

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0604/0007

# On quadratic integral equations of Volterra type in Fréchet spaces

Latifa Benhamouche<sup>1,3</sup> and Smaïl Djebali<sup>2,3\*</sup>

#### Abstract

In this work, we investigate the existence of solutions to a quadratic integral equation of Volterra type. By using the Schauder Tychonoff fixed point theorem in  $C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , the Fréchet Space of real continuous functions on unbounded open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we establish the existence of at least one solution.

#### Keywords

Quadratic integral equation, Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, Volterra operator, Fréchet space.

#### **AMS Subject Classification**

45D05, 47H10.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, BP 270. Blida, 09000. Algeria.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, AI Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), Saad Dahlab University, route de Soumaa.

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire "Théorie du Point Fixe et Applications", ENS, BP 92 Kouba. Algiers, 16006. Algeria.

\*Corresponding author: <sup>2</sup> djebali@hotmail.com; <sup>1</sup>h\_betrouni@yahoo.com

Article History: Received 11 April 2018; Accepted 29 September 2018

Contents		
1	Introduction	744
2	Preliminaries	745
3	Main Results	
4	Examples	748
5	Concluding Remarks	749
	References	749

Contonto

#### 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of continuous solutions to a class of general quadratic integral equations of Volterra type with deviated argument of the form:

$$u(x) = f(x, u(x)) + g(x, u(x)) \int_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, u(\xi(y)) dy, (1.1))$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ . Here  $\Omega$  is an unbounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , f, g, h,  $\xi$  are given functions and u is the unknown.

Over the last decade, the solvability of nonlinear integral equations in Banach spaces has been subject of several works (see, e.g., [2]). In [6], the existence of integrable solutions to the nonlinear equation

$$x(t) = u(t, x(t)) + g(t, x(t)) \int_0^{\phi(t)} k(t, s) f(s, x(s)) ds,$$

for  $0 \le t \le 1$  is obtained using the technique of measure of weak noncompactness combined with the concept of weak-strong compact operator. Also in [11], a quadratic equation of the form:

©2018 MJM.

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= f(t, x(\varphi_1(t))) \\ &+ g(t, x(\varphi_2(t)) \psi\left(\int_0^{\alpha(t)} u(t, s, x(\varphi_3(t))) ds\right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [0,T]$  was studied and the existence of at least one positive  $L^1$ -solution was obtained.

In [15], the authors discussed the existence of nondecreasing continuous solutions for the following quadratic integral equation of Volterra type on a bounded interval:

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{x}(t)) \left( h(t) + \int_0^t k(t, s) f(s, \mathbf{x}(\lambda s)) ds \right), \quad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Moreover, several authors have been interested in the existence of continuous solutions to different types of integral equations on unbounded intervals; most of their results have been obtained in the setting of the Banach space of bounded and continuous functions on the nonnegative real half-axis and have employed the fixed point theory and some properties of measures of non-compactness.

Indeed, the use of measures of non-compactness turns out to be a strong technique allowing not only authors to obtain the existence of solutions but also to derive some characterizations of the solutions. The efficiency of this technique is shown in, e.g., [3], where the existence of asymptotically stable and ultimately nondecreasing solutions to a quadratic functional integral equation of Hammerstein-Volterra type of the form:

$$x(t) = m(t) + f(t, x(t)) \int_0^t g(t, \tau) h(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau,$$

for  $t \ge 0$ , is proved.

In [8], the authors investigated the questions of existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions of the quadratic Urysohn integral equation:

$$x(t) = a(t) + f(t, x(t)) \int_0^\infty u(t, s, x(s)) ds,$$

for  $t \ge 0$ . However, some restrictive conditions may appear when dealing with such measures of noncompactness, especially when these measures are not regular (see, e.g., [2, (3.5), p.72]).

Another approach to discuss the solvability of integral equations is given in [7], where the authors obtained the existence of a unique solution to a quadratic integral equation of Urysohn type in a Fréchet space by using the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder for contractive mappings.

Recently, in [9, 12], the authors defined a sequence of measures of noncompactness and obtained existence results in the Fréchet space of continuous functions on the real half-axis.

More recently, the authors in [14] developed some fixed point theorems in locally convex spaces and obtained an existence result in the space  $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , without appealing to the technique of measures of noncompactness.

Our aim in this work is twofold: first we extend the results obtained in [9, 12, 14] to the case of unbounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for a quite general quadratic Volterra type equation of the form (1.1) and secondly we slightly relax the assumptions in [9]. This is the content of Section 3, where the functions f and g satisfy some Lipschitz conditions while h obeys a general growth condition in its third argument. Two examples of applications are provided in Section 4 to illustrate the main existence result. Comparison with recent results are given in the last Section 5 to show how Equation (1.1) encompasses some particular equations already discussed in the very recent literature. The next section is devoted to presenting some auxiliary results.

#### 2. Preliminaries

We introduce some notations, definitions, and theorems which are used throughout this paper. The first one follows from simple topological arguments (see, e.g., [13]):

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonempty open subset. Then there exists a sequence  $(K_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of compact subsets of  $\Omega$  with the following properties: (b)  $K_j \subset \mathring{K}_{j+1}$ , for all  $j \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ .

Owing to Lemma 2.1, we can introduce a metric on the space  $C(\Omega)$  of continuous real functions defined on an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, for  $u, v \in C(\Omega)$ , we first define a family of semi-norms by:

$$p_j(u) = \sup\{|u(x)|: x \in K_j\}, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$$

and then the distance:

$$d(u,v) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{p_j(u-v)}{1+p_j(u-v)}$$

Endowed with this metric,  $C(\Omega)$  may be considered as a Fréchet space satisfying the following property (see, e.g., [13]):

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathscr{K}$  be the collection of all compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then the topology on  $C(\Omega)$  induced by d coincides with  $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ , the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets.

**Definition 2.3.** A set  $E \subset C(\Omega)$  is said to be bounded if for all j = 1, 2, ..., there are numbers  $M_j < \infty$  such that

$$p_i(u) \leq M_i, \quad \forall u \in E,$$

*i.e.*,  $|u(x)| \leq M_j$ , for all  $u \in E$  and all  $x \in K_j$ .

Also we have the following characterization of convergence:

**Lemma 2.4.** A sequence  $(u_k)_{k\geq 1}$  converges to some limit u in the space  $C(\Omega)$  if and only if for all  $j \geq 1$ , we have  $p_j(u_k - u) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally by the Ascoli-Arzela Lemma and Lemma 2.2, the characterization of compact subsets with respect to the topology of  $C(\Omega)$  reads as follows:

**Lemma 2.5.** A set  $M \subset C(\Omega)$  is relatively compact if and only if for each *j*, the restriction of all functions from  $M_{|K_j|}$ forms an equicontinuous and uniformly bounded subset in the Banach space  $C(K_j)$ .

Let *X* be a Hausdorff locally convex space with a topology generated by a family of semi-norms  $\mathscr{P}$ . As in Definition 2.3, a natural definition of the contraction is given by:

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $C \subset X$  and  $p \in \mathscr{P}$ . A mapping  $A : C \to C$  is said to be a *p*-contraction if there exists  $\alpha_p$  with  $0 \le \alpha_p < 1$  such that

$$p(Ax - Ay) \le \alpha_p p(x - y), \forall x, y \in C.$$

We have (see, e.g., [10])

**Lemma 2.7.** Suppose *C* is a sequentially complete subset of *X* and the mapping  $A : C \to C$  is a *p*-contraction, for every  $p \in \mathscr{P}$ . Then *A* has a unique fixed point  $\bar{x} \in C$  and  $A^k x \to \bar{x}$ , for every  $x \in C$ , where  $A^k$  is the *k*-th iterate of the mapping *A*.

(a)  $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$ ,

The following lemma is then a direct consequence of Lemma 2.7.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $M \subset C(\Omega)$  be a closed set and  $T : M \to M$  an operator with the property

$$\forall j \ge 1, \exists \alpha_j \in [0,1), \forall u_1, u_2 \in M,$$
$$|Tu_1(x) - Tu_2(x)| \le \alpha_j |u_1(x) - u_2(x)|, \forall x \in K_j.$$

Then T admits a unique fixed point in M.

We will also make use of the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem (we refer, e.g., to [1, p. 96]):

**Lemma 2.9.** Let *E* be a Hausdorff locally convex linear topological space, *C* a convex subset of *E*, and  $F : C \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping such that

$$F(C) \subset A \subset C,$$

where A is compact. Then F has at least one fixed point.

### 3. Main Results

In this section, we present an existence result of continuous solutions for Equation (1.1). The following definition can be found in [4]:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A mapping  $\Lambda : \Omega \to \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is said to be a continuous function if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $x, y \in \Omega$ :

$$|x-y| < \delta \Longrightarrow \mu(\Lambda(x) \bigtriangleup \Lambda(y)) < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\triangle$  denotes the symmetric difference of sets in the euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Equation (1.1) will be studied under the following assumptions:

 $(H_0)$  The mappings

$$\Lambda: \Omega \to \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \ \xi: \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \Lambda(x) \to \Omega, \ f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } h: \Omega \times \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \Lambda(x) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

are continuous.

Here  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  refers to the family of nonempty, bounded, and measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(*H*<sub>1</sub>) For each  $K_j$ , the set  $\bigcup_{x \in K_j} \Lambda(x)$  is bounded.

(*H*<sub>2</sub>) There exists a nonnegative function  $a \in C(\Omega)$  such that

$$|f(x,u) - f(x,v)| \le a(x)|u-v|,$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(*H*<sub>3</sub>) There exists a nonnegative function  $b \in C(\Omega)$  such that

$$|g(x,u) - g(x,v)| \le b(x)|u-v|,$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(*H*<sub>4</sub>) There exists a nondecreasing function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and a continuous function  $k : \Omega \times \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \Lambda(x) \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that, for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $y \in \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \Lambda(x)$ , and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|h(x,y,u)| \le k(x,y)\varphi(|u|).$$
 (3.1)

(*H*<sub>5</sub>) There exists a continuous function  $r : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for all  $x \in \Omega$ 

$$a(x)r(x) + b(x)r(x) \int_{\Lambda(x)} k(x,y)\varphi(r(\xi(y)))dy(3.2)$$
$$+c(x) \int_{\Lambda(x)} k(x,y)\varphi(r(\xi(y)))dy + d(x) \le r(x)$$

and for all  $j \ge 1$ 

$$\sup_{x \in K_j} \left\{ a(x) + b(x) \int_{\Lambda(x)} k(x, y) \varphi(r(\xi(y))) dy \right\} < 1, (3.3)$$

where 
$$d(x) = |f(x,0)|$$
 and  $c(x) = |g(x,0)|$ .

Define the nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of  $C(\Omega)$ :

$$M = \{ u \in C(\Omega) : |u(x)| \le r(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega \},\$$

where the function r is as defined in  $(H_5)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The existence of functions r satisfying ( $H_5$ ) is discussed in the examples, Section 4 and in the second remark, Section 5.

Before stating the main existence result, we need to prove two technical lemmas:

**Lemma 3.3.** Under  $(H_0) - (H_1)$  and  $(H_4) - (H_5)$ , the Volterra operator  $H : M \longrightarrow C(\Omega)$  defined by

$$H[u](x) = \int_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, u(\xi(y))) dy, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \quad (3.4)$$

is continuous and H(M) is relatively compact in  $C(\Omega)$ .

Proof.

Claim 1. H(M) is relatively compact in  $C(\Omega)$ . Given  $u \in M$ , it is easy to check that Hu is continuous on  $\Omega$  for, by  $(H_0)$ , the functions  $\xi$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and h are continuous. The restriction of all functions of H(M) forms a uniformly bounded set on  $K_j$ . In fact, for all  $x \in K_j$ , we have by  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_4) - (H_5)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |H[u](x)| &\leq \int\limits_{\Lambda(x)} |h(x,y,u(\xi(y)))| dy \\ &\leq \int\limits_{\Lambda(x)} |k(x,y)\varphi(r(\xi(y)))| dy \\ &\leq \bar{k}_j \varphi(\bar{R}_j) \Lambda^j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_j$  is the image under the continuous function  $\xi$  of the set  $\bigcup_{x \in K_j} \Lambda(x)$  which is bounded and closed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and where we have set

$$\begin{array}{lll} \bar{R}_j &=& \sup\{r(x), x \in \overset{\sim}{K}_j\}\\ \Lambda^j &=& \sup\{m(\Lambda(x)), x \in K_j\}\\ \bar{k}_j &=& \sup\{k(x,y), x \in K_j, y \in \overline{\bigcup_{x \in K_j} \Lambda(x)}\}. \end{array}$$

The next step consists in showing that, for all  $j \ge 1$ , the restriction of all functions from H(M) to  $K_j$  are equi-continuous. For this, let  $j \ge 1$  be fixed and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since *h* is uniformly continuous on the compact

$$K_j imes \overline{\bigcup_{x \in K_j} \Lambda(x)} imes [-\bar{R}_j, \bar{R}_j],$$

then there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$ , such that for all  $u \in M$ ,  $y \in \overline{\bigcup_{x \in K_j} \Lambda(x)}$ ,

and  $x_1, x_2 \in K_j$  with  $|x_1 - x_2| \le \delta_1$ , we have

$$|h(x_1, y, u(\xi(y))) - h(x_2, y, u(\xi(y)))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\Lambda^j}$$

and there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2 \in K_j$  with  $|x_1 - x_2| \le \delta_2$ , we have

$$\mu(\Lambda(x_1) \bigtriangleup \Lambda(x_2)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\bar{k}_j \varphi(\bar{R}_j)}.$$

Taking

$$\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$$

we find that for all  $u \in M$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in K_j$  with  $|x_1 - x_2| \le \delta$ , we have the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} &|H[u](x_1) - H[u](x_2)| = \\ &\left| \int\limits_{\Lambda(x_1)} h(x_1, y, u(\xi(y))) dy - \int\limits_{\Lambda(x_2)} h(x_2, y, u(\xi(y))) dy \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int\limits_{\Lambda(x_1)} h(x_1, y, u(\xi(y))) - h(x_2, y, u(\xi(y))) dy \right| \\ &+ \left| \int\limits_{\Lambda(x_1)} h(x_2, y, u(\xi(y))) dy - \int\limits_{\Lambda(x_2)} h(x_2, y, u(\xi(y))) dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \mu(\Lambda(x_1))}{2\Lambda j} + \bar{k}_j \varphi(\bar{R}_j) \mu(\Lambda(x_1) \bigtriangleup \Lambda(x_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From its uniform boundedness and equicontinuity, we deduce that the set H(M) is relatively compact in  $C(\Omega)$ .

Claim 2. Continuity of the operator H on M. Since  $C(\Omega)$  is a metric space, it is sufficient to show that H is sequentially continuous. Let  $(u_n)_n$  be a sequence which converges to some limit  $u \in M$ , i.e., it converges uniformly on each compact subset of  $\Omega$ . Due to the continuity of h and the convergence of  $u_n$ , we have that for all  $j \ge 1$  and  $x \in K_j$ , there exists  $N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$  and  $y \in \bigcup_{x \in K_j} \Lambda(x)$ , we have

$$|h(x,y,u_n(\xi(y)))-h(x,y,u(\xi(y)))|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\Lambda^j}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |H[u_n](x) - H[u](x)| &= \\ \left| \int\limits_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, u_n(\xi(y))) - h(x, y, u(\xi(y))) dy \right| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\Lambda^j} \mu(\Lambda(x)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\Lambda^j} \Lambda^j = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator *H* is continuous on *M*.

**Lemma 3.4.** Under Assumptions  $(H_0) - (H_5)$ , for each  $v \in M$ , there exists a unique  $\psi_v \in M$  such that, for all  $x \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\psi_{\nu}(x) = f(x, \psi_{\nu}(x)) + g(x, \psi_{\nu}(x)) \int_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, \nu(\xi(y))) dy.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in M$  be fixed and define the operator  $\mathscr{T}_v$  by

$$\mathscr{T}_{\nu}(u)(x) = f(x, u(x)) + g(x, u(x)) \int_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, \nu(\xi(y))) dy.$$

Making use of  $(H_2) - (H_4)$ , we find that, for each  $u_1, u_2 \in M$ and for all  $x \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &|\mathscr{T}_{v}(u_{1})(x) - \mