumptions, we have

$$
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq\left(3 \widetilde{M}_{S}^{2}\left(1+L_{p}\right)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} L_{f}(l+m)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} L_{\sigma}(l+m)\right)\left\|z-z^{*}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}}^{2}
$$

Thus for all $t \in[0, T]$, we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|(N z)(t)-\left(N z^{*}\right)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} & \leq\left\{3 \widetilde{M}_{S}^{2}(1+L)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} L_{f}(l+m)+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} L_{\sigma}(l+m)\right\}\left\|z-z^{*}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}}^{2} \\
& \leq \Theta\left\|z-z^{*}\right\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime} .}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Theta<1$ as in the Theorem 3.2, therefore $N$ is a contraction. Hence $N$ has a unique fixed point by Banach contraction principle. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The second result is proved by using the Schauder fixed point theorem. For this we take the following assumptions
(H4) There exist a constants $M_{1}>0$, such that $E\|g(x)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq M_{1}$.
(H5) The functions $I_{k}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are continuous and there exists $M_{2}>0$, such that $E\left\|I_{k}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq M_{2}$.
(H6) $f, \sigma: J \times \mathfrak{B}_{h} \times \mathbb{H}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are continuous and there exits constants $M_{3}, M_{4}$, such that

$$
E\left\|f\left(t, \varphi, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq M_{3}, E\left\|\sigma\left(t, \varphi, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq M_{4} .
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let the assumptions (H3)-(H6) are satisfied then the impulsive stochastic differential equation (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one mild solution.

Proof. let us consider the space $B_{r}=\left\{y \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}:\|y\| \leq r\right\}$. It is obvious that $B_{r}$ is closed convex and bounded subset of $\mathfrak{B}_{h}^{\prime \prime}$. Consider the operator $N: B_{r} \rightarrow B_{r}$ defined by

$$
(N z)(t)= \begin{cases}s_{\alpha}(t)(\phi(0)-g(y+\bar{z}))+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right),\right. & \\ \left.\ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s},\right. & t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], \\ \left.y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left[y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right] & \\ +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{1} t}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \\ \ldots & \\ S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{p}\right)\left[y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+I_{p}\left(y\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{p}^{-}\right)\right)\right] & \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s & \\ +\int_{t_{p}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s) \sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d w(s), & t \in\left(t_{p}, T\right] .\end{cases}
$$

First we shall show that $N$ is continuous, for this let $\left\{z^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $B_{r}$ such that $\lim z^{n} \rightarrow z \in B_{r}$. When $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|\left(N z^{n}\right)(t)-(N z)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E \| S_{\alpha}(t)\left[g\left(y+\bar{z}^{n}\right)-g(y+\bar{z}) \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}\right. \\
& +3 E \| \int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{n}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{n}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, p$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|\left(N z^{n}\right)(t)-(N z)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{i}\right)\left[\bar{z}^{n}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)-\bar{z}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+I_{i}\left(y\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)-I_{i}\left(y\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{i}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{n}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{i}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}^{n}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}^{n}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the functions $f, \sigma, g$ and $I_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, p$, are continuous, hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\|\left(N z^{n}\right)(t)-(N z)(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \rightarrow 0$. This implies that the mapping $N$ is continuous on $B_{r}$.

