Malaya
Journal of
MatematikMJM
an international journal of mathematical sciences with
computer applications...



www.malayajournal.org

On semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold

Shamsur Rahman^{*a*,*} and Arjumand Ahmad ^{*b*}

^{a,b}Department of Mathematics, Maulana Azad National Urdu University & Polytechnic Darbhanga (Centre),Bihar 846001, India.

Abstract

Semi-invariant submanifold of a trans Sasakian manifold has been studies. In the present paper we study semi invariant submanifolds of a nearly trans hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Nejenhuis tensor in a nearly trans hyperbolic Sasakian manifold is calculated. Integrability conditions for some distributions on a semi invariant submanifold of a nearly trans hyperbolic Sasakian manifold are investigated.

Keywords: Semi-invariant submanifolds, nearly trans hyperbolic Sasakian manifold, Gauss and Weingarten equations, integrability conditions, distributions.

2010 MSC: 53D12,53C05.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

The study of geometry of semi invariant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold has been studied by Bejancu [1] and Bejancu and Papaghuic [4]. After that a number of authors have studied these submanifolds ([3],[5],[12]). Latter on, Oubina [8] introduced a new class of almost contact Riemannian manifold known as trans Sasakian manifold. Upadhyay and Dube [13] have studied almost contact hyperbolic (f, g, η , ξ)-structure. Shahid studied on semi invariant submanifolds of a nearly Sasakian manifold [14]. Matsumoto, Shahid, and Mihai [10] have also worked on semi invariant submanifolds of certain almost contact manifolds. Joshi and Dube [15] studied on Semi-invariant submanifold of an almost *r*-contact hyperbolic metric manifold. Gill and Dube have worked on CR submanifolds of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifolds [7].

2 Preliminaries

Nearly trans hyperbolic Sasakian Manifolds: Let \overline{M} be an *n* dimensional almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold with the almost hyperbolic contact metric structure (ϕ , ξ , η , g) where a tensor ϕ of type (1, 1), a vector field ξ , called structure vector field and η , the dual 1-form of is a 1-form ξ satisfying the following

$$\phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(X,\xi) = \eta(X),$$
(2.1)

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1$$
 (2.2)

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$
(2.3)

for any *X*,*Y* tangents to \overline{M} [6]. In this case

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y) \tag{2.4}$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: shamsur@rediffmail.com (Shamsur Rahman), aarrjjuummaanndd@gmail.com(Arjumand Ahmad).

An almost hyperbolic contact metric structure (ϕ , ξ , η , g) on \overline{M} is called trans-hyperbolic Sasakian [7] if and only if

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X] + \beta[g(\phi X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X]$$
(2.5)

for all *X*,*Y* tangents to \overline{M} and α , β are functions on \overline{M} . On a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold *M*, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\alpha(\phi X) + \beta[X - \eta(X)\xi] \tag{2.6}$$

a Riemannian metric *g* and Riemannian connection $\overline{\nabla}$. Further, an almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} on (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian if [9]

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X = \alpha[2g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y] - \beta[\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X]$$
(2.7)

Semi-invariant submanifolds: Let M be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold \overline{M} endowed with a Riemannian metric g. Then Gauss and Wiengarten formulae are given respectively by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \quad (X, Y \in TM)$$
(2.8)

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^{\perp} N \quad (N \epsilon T^{\perp} M) \tag{2.9}$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$, ∇ and ∇^{\perp} are respectively the Riemannian, induced Riemannian and induced normal connections in \overline{M} , M and the normal bundle of $T^{\perp}M$ of M respectively, and h is the second fundamental form related to A by

$$g(h(X,Y),N) = g(A_N X,Y)$$
(2.10)

Moreover, if ϕ is a (1, 1) tensor field on \overline{M} , for $X \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$ we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = ((\nabla_X P)Y - A_{FY}X - th(X, Y)) + ((\nabla_X F)Y + h(X, PY) - fh(X, Y))$$
(2.11)

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)N = ((\nabla_X t)Y - A_{fN}X - PA_NX)) + ((\nabla_X f)N + h(X, tN) - FA_NX))$$

$$(2.12)$$

where

$$\phi X \equiv PX + FX \quad (PX\epsilon TM, FX\epsilon T^{\perp}M) \tag{2.13}$$

$$\phi N \equiv tN + fN \quad (tN\epsilon TM, fN\epsilon T^{\perp}M) \tag{2.14}$$

$$(\nabla_X P)Y \equiv \nabla_X PY - P\nabla_X Y, \quad (\nabla_X F)Y \equiv \nabla_X^{\perp} FY - F\nabla_X Y (\nabla_X t)N \equiv \nabla_X tN - t\nabla_X^{\perp} N, \quad (\nabla_X f)N \equiv \nabla_X^{\perp} fN - f\nabla_X^{\perp} N$$

The submanifold *M* is known to be totally geodesic in \overline{M} if h = 0, minimal in \overline{M} if H = trace(h)/dim(M) = 0, and totally umbilical in \overline{M} if h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H.

For a distribution *D* on *M*, *M* is said to be *D*-totally geodesic if for all *X*, $Y \in D$ we have h(X, Y) = 0. If for all *X*, $Y \in D$ we have h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)K for some normal vector *K*, then *M* is called *D*-totally umbilical. For two distributions *D* and ε defined on *M*, *M* is said to be (D, ε) -mixed totally geodesic if for all $X \in D$ and $Y \in \varepsilon$ we have h(X, Y) = 0.

Let *D* and ε be two distributions defined on a manifold *M*. We say that *D* is ε -parallel if for all $X\varepsilon\varepsilon$ and $Y\varepsilon D$ we have $\nabla_X Y\varepsilon D$. If *D* is *D*-parallel then it is called autoparallel. *D* is called *X*-parallel for some $X\varepsilon TM$ if for all $Y\varepsilon D$ we have $\nabla_X Y\varepsilon D$. *D* is said to be parallel if for all $X\varepsilon TM$ and $Y\varepsilon D$, $\nabla_X Y\varepsilon D$.

If a distribution D on M is autoparallel, then it is clearly integrable, and by Gauss formula D is totally geodesic in M. If D is parallel then the orthogonal complementary distribution D^{\perp} is also parallel, which implies that D is parallel if and only if D^{\perp} is parallel. In this case M is locally the product of the leaves of D and D^{\perp} .

Let *M* be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold. If $\xi \in TM$ then we write $TM = \{\xi\} \oplus \{\xi\}^{\perp}$, where (ξ) is the distribution spanned by ξ and $\{\xi\}^{\perp}$ is the complementary orthogonal distribution of $\{\xi\}$ in *M*. Then one gets

$$P\xi = 0 = F\xi, \quad \eta o P = 0 = \eta o F, \tag{2.15}$$

$$P^2 + tF = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad FP + fF = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

$$f^2 + Ft = -I, \quad tf + Pt = 0$$
 (2.17)

A submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} with $\xi \in TM$ is called a semi-invariant submanifold (Bejancu, [1]) of \overline{M} if there exists two differentiable distributions D^1 and D^0 on M such that

- (1) $TM = D^1 \oplus D^0 \oplus \{\xi\},\$
- (2) the distribution D^1 is invariant by ϕ , that is, $\phi(D^1) = D^1$ and
- (3) the distribution D^0 is anti-invariant by ϕ , that is, $\phi(D^0) \subseteq T^{\perp}M$.

For $X \in TM$ we can write

$$X = D^1 X + D^0 X + \eta(X)\xi$$
(2.18)

where D^1 and D^0 are the projection operators of TM on D^1 and D^0 , respectively. A semi-invariant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold becomes an invariant submanifold ([2], [11]) (resp. anti-invariant submanifold ([2], [11]) if $D^0 = \{0\}$ (resp. $D^1 = \{0\}$).

3 The Nijenhuis tensor

A hyperbolic contact metric manifold is said to be normal ([6]) if the torsion tensor N^1 vanishes:

$$N^{1} \equiv [\phi, \phi] + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

where $[\phi, \phi]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ and d denotes the exterior derivatives operatoer. In this section we obtain expression for Nijenhuis tensor $[\phi, \phi]$ of the structure tensor field ϕ given by

$$[\phi,\phi](X,Y) = ((\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi Y}\phi)X) - \phi((\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X)$$
(3.20)

in a nearly trans hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. In an almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)\phi X = -\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X - ((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)X)\xi - \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\xi$$
(3.21)

Proof. For *X*, $Y \in T\overline{M}$, we have

$$\begin{split} (\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)\phi X &= -\phi^{2}\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + \bar{\nabla}_{Y}X - ((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)X)\xi - \eta(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X)\xi - \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\xi \\ &= -\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X + \eta(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X)\xi - \phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + \bar{\nabla}_{Y}X - ((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)X)\xi - \eta(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X)\xi - \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\xi \end{split}$$

which gives the equation (3.21).

