Malaya
Journal of
MatematikMJM
an international journal of mathematical sciences with
computer applications...





Optimal intervals for uniqueness of solutions for lipschitz nonlocal boundary value problems

Johnny Henderson^{*a*,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76798-7328, USA.

In Memory of Kathryn Madora Strunk, February 5, 1991-March 1, 2007.

Abstract

For the *n*th order differential equation, $y^{(n)} = f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$, where $f(t, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition in terms of $r_i, 1 \le i \le n$, we obtain optimal bounds on the length of intervals on which solutions are unique for certain nonlocal three point boundary value problems. These bounds are obtained through an application of the Pontryagin Maximum Principle.

Keywords: Nonlocal boundary value problem, optimal length intervals, Pontryagin maximum principle.

2010 MSC: 34B15, 49J15.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we shall be concerned with the nth order differential equation,

$$y^{(n)} = f(t, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}), \quad a < t < b,$$
(1.1)

where we assume throughout that

(A) $f(t, r_1, \ldots, r_3n) : (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and

(B) f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(t, r_1, \dots, r_n) - f(t, s_1, \dots, s_n)| \le \sum_{i=1}^n k_i |r_i - s_i|$$

for each $(t, r_1, \ldots, r_n), (t, s_1, \ldots, s_3) \in (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Let $0 \le p \le n-2$ be fixed throughout the paper.

We characterize optimal length for subintervals of (a, b), in terms of the Lipschitz coefficients $k_i, 1 \le i \le n$, on which solutions are unique for problems involving (1.1) and satisfying the *nonlocal* three point boundary conditions,

$$y^{(i)}(t_1) = y_{i+1}, i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\}, y^{(p)}(t_2) - y^{(p)}(t_3) = y_{p+2},$$
 (1.2)

where $a < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < b$, and $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Namely, we characterize optimal length for subintervals of (a, b) on which solutions of (1.1), (1.2) are unique. Such uniqueness results are of interest, because in many cases, uniqueness of solutions implies existence of

^{*}Corresponding author.

 $[\]label{eq:constraint} \ensuremath{\textit{E-mail address: Johnny_Henderson@baylor.edu}} (Johnny Henderson).$

solutions for boundary value problems; see, for example, the papers [5, 7, 9, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 35] and the references therein.

There is a close connection between the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) and certain focal boundary value problems for (1.1). From this relationship, we will eventually establish that it suffices for us to characterize optimal length subintervals of (a, b) on which solutions are unique for (1.1) satisfying the focal boundary conditions,

$$y^{(i)}(t_1) = y_{i+1}, i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\}, y^{(p+1)}(t_2) = y_{p+2},$$
 (1.3)

where $a < t_1 < t_2 < b$, and $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The connection between this characterization and the characterization for our three point nonlocal problems is through a simple application of the Mean Value Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. If solutions for (1.1), (1.3) are unique, when they exist on (a, b), then solutions for (1.1), (1.2) are unique, when they exist on (a, b).

In view of Theorem 1.1, conditions sufficient to provide uniqueness of solutions, when they exist on (a, b), for two point focal boundary value problems (1.1), (1.3), are sufficient to provide uniqueness of solutions, when they exist on (a, b) for three point nonlocal boundary value problems (1.1), (1.2).

Our process will involve development of a situation in which the Pontryagin Maximum Principle can be applied. We follow a pattern that has an extensive history, with first motivation found in the papers by Melentsova [39] and Melentsova and Mil'shtein [40, 41]. Those papers were subsequently adapted to the context of several types of boundary value problems, with classical papers including Jackson [31, 32], Eloe and Henderson [8], Hankerson and Henderson [19] and Henderson *et al.* [22, 23, 28], and more recent results have appeared in [6, 10, 11, 25]

Interest in nonlocal boundary value problems also has a long history, both in application and theory, as can be seen in this list of papers and the references therein: [1] -[4], [12, 13], [15] - [18], [25], [29, 30], [33, 34], [37, 38], [42] - [50].

2 Optimal Intervals for Uniqueness of Solutions

In this section, we characterize in terms of the Lipschitz constants k_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, optimal length for subintervals of (a, b) on which solutions are unique, when they exist for the focal boundary value problem (1.1), (1.3). This length, it will be argued later, is optimal for uniqueness of solutions for the three point nonlocal boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). Our characterization involves an application of the Pontryagin Maximum Principle.

We begin by defining a set \mathcal{U} of vector-valued *control functions*

$$\mathcal{U} := \{ \mathbf{v}(t) = (v_1(t), \dots, v_n(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v_i(t) \text{ are Lebesgue}$$

measurable and $|v_i(t)| \le k_i \text{ on } (a, b), i = 1, \dots, n \}.$

We will be concerned with boundary value problems associated with linear differential equations of the form

$$x^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i(t) x^{(i-1)},$$
(2.1)

where $\mathbf{u}(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))^T \in \mathcal{U}$. We immediately make a connection of these linear differential equations in the context of solutions of (1.1), (1.3). Much of our analysis will be based upon our choosing, if they exist, distinct solutions y(t) and z(t) of (1.1), (1.3).

If y(t) and z(t) are distinct solutions of (1.1), (1.3), then their difference x(t) := y(t) - z(t) satisfies

$$x^{(i)}(t_1) = x^{(p+1)}(t_2) = 0, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\},$$
(2.2)

for some $a < t_1 < t_2 < b$, and x(t) is a nontrivial solution of (2.1), for $\mathbf{u}(t) = (u_1(t), \ldots, u_n(t))^T \in \mathcal{U}$, where for $1 \le i \le n$,

$$u_{i}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(t, z(t), \dots, z^{(i-2)}(t), y^{(i-1)}(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)) - f(t, z(t), \dots, z^{(i-1)}(t), y^{(i)}(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t))}{y^{(i-1)}(t) - z^{(i-1)}(t)}, \\ y^{(i-1)}(t) \neq z^{(i-1)}(t), \\ 0, \qquad \qquad y^{(i-1)}(t) = z^{(i-1)}(t). \end{cases}$$

From optimal control theory (cf. Gamkrelidze [14, p. 147] and Lee and Markus [36, p. 259]), there is a boundary value problem in the class (2.1), (2.2), which has a nontrivial time optimal solution; that is, there exists at least one nontrivial $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{U}$ and points $t_1 \leq c < d \leq t_2$ such that

$$x^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i^*(t) x^{(i-1)},$$
(2.3)

$$x^{(i)}(c) = x^{(p+1)}(d) = 0, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\},$$
(2.4)

has a nontrivial solution, $x_0(t)$, and d-c is a minimum over all such solutions. For this time optimal solution, $x_0(t)$, set $\mathbf{x}_0(t) = (x_0(t), \dots, x_0^{(n-1)}(t))^T$. Then $\mathbf{x}_0(t)$ is a solution of a first order system,

$$\mathbf{r}' = A[\mathbf{u}^*(t)]\mathbf{r}, \quad a < t < b.$$

By the Pontryagin Maximum Principle, the adjoint system, whose form is given by

$$\mathbf{x}' = -A^T[\mathbf{u}^*(t)]\mathbf{x}, \quad a < t < b,$$
(2.5)

has a nontrivial optimal solution, $\mathbf{x}^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), \dots, x_n^*(t))^T$ such that, for a. e. $t \in [c, d]$,

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_0^{(i)}(t) x_i^*(t) = \langle \mathbf{x}_0'(t), \mathbf{x}^*(t) \rangle = \max_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}} \{ \langle A[\mathbf{u}(t)] \mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{x}^*(t) \rangle \},\$
- (ii) $\langle \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{0}}(t), \mathbf{x}^{*}(t) \rangle$ is a nonnegative constant,

(iii)
$$x_{p+2}^*(c) = x_1^*(d) = \dots = x_{p+1}^*(d) = x_{p+3}^*(d) = \dots = x_n^*(d) = 0.$$

The maximum condition in (i) can be rewritten as

$$x_n^*(t) \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^*(t) x_0^{(i-1)}(t) = \max_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}} \left\{ x_n^*(t) \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(t) x_0^{(i-1)}(t) \right\},$$
(2.6)

for a. e. $t \in [c, d]$.

By its time optimality and repeated applications of Rolle's Theorem, $x_0(t) \neq 0$, $t \in (c, d]$. In fact, for each $0 \leq i \leq p+1$, $x_0^{(i)}(t) \neq 0$ on (c, d). We may assume without loss of generality that $x_0(t) > 0$ on (c, d]. Moreover, by its own time optimality, $x_n^*(t)$ has no zeros on (c, d). In view of that, we can use (2.6) to determine an optimal control $\mathbf{u}^*(t)$, for *a. e.* $t \in [c, d]$.

Now, $x_0(t) > 0$ on (c, d], and so we have from (2.6) that, if $x_n^*(t) < 0$ on (c, d), then the time optimal solution $x_0(t)$ is a solution of

$$x^{(n)} = -k_1 x - \sum_{i=2}^{n} k_i |x^{(i-1)}|$$
(2.7)

on [c, d], while if $x_n^*(t) > 0$ on (c, d), then the time optimal solution $x_0(t)$ is a solution of

$$x^{(n)} = k_1 x + \sum_{i=2}^{n} k_i |x^{(i-1)}|$$
(2.8)

on [c, d]. In particular, from either (2.7) or (2.8), $x_0^{(n)}(t)$ is of one sign. It follows from the assumed positivity of $x_0(t)$ and the nature of the boundary conditions (2.4) that $x_0^{(n-1)}(t)$ is decreasing so that $x_n^*(t) < 0$ and $x_0(t)$ is a solution of (2.7). In addition, from the boundary conditions (2.4), $x_0^{(i)}(t) > 0$ on (c, d), $0 \le i \le p + 1$, and $x_0^{(i)}(t) < 0$ on (c, d), $p + 2 \le i \le n - 1$. As a consequence, not only is $x_0(t)$ is a solution of (2.7), but also where (2.7) takes the form

$$x^{(n)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{p+2} k_i x^{(i-1)} + \sum_{i=p+3}^{n} k_i x^{(i-1)}.$$
(2.9)

Our discussion to this point has been based on (1.1) having distinct solutions whose difference satisfies (2.2). This led to optimal intervals being determined on which only trivial solutions exist for boundary value problems (2.7), (2.2) or (2.8), (2.2). A more detailed sign analysis led to determination of optimal intervals on which only trivial solutions exist for only the boundary value problem (2.9), (2.2). As a consequence, solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.3) will be unique on such subintervals.

Theorem 2.1. If there is a vector-valued $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathcal{U}$ for all a < t < b, for which the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) has a nontrivial solution for some $a < t_1 < t_2 < b$, and if $x_0(t)$ is a time optimal solution satisfying (2.4), where d - c is a minimum, then $x_0(t)$ is a solution of (2.9) on [c, d].

Theorem 2.2. Let $\ell = \ell(k_1, \ldots, k_n) > 0$ be the smallest positive number such that there exists a solution x(t) of the boundary value problem for (2.9) satisfying

$$x^{(i)}(0) = 0, \ i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\}, \ x^{(p+1)}(\ell) = 0,$$
(2.10)

with x(t) > 0 on $(0, \ell]$, or $\ell = \infty$ if no such solution exists. If y(t) and z(t) are solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.3), for some $a < t_1 < t_2 < b$, and if $t_2 - t_1 < \ell$, it follows that $y(t) \equiv z(t)$ on $[t_1, t_2]$, and this is best possible for the class of all differential equations satisfying the Lipschitz condition (B).

Proof. Since equation (2.9) is autonomous, translations of solutions are again solutions of (2.9). Hence, it suffices to apply Theorem 2.1 with respect to the boundary conditions at 0 and ℓ .

Now, if y(t) and z(t) are distinct solutions of (1.1) whose difference w(t) := y(t) - z(t) satisfies (2.2), where $t_2 - t_1 < \ell$, then w(t) is a nontrivial solution of the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2), for appropriately defined $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$. Then, from the discussion and Theorem 2.1, equation (2.9) has a nontrivial solution on a subinterval of length less than ℓ . But, by the minimality of ℓ , such a boundary value problem can have only the trivial solution; this is a contradiction. Therefore, solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.3) are unique, whenever $t_2 - t_1 < \ell$.

That this is best possible from the fact that (2.9) satisfies the Lipschitz condition (B), and if $\ell \neq \infty$, then x(t) is a nontrivial solution of (2.9) and (2.2) on $[0, \ell]$. The boundary value problem also has the trivial solution.

Remark 2.1. Since (2.9) is a linear equation, we observe that, if x(t) is the solution, of the initial value problem for (2.9), satisfying,

$$x^{(i)}(0) = 0, \ i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\}, \ x^{(p+1)}(0) = 1,$$

and if $\eta > 0$ is the first positive number such that $x^{(p+1)}(\eta) = 0$, then $\eta = \ell(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ of Theorem 2.2.

Because of the uniqueness relationships stated in Theorem 1.1, we can apply Theorem 2.2 to obtain optimal intervals for uniqueness of solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

Theorem 2.3. Let ℓ be as in Theorem 2.2. If y(t) and z(t) are solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), for some $a < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < b$, and if $t_3 - t_1 \leq \ell$, it follows that $y(t) \equiv z(t)$ on $[t_1, t_3]$, and this is best possible for the class of all differential equations satisfying the Lipschitz condition (B).

Proof. In view of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.2, solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) are unique, when $t_3 - t_1 \leq \ell$. To see again that this is best possible, consider the nontrivial solution x(t) of (2.9) and (2.10) in Theorem 2.2.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small that x(t) is a solution of (2.9) on $[0, \ell + \epsilon]$. Now, $x^{(p+2)}(t) < 0$ on $[0, \ell + \epsilon]$. From (2.10), $x^{(p+1)}(\ell) = 0$, and since $x^{(p+2)}(\ell) < 0$, we have that $x^{(p)}(t)$ has a positive maximum at ℓ . So, there exist $0 < \tau_1 < \ell < \tau_2 < \ell + \epsilon$ such that x(t) is a nontrivial solution of (2.9) satisfying $x^{(i)}(0) = 0, i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\}$, and $x^{(p)}(\tau_1) - x^{(p)}(\tau_2) = 0$. This boundary value problem also has the trivial solution. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the "best possible" statement follows for uniqueness of solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

3 Optimal Intervals of Existence for Linear Equations

In the case of boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), we do not have a "uniqueness implies existence" theorem to appeal to, since this is an open question for this type of boundary value problem. However, uniqueness does imply existence for linear differential equations, and so the following corollary can be stated.

Corollary 3.1. Let ℓ be as in Theorem 2.2. Assume $r_i(t), 1 \leq i \leq n$, and q(t) are continuous on (a, b) and that $|r_i(t)| \leq k_i$ on $(a, b), 1 \leq i \leq n$. If $a < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < b$ and $t_3 - t_1 < \ell$, then the boundary value problem,

$$y^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(t) y^{(i-1)} + q(t),$$

$$y^{(i)}(t_1) = y_{i+1}, i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{p+1\}, y^{(p)}(t_2) - y^{(p)}(t_3) = y_{p+2}$$

has a solution for any assignment of values of $y_i \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

References

- B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, Existence of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2009, Article ID 494720, 9 pages.
- [2] M. Bahaj, Remarks on the existence results for second-order differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions, J. Dyn. Control Syst., 15(1)(2009), 2–43.
- [3] C. Bai and J. Fang, Existence of multiple positive solutions for m-point boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 281(2003), 76–85.
- [4] Z. N. Benbouziane, A. Boucherif and S. M. Bouguima, Third order nonlocal multipoint boundary value problems, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 13(2004), 41–48.
- [5] C. J. Chyan and J. Henderson, Uniqueness implies existence for (n, p) boundary value problems, Appl. Anal., 73(3-4)(1999), 543–556.
- [6] S. Clark and J. Henderson, Optimal interval lengths for nonlocal boundary value problems associated with third order Lipschitz equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322(2006), 468–476.
- [7] S. Clark and J. Henderson, Uniqueness implies existence and uniqueness criterion for nonlocal boundary value problems for third order differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(11)(2006), 3363–3372.
- [8] P. W. Eloe and J. Henderson, Optimal intervals for third order Lipschitz equations, Differential Integral Equations, 2(1989), 397–404.
- [9] P. W. Eloe and J. Henderson, Uniqueness implies existence and uniqueness conditions for nonlocal boundary value problems for nth order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 331(1)(2007), 240–247.
- [10] P. W. Eloe and J. Henderson, Optimal intervals for uniqueness for uniqueness of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems, *Comm. Appl. Nonlin. Anal.*, 18(3)(2011), 89–97.
- [11] P. W. Eloe, R. A. Khan and J. Henderson, Uniqueness implies existence and uniqueness conditions for a class of (k+j)-point boundary value problems for *n*th order differential equations, *Canad. Math. Bulletin*, 55(2)(2012), 285–296.
- [12] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem at resonance, Nonlinear Anal., 30(1997), 3227–3238.
- [13] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, Solvability of an *m*-point nonlinear boundary value problem with nonlinear growth, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 212(1997), 467–480.
- [14] R. Gamkrelidze, *Principles of Optimal Control*, Plenum, New York, 1978.
- [15] J. R. Graef, J. Henderson and B. Yang, Existence of positive solutions of a higher order nonlocal singular boundary value problem, *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal.*, 16(2009), Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems, Suppl., S1, 147–152.
- [16] J. R. Graef and J. R. L. Webb, Third order boundary value problems with nonlocal boundary conditions, Nonlinear Anal., 71(5-6)(2009), 1542–1551.
- [17] Y. Guo, W. Shan and W. Ge, Positive solutions for second-order *m*-point boundary value problems, J. Comput. Appl., 151(2003), 415–424.

- [18] C. P. Gupta, S. K. Ntouyas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, Solvability of an *m*-point boundary value problem for second order ordinary differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 189(1995), 575–584.
- [19] D. Hankerson and J. Henderson, Optimality for boundary value problems for Lipschitz equations, J. Differential Equations, 77(1989), 392–404.
- [20] P. Hartman, On *n*-parameter families and interpolation problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 154(1971), 201–226.
- [21] J. Henderson, Existence of solutions of right focal point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 5(9)(1981), 989–1002.
- [22] J. Henderson, Best interval lengths for boundary value problems for third order Lipschitz equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18(1987), 293–305.
- [23] J. Henderson, Boundary value problems for nth order Lipschitz equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 144(1988), 196–210.
- [24] J. Henderson, Uniqueness implies existence for three-point boundary value problems for second order differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett., 18(2005), 905–909.
- [25] J. Henderson, Optimal interval lengths for nonlocal boundary value problems for second order Lipschitz equations, Comm. Appl. Anal., 15(2-4)(2011), 475–482.
- [26] J. Henderson, Existence and uniqueness of solutions of (k+2)-point nonlocal boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(2011), 2576–2584.
- [27] J. Henderson, B. Karna and C. C. Tisdell, Existence of solutions for three-point boundary value problems for second order equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(2005), 1365–1369.
- [28] J. Henderson and R. McGwier, Uniqueness, existence and optimality for fourth order Lipschitz equations, J. Differential Equations, 67(1987), 414–440.
- [29] E. Hernández, Existence of solutions for an abstract second-order differenetial equation with nonlocal conditions, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 2009, No. 96, 1-10.
- [30] G. Infante, Nonlocal boundary value problems with two nonlinear boundary conditions, *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, 12(3)(2008), 279–288.
- [31] L. K. Jackson, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for boundary value problems for Lipschitz equations, J. Differential Equations, 32(1979), 76–90.
- [32] L. K. Jackson, Boundary value problems for Lipschitz equations, Differential Equations (Proc. Eighth Fall Conf., Oklahoma State Univ., Stillwater, Okla., 1979), pp. 31–50, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [33] P. Kang and Z. Wei, Three positive solutions of singular nonlocal boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70(1)(2009), 444–451.
- [34] R. A. Khan, Quasilinearization method and nonlocal singular three point boundary value problems, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, 2009, Special Edition I, No. 17, 1-13.
- [35] G. Klaasen, Existence theorems for boundary value problems for *nth* order ordinary differential equations, *Rocky Mtn. J. Math.*, 3(1973), 457–472.
- [36] E. Lee and L. Markus, Foundations of Optimal Control, Wiley, New York, 1967.
- [37] M. Li and C. Kou, Existence results for second-order impulsive neutral functional differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, 2009, Article ID 641368, 11 pages.
- [38] R. Ma, Existence theorems for a second-order three-point boundary value problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 212(1997), 430–442.

- [39] Yu. Melentsova, A best possible estimate of the nonoscillation interval for a linear differential equation with coefficients bounded in L_r , Differ. Equ., 13(1977), 1236–1244.
- [40] Yu. Melentsova and G. Milshtein, An optimal estimate of the interval on which a multipoint boundary value problem possesses a solution, *Differ. Equ.*, 10(1974), 1257–1265.
- [41] Yu. Melentsova and G. Milshtein, Optimal estimation of the nonoscillation interval for linear differential equations with bounded coefficients, *Differ. Equ.*, 17(1981), 1368–1379.
- [42] S. K. Ntouyas and D. ORegan, Existence results for semilinear neutral functional differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions, *Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 1(1)(2009), 41–65.
- [43] P. K. Palamides, G. Infante and P. Pietramala, Nontrivial solutions of a nonlinear heat flow problem via Sperner's lemma, Appl. Math. Lett., 22(9)(2009), 1444–1450.
- [44] S. Roman and A. Štikonas, Greens functions for stationary problems with nonlocal boundary conditions, Lith. Math. J., 49(2)(2009), 190–202.
- [45] H. B. Thompson and C. C. Tisdell, Three-point boundary value problems for second-order ordinary differential equations, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 34(2001), 311–318.
- [46] J. Wang and Z. Zhang, Positive solutions to a second- order three-point boundary value problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 285(2003), 237–249.
- [47] J. R. L. Webb, A unified approach to nonlocal boundary value problems, Dynamic systems and applications, 5, 510–515, Dynamic, Atlanta, GA, 2008.
- [48] J. R. L. Webb, Uniqueness of the principal eigenvalue in nonlocal boundary value problems, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 1(1)(2008), 177–186.
- [49] J. R. L. Webb, Remarks on nonlocal boundary value problems at resonance, Appl. Math. Comput., 216(2)(2010), 497–500.
- [50] J. R. L. Webb and M. Zima, Multiple positive solutions of resonant and non-resonant nonlocal boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(3-4)(2009), 1369–1378.

Received: August 24, 2012; Accepted: September 5, 2012

UNIVERSITY PRESS