Now we show that $N$ maps bounded set into bounded sets in $B_{r}$. Let $z \in B_{r}$ then we have $E\|(N z)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq$ $\hat{M}$, for $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], i=0,1,2, \ldots, p$. Then for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\|(N z)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|S_{\alpha}(t)[\phi(0)+g(y+\bar{z})]\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E\left\|\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E\left\|\int_{0}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& \leq 3 \widetilde{M}_{S}^{2}\left[r+M_{1}\right]+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} M_{3}+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} M_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], i=1,2, \ldots, p$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\|(N z)(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|S_{\alpha}\left(t-t_{i}\right)\left[y\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+I_{i}\left(y\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{i}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[f\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s \|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}\right. \\
& +3 E\left\|\int_{t_{i}}^{t} T_{\alpha}(t-s)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \\
& \leq 3 \widetilde{M}_{S}^{2}\left[r+M_{2}\right]+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha}}{\alpha^{2}} M_{3}+3 \widetilde{M}_{T}^{2} \frac{T^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} M_{4}=\hat{M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It proves that $N$ maps bounded set into bounded sets in $B_{r}$ for all sub interval $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], i=1,2, \ldots, p$. Finally, we show that $N$ maps bounded set into equi-continuous sets in $B_{r}$. let $l_{1}, l_{2} \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], t_{i} \leq l_{1}<l_{2} \leq$ $t_{i+1}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, p, z \in B_{r}$, we obtain for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|(N z)\left(l_{2}\right)-(N z)\left(l_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|\left[S_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}\right)-S_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}\right)\right]\left[\phi_{0}+g(y+\bar{z})\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{0}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right]\left[f \left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots,\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s\left\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}+3 E\right\| \int_{0}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right] \\
& \times\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}, \\
\leq & 3\left[M_{1}+r\right] E\left\|\left[S_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}\right)-S_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}+3 M_{3} E\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 M_{4} E\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], i=1,2, \ldots, p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|(N z)\left(l_{2}\right)-(N z)\left(l_{1}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \leq & 3 E\left\|\left[S_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-t_{i}\right)-S_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-t_{i}\right)\right]\left[y\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+I_{i}\left(y\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+\bar{z}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 E \| \int_{t_{i}}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right]\left[f \left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots,\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d s\left\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}+3 E\right\| \int_{t_{i}}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right] \\
& \times\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{s}+\bar{z}_{s}, y\left(a_{1}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{1}(s)\right), \ldots, y\left(a_{m}(s)\right)+\bar{z}\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)\right] d w(s) \|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}, \\
\leq & 3\left[M_{2}+r\right] E\left\|\left[S_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-t_{i}\right)-S_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-t_{i}\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}+3 M_{3} E\left\|\int_{t_{i}}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \\
& +3 M_{4} E\left\|\int_{t_{i}}^{t}\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-s\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-s\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{\alpha}(t)$ and $S_{\alpha}(t)$ are strongly continuous its implies that $\lim _{l_{2} \rightarrow l_{1}}\left\|\left[S_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-t_{i}\right)-S_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-t_{i}\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}=0$ and $\lim _{l_{2} \rightarrow l_{1}}\left\|\left[T_{\alpha}\left(l_{2}-t_{i}\right)-T_{\alpha}\left(l_{1}-t_{i}\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}=0$ This implies that $N$ is equi-continuous on all subintervals $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], i=1,2, \ldots, p$. Thus by Arzela -Ascoli theorem, it follows that $N$ is a compact operator. Hence $N$ is completely continuous operator. Therefore, by Schauder fixed point theorem, the operator $N$ has a fixed point, which in turns implies that $(1.1)-(1.3)$ has at least one solution on $[0, T]$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 4 Example

Example 4.1. Consider the following nonlocal impulsive fractional partial differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{q}}{\partial t^{q}} u(t, x)= & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} u(t, x)+\frac{1}{25} \int_{-\infty}^{t} H(t, x, s-t) Q_{1}\left(u(s, x), u\left(a_{1}(s), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s\right. \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{25} \int_{-\infty}^{t} V(t, x, s-t) Q_{2}\left(u(s, x), u\left(a_{1}(s), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right) d s\right] \frac{d w(t)}{d t}\right.  \tag{4.5}\\
u(t, 0)= & u(t, \pi)=0, t \geq 0  \tag{4.6}\\
u(t, x)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} u\left(x, t_{k}\right)= & \phi(t, x), t \in(-\infty, 0], x \in[0, \pi]  \tag{4.7}\\
\Delta u\left(t_{i}\right)(x)= & \frac{1}{9} \int_{-\infty}^{t_{i}} q_{i}\left(t_{i}-s\right) u(s, x) d s, x \in[0, \pi] \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial q}{\partial t q}$ is Caputo's fractional derivative of order $0<q<1,0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{n} \leq T$ are prefixed numbers, $\phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}$. Let $\mathbb{H}=L^{2}[0, \pi]$ and define the operator $A: D(A) \subset \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ by $A \omega=\omega^{\prime \prime}$ with the domain $D(A):=$ $\left\{\omega \in X: \omega, \omega^{\prime}\right.$ are absolutely continuous, $\left.\omega^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{H}, \omega(0)=0=\omega(\pi)\right\}$. Then
$A \omega=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\omega, \omega_{n}\right) \omega_{n}, \omega \in D(A)$, where $\omega_{n}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (n x), n \in N$ is the orthogonal set of eigenvectors of $A$. It is well known that $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ and is given by

$$
T(t) \omega=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^{2} t}\left(\omega, \omega_{n}\right) \omega_{n}, \text { for all } \omega \in \mathbb{H}, \text { and every } t>0
$$

The subordination principle of solution operator (Theorem 3.1 in [3]) implies that $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a solution operator $\left\{S_{\alpha}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$. Since $S_{\alpha}(t)$ is strongly continuous on $[0, \infty)$, by uniformly bounded theorem, there exists a constant $M>0$, such that $\left\|S_{\alpha}(t)\right\|_{L(\mathbb{H})} \leq M$, for $t \in[0, T]$. Let $h(s)=e^{2 s}, s<0$ then $l=\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(s) d s=\frac{1}{2}$ and define

$$
\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{B}_{h}}=\int_{-\infty}^{0} h(s) \sup _{\theta \in[s, 0]}\|\phi(\theta)\|_{L^{2}} d s
$$

Hence for $(t, \phi) \in[0, T] \times \mathfrak{B}_{h}$, where $\phi(\theta)(x)=\phi(\theta, x),(\theta, x) \in(-\infty, 0] \times[0, \pi]$. Set $u(t)(x)=u(t, x)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.f\left(t, \phi, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)\right)(x) & =\frac{1}{25} \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(t, x, \theta) Q_{1}\left(\phi\left(\theta, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)(x)\right) d \theta \\
\left.\sigma\left(t, \phi, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)\right)(x) & =\frac{1}{25} \int_{-\infty}^{0} V(t, x, \theta) Q_{2}\left(\phi\left(\theta, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)(x)\right) d \theta \\
I_{i}(\phi)(x) & =\frac{1}{9} \int_{-\infty}^{0} q_{i}(-\theta) \phi(\theta)(x) d \theta \\
g(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} u\left(x, t_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then with these settings the equations (4.5)-4.8) can be written in the abstract form of equations 1.1)-1.3. Further we have here $L_{f}=\frac{1}{25}, L_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{25}, L=\frac{1}{9}, T=1, l=\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{M}_{T}=1, \widetilde{M}_{S}=\frac{1}{5}$ and $m=2$. In this formulation of the problem we can verify the assumptions of Theorem (3.2). We get the value of condition in Theorem (3.2) as $\Theta=.73<1$. This implies that there exists a unique mild solution $u$ on $[0,1]$.

Example 4.2. Here we consider the following non-trivial problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{q}}{\partial t^{q}} u(t, x)= & \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} u(t, x)+\frac{e^{-t}}{25+e^{t}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} H(t, x, s-t)\left[Q_{1}\left(u(s, x), u\left(a_{1}(s), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)+\frac{t}{7}\right] d s\right. \\
& +\frac{e^{-t}}{25+e^{t}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} V(t, x, s-t)\left[Q_{2}\left(u(s, x), u\left(a_{1}(s), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(s)\right)\right)+\frac{t}{7}\right] d w(s)\right.  \tag{4.9}\\
u(t, x)= & u(t, \pi)=0, t \geq 0  \tag{4.10}\\
u(t, x)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} u\left(x, t_{k}\right)= & \phi(t, x), t \in(-\infty, 0], x \in[0, \pi]  \tag{4.11}\\
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=\frac{1}{2}^{-}}= & \sin \left(\frac{1}{9}\left\|u\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}, x\right)\right\|\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1,0 \leq x \leq \pi \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q \in(0,1)$. In the perspective of Example 1 we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.f\left(t, \phi, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)\right)(x) & =\frac{e^{-t}}{25+e^{t}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(t, x, \theta)\left[Q_{1}\left(\phi\left(\theta, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)(x)\right)+\frac{t}{7}\right] d \theta, \\
\left.\sigma\left(t, \phi, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)\right)(x) & =\frac{e^{-t}}{25+e^{t}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} V(t, x, \theta)\left[Q_{2}\left(\phi\left(\theta, u\left(a_{1}(t)\right), \ldots, u\left(a_{m}(t)\right)\right)(x)\right)+\frac{t}{7}\right] d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then with these settings the equations (4.9)-(4.12) can be written in the abstract form of equations (1.1)-(1.3). Hence the our problem $\sqrt{4.9}$ - -4.12 ) have a unique mild solution on $[0,1]$.
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