Now, we prove the following theorem

Theorem 3.1. In a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold the Nijenhuis tensor $[\phi, \phi]$ of ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi,\phi](X,Y) &= 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X + 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_Y\xi - \eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_X\xi \\ &+ 4\alpha g(\phi X,Y)\xi + (\alpha+\beta)\eta(Y)\phi^2X + 3(\alpha+\beta)\eta(X)\phi^2Y \end{aligned}$$
(3.22)

Proof. Using Lemma 3.1 and $\eta o \phi = 0$ in (2.7) we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y = \phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + ((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)X)\xi + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\xi + 2\alpha g(\phi X, Y)\xi - (\alpha + \beta)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X$$
(3.23)

306

Thus

$$\begin{split} & [\phi,\phi](X,Y) = ((\nabla_{\phi X}\phi)Y - (\nabla_{\phi Y}\phi)X) - \phi((\nabla_{X}\phi)Y - (\nabla_{Y}\phi)X) \\ &= 2\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X - 2\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{X}\phi)Y + [((\bar{\nabla}_{X}\eta)Y)\bar{\xi} - ((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)X)\bar{\xi}] + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\bar{\xi} \\ & -\eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_{X}\bar{\xi} + 4\alpha g(\phi X,Y)\bar{\xi} - (\alpha + \beta)[\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X - \eta(X)\phi^{2}Y] \\ &= 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X - 2\phi[\alpha(2g(X,Y)\bar{\xi} \\ & -\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X)] \\ & + 2d\eta(X,Y)\bar{\xi} + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\bar{\xi} - \eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_{X}\bar{\xi} \\ & + 4\alpha g(\phi X,Y)\bar{\xi} - (\alpha + \beta)[\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X - \eta(X)\phi^{2}Y] \\ &= 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + 2\alpha\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X + 2\alpha\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y - \beta[\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X] \\ & + 2d\eta(X,Y)\bar{\xi} + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\bar{\xi} - \eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_{X}\bar{\xi} \\ & + 4\alpha g(\phi X,Y)\bar{\xi} - (\alpha + \beta)[\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X - \eta(X)\phi^{2}Y] \\ &= 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + 2(\alpha + \beta)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X + 2(\alpha + \beta)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y + 2d\eta(X,Y)\bar{\xi} \\ & -(\alpha + \beta)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X + (\alpha + \beta)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y] \\ & [\phi,\phi](X,Y) = 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + 2d\eta(X,Y)\bar{\xi} + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\bar{\xi} - \eta(Y)\bar{\nabla}_{X}\bar{\xi} \\ & + 4\alpha g(\phi X,Y)\bar{\xi} + (\alpha + \beta)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X + 3(\alpha + \beta)\eta(X)\phi^{2}Y \end{split}$$

which implies the equation (3.22). From Equation (3.22), we get

$$\eta(N^1(X,Y)) = 3d\eta(X,Y) - 4\alpha g(X,\phi Y)$$
(3.24)

In particular, if *X* and *Y* are perpendicular to ξ , then (3.22) gives

$$[\phi,\phi](X,Y) = 4\phi(\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X - 2(\eta[X,Y])\xi \tag{3.25}$$

4 Some basic results

Let *M* be a submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Using (2.11), (2.13) in (2.7) for *X*, $Y \in TM$, we get

$$(\nabla_X P)Y + (\nabla_Y P)X - A_{FY}X - A_{FX}Y - 2th(X,Y) + (\nabla_X F)Y$$

$$+ (\nabla_Y F)X + h(X,PY) + h(Y,PX) - 2fh(X,Y)$$

$$= \alpha [2g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)PX - \eta(Y)FX - \eta(X)PY - \eta(X)FY]$$

$$-\beta [\eta(X)PY + \eta(X)FY + \eta(Y)PX + \eta(Y)FX]$$

$$(4.26)$$

Consequently, we have

Proposition 4.1. Let M be a submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Then for all X, Y ϵ TM we have

$$(\nabla_X P)Y + (\nabla_Y P)X - A_{FY}X - A_{FX}Y - 2th(X,Y)$$

= $2\alpha g(X,Y)\xi - (\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)PX + \eta(X)PY)$ (4.27)

and

$$(\nabla_X F)Y + (\nabla_Y F)X + h(X, PY) + h(Y, PX) - 2fh(X, Y)$$

= $-(\alpha + \beta)[\eta(X)FY + \eta(Y)FX]$ (4.28)

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

Now we state the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. Let M be a submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Then

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X}\phi Y + \bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi X - \phi[X,Y] = 2((\nabla_{X}P)Y - A_{FY}X - th(X,Y))$$

$$+2((\nabla_{X}F)Y + h(X,PY) - fh(X,Y)) + 2\alpha g(X,Y)\xi)$$

$$-(\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)PX + \eta(X)PY) - (\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)FX + \eta(X)FY)$$

$$(4.29)$$

Consequently,

$$P[X,Y] = A_{FY}X + A_{FX}Y + 2th(X,Y) - 2\alpha g(X,Y)\xi$$
(4.30)

$$-(\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)PX + \eta(X)PY - \nabla_X PY - \nabla_Y PX + 2P\nabla_X Y)$$

$$F[X, Y] = -\nabla_X^{\perp} FY - \nabla_Y^{\perp} FY - h(X, PY) - h(Y, PY) + 2fh(X, Y)$$

$$-(\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)FX + \eta(X)FY) + 2F\nabla_X Y$$
(4.31)

The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.

Proposition 4.3. Let *M* be a semi invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Then (P, ξ, η, g) is a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian structure on the distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ if th(X, Y) = 0 for all $X, Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$.

Proof. From $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\} = ker(F)$ and (2.16) we have $P^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi$ on $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$. We also get $P\xi = 0, \eta(\xi) = 2, \eta \circ P = 0$.Using $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\} = ker(F)$ and th(X, Y) = 0 in 4.27 we get

$$(\nabla_X P)Y + (\nabla_Y P)X = 2\alpha g(X, Y)\xi - (\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)PX + \eta(X)PY),$$
(4.32)

for all X, Y $\epsilon D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2. Let *M* be a semi invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. We have (i) if $D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is autoparallel then

$$A_{FX}Y + A_{FY}X + 2th(X,Y) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$$

$$(4.33)$$

(*ii*) *if* $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ *is autoparallel then*

$$h(X, PY) + h(PX, Y) = 2fh(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}.$$
(4.34)

Proof. In view of (4.27) and autoparallelness of $D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$ we get (1), while in view of (4.28) and appropriateness of $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ we get (ii). In view of Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.2(ii), we get

Theorem 4.3. Let M be a submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with $\xi \in TM$. If M is invariant then M is nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian. Moreover

$$h(X, PY) + h(PX, Y) - 2fh(X, Y) = 0, \quad X, Y \in TM.$$

5 Integrability Conditions

Integrability of the distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$: We begin with a lemma

Lemma 5.2. Let *M* be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. For X, $Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ we get

$$F[X,Y] = -h(X,PY) - h(PX,Y) + 2F\nabla_X Y + 2fh(X,Y)$$
(5.35)

or equivalently

$$-h(X, PX) + F\nabla_X X + fh(X, X) = 0$$
(5.36)

Proof. Equation (5.1) follows from $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\} = ker(F)$ and (4.6). Equivalence of (5.1) and (5.2) is obvious. In view of (5.1) and $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\} = ker(F)$ we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. The distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ on a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold is integrable if and only if

$$h(X, PY) + h(PX, Y) = 2(F\nabla_X Y + fh(X, Y))$$
 (5.37)

Now, we need the following

Definition 5.1. ([16]) Let M be a Riemannian manifold with the Riemannian connection ∇ . A distribution D on M will be called nearly autoparallel if for all $X, Y \in D$ we have $(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X) \in D$ or equivalently $\nabla_X X \in D$.

Thus, we have the following flow chart ([16]): Parallel \Rightarrow Autoparallel \Rightarrow Nearly autoparallel, Parallel \Rightarrow Integrable, Autoparallel \Rightarrow Integrable, and Nearly autoparallel + Integrable \Rightarrow Autoparallel.

Theorem 5.5. Let *M* be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Then the following four statements

(a) the distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is autoparallel,

(b) $h(X, PY) + h(PX, Y) = 2fh(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\},$

(c) $h(X, PX) = fh(X, X), \quad X \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\},\$

(d) the distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is nearly autoparallel,

are related by (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d). In particular, if $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable then the above four statements are equivalent.

The proof is similar to that Theorem 4.4 of [16]. Let $X, Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$. Using (2.1) and (2.13) in (3.19) and we get

$$N^{(1)}(X,Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] - P[\phi X, Y] - F[\phi X, Y] - P[X, \phi Y]$$

$$-F[X, \phi Y] + [X, Y] + \eta([X, Y])\xi + 2d\eta \otimes \xi$$
(5.38)

On the other hand from equation (3.23) we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y = \phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X + ((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)X)\xi + \eta(X)\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\xi + 2\alpha g(\phi X,Y)\xi - (\alpha + \beta)\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X$$

which implies that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi Y}\phi)X = \phi((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X - (\bar{\nabla}_{X}\phi)Y) + 2d\eta(X,Y)\xi + \eta(X)U^{1}\nabla_{Y}\xi$$

$$+\eta(X)U^{0}\nabla_{Y}\xi + \eta(X)h(Y,\xi) - \eta(Y)U^{1}\nabla_{X}\xi - \eta(Y)U^{0}\nabla_{X}\xi$$

$$-\eta(Y)h(X,\xi) - (\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X - \eta(X)\phi^{2}Y)$$
(5.39)

Next we easily can get

$$\phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X = \phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi X) - \phi^{2}(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X)$$

$$= \phi(\nabla_{Y}\phi X + h(Y,\phi X)) - (\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X + \eta\bar{\nabla}_{Y}X)\xi$$
(5.40)

so that

$$\phi((\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X - (\bar{\nabla}_{X}\phi)Y) = (\nabla_{Y}\phi X - \nabla_{X}\phi Y) + [X,Y] - \eta([X,Y])\xi$$

$$+F(\nabla_{Y}\phi X - \nabla_{X}\phi Y) + \phi(h(Y,\phi X) - h(X,\phi Y))$$
(5.41)

In view of (5.39) and (5.41) we get

$$N^{(1)}(X,Y) = 4d\eta \otimes \xi + 2[X,Y] - 2\eta([X,Y])\xi + 2P[\nabla_{Y}\phi X - \nabla_{X}\phi Y]$$

$$+2F[\nabla_{Y}\phi X - \nabla_{X}\phi Y] + 2\phi(h(Y,\phi X) - h(X,\phi Y)) + \eta(X)U^{1}\nabla_{Y}\xi$$

$$+\eta(X)U^{0}\nabla_{Y}\xi + \eta(X)h(Y,\xi) - \eta(Y)U^{1}\nabla_{X}\xi - \eta(Y)U^{0}\nabla_{X}\xi$$

$$-\eta(Y)h(X,\xi) - (\alpha + \beta)(\eta(Y)\phi^{2}X - \eta(X)\phi^{2}Y)$$
(5.42)

Theorem 5.6. The distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable on a semi-invariant submanifold M of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold if and only if for all $X, Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$

$$N^1(X,Y)\epsilon D^1 \oplus (\xi) \tag{5.43}$$

$$2(h(Y,\phi X) - h(X,\phi Y)) = -\eta(X)(\phi U^0 \nabla_Y \xi + fh(Y,\xi)) + \eta(Y)(\phi U^0 \nabla_X \xi + fh(X,\xi))$$
(5.44)

Proof. Let *X*, $Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$. If $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable, then (5.43) is true and from (5.42) we get

$$0 = 2F(\nabla_Y \phi X - \nabla_X \phi Y) + 2\phi(h(Y, \phi X) - h(X, \phi Y) + \eta(X)U^0 \nabla_Y \xi + \eta(X)h(Y, \xi) - \eta(Y)U^0 \nabla_X \xi - \eta(Y)h(X, \xi)$$

Applying ϕ to the above equation, we get

$$0 = -2U^{0}(\nabla_{Y}\phi X - \nabla_{X}\phi Y) + 2(h(Y,\phi X) - h(X,\phi Y) + \eta(X)\phi U^{0}\nabla_{Y}\xi + \eta(X)th(Y,\xi) + \eta(X)fh(Y,\xi) - \eta(Y)\phi U^{0}\nabla_{X}\xi - \eta(Y)th(X,\xi) - \eta(Y)fh(X,\xi)$$

Hence taking the normal part we get (5.44).

Conversely, let (5.43) and (5.44) be true. Using (5.44) in (5.42) we get

$$0 = 2U^{0}[X,Y] + 2F(\nabla_{Y}\phi X - \nabla_{X}\phi Y) + 2\phi(h(Y,\phi X) - h(X,\phi Y) + \eta(X)U^{0}\nabla_{Y}\xi + \eta(X)h(Y,\xi) - \eta(Y)U^{0}\nabla_{X}\xi - \eta(Y)h(X,\xi)$$

Applying ϕ to the above equation and using (5.44) we get $\phi U^0[X, Y] = 0$, from which we get $U^0[X, Y] = 0$, and hence $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable.

If \overline{M} is a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold then for all $X \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ it is known that $h(X, \xi) = 0$ and $U^0 \nabla_X \xi = 0$. Hence in view of the previous theorem we have

Corollary 5.1. If *M* is a semi-invariant submanifold of a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold, then the distribution $D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable if and only if for all $X, Y \in D^1 \oplus \{\xi\}$

$$h(X,\phi Y) = h(Y,\phi X)$$

Integrability of the distribution $D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$:

Lemma 5.3. Let M be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Then

$$3(A_{FX}Y - A_{FY}X) = P[X, Y], \quad X, Y \in D^0 \oplus (\xi)$$
(5.45)

Proof. Let *X*, $Y \in D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$ and $Z \in TM$. We have

$$-A_{\phi X}Z + \nabla_{Z}^{\perp}\phi X = \bar{\nabla}_{Z}\phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_{Z}\phi)X + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}X)$$
$$= -(\bar{\nabla}_{X}\phi)Z - \eta(X)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi X + \phi\nabla_{Z}X + \phi h(Z,X)$$

so that

$$\phi h(Z,X) = -A_{\phi X}Z + \nabla_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}\phi X + (\bar{\nabla}_{X}\phi)Z + \eta(X)\phi Z + \eta(Z)\phi X - \phi \nabla_{Z}X$$

and hence we have

$$g(\phi h(Z,X),Y) = -g(A_{\phi X}Y,Z) - g((\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y,Z)$$

On the other hand

$$g(\phi h(Z,X),Y) = -g(h(Z,X),\phi Y) = -g(A_{\phi Y}X,Z)$$

Thus from the above two relations we get

$$g(A_{\phi Y}X,Z) = g(A_{\phi X}Y,Z) + g((\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y,Z)$$
(5.46)

For *X*, $Y \in D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$ we calculate $(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi) Y$ as follows. In view of

$$\nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X = A_{\phi X} Y - A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^{\perp} \phi Y - \nabla_Y^{\perp} \phi X$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi) Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi) X + \phi [X, Y]$$

we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^{\perp} \phi Y - \nabla_Y^{\perp} \phi X - \phi[X, Y]$$

which gives

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = 1/2(A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X + \nabla_X^{\perp}\phi Y - \nabla_Y^{\perp}\phi X - \phi[X, Y] - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y)$$

Using this equation in the equation (5.46) we get (5.45). In view of $D^0 \oplus \{\xi\} = ker(P)$, this lemma leads to the following

Theorem 5.7. Let *M* be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. Then the distribution $D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$ is integrable if and only if

$$A_{FX}Y = A_{FY}X \quad for all X, Y \in D^0 \oplus \{\xi\}$$

Integrability of the distribution D^0 : We calculate the torsion tensor $N^1(Y, X)$ for $Y, X \in D^0$. It can be verified that

$$\phi((\bar{\nabla}_X\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\phi)X) = -[X,Y] + \eta([X,Y])\xi + \phi(A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X) + \phi(\nabla_X^{\perp}\phi Y - \nabla_Y^{\perp}\phi X)$$
(5.47)

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\phi X}\phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_{\phi Y}\phi)X = [X,Y] - \phi(A_{\phi X}Y - A_{\phi Y}X) - \phi(\nabla_X^{\perp}\phi Y - \nabla_Y^{\perp}\phi X)$$
(5.48)

Using (5.13), (5.14) and (5.11) we get for *Y*, $X \in D^0$

$$N^{1}(Y,X) = -2[X,Y] + 2/3\phi P[X,Y] + 2\phi(\nabla_{X}^{\perp}\phi Y - \nabla_{Y}^{\perp}\phi X)$$
(5.49)

Theorem 5.8. The distribution D^0 is integrable on a semi-invariant submanifold M of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold if and only if

$$N^{(1)}(Y,X)\epsilon D^0 \oplus \bar{D}^1 \quad X, Y\epsilon D^0 \tag{5.50}$$

$$A_{FX}Y = A_{FY}X \quad X, Y \in D^0 \tag{5.51}$$

Proof. If D^0 is integrable, then in view of (5.48) and (5.49), the relation (5.50) and (5.51) follow easily. Conversely, let $X, Y \in D^0$ and let the relation (5.50) and (5.51) be true. Then in view (5.48), we get P[X, Y] = 0 and in view of (5.49), we get

$$0 = g(\xi, N^{1}(Y, X)) = g(\xi, 2[Y, X])$$

Thus $[X, Y] \in D^0$.

Non-integrability of the distribution D^1 :

=

Theorem 5.9. Let *M* be a semi-invariant submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with $\alpha \neq 0$. Then the non-zero invariant distribution D^1 is not integrable.

Proof. If D^1 is integrable then for $X, Y \in D^1$ it follows that $d\eta(X, Y) = 0$ and $[\phi, \phi](X, Y) \in D^1$. Therefore, for $X \in D^1$ in view of (3.24), we get

$$0 = \eta([\phi, \phi](X, PX) + 2d\eta(X, PX)\xi)$$

= $\eta(N^1(X, PX) = 4\alpha g(\phi X, PX) = 4\alpha g(PX, PX),$

which is a contradiction.

References

- [1] A. Bejancu, On semi-invariant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold, *An. Stiint. Univ. AI. I. Cuza Iasi Sect. I a Mat.* 27 (supplement) (1981), 17-21.
- [2] A. Bejancu, Geometry of CR-Submanifolds, D. Reidel publishing company, Holland, 1986.
- [3] A. Bejancu, D. Suraranda, —— Stint Univ. Al. Cuza. Iasi 29 (1983)27-32.
- [4] A. Bejancu and N. Papaghiuc, Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, An. Stin. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) 27 (1981), 163-170.
- [5] A. Bejancu and N. Papaghiuc, Normal semi-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Mat.Vasnik* 35 (1983) 345-355.
- [6] D. E. Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [7] H. S. Gill and K. K. Dube, On CR submanifolds of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifolds, *Demonstratio Math.* 38 (2005), 953-960.
- [8] J. A. Oubina, New class of almost contact metric structures, Publ. Math. Debrecen 32 (1985), 187-193.
- [9] K. K. Dube and M. M. Mishra, Hypersurfaces immersed in an almost hyperbolic Hermitian manifold, *Competes Rendus of Bulgarian Acad. of Sci.* 34 (10) (1981), 1343-1345.
- [10] K. Matsumoto, M. H. Shahid and I. Mihai, Semi-invariant submanifold of certain almost contact manifolds, it Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci. 13 (1994), 183-192.
- [11] Mobin Ahmad, S. Rahman and Mohd. Danish Siddiqi, On Semi Invariant Submanifolds of a Nearly Sasakian Manifold with a Semi Symmetric Metric, *Bulletin of The Allahabad Mathematical Society* Volume 25, (2010) 23-33.
- [12] M. Kobayashi, Semi-invariant submanifolds of a certain class of almost contact manifolds, *Tensor* 43 (1986), 28-36.
- [13] M. D. Upadhyay and K. K. Dube, Almost contact hyperbolic (*f*, *g*, η, ξ)-structure, *Acta. Math. Acad. Scient. Hung.*, *Tomus* 28 (1976), 1-4.
- [14] M. H. Shahid, On semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Sasakian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 24(1993), 571-580.
- [15] N. K. Joshi and K. K. Dube, Semi-invariant submanifold of an almost r-contact hyperbolic metric manifold, *Demonstratio Math.* 36 (2001), 135-143.
- [16] M. M. Tripathi, On CR submanifolds of nearly and closely cosymplectic manifolds, *Ganita* 51(2000), no. 1, 43-56.

Received: October 10, 2014; Accepted: May 23, 2015

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: http://www.malayajournal.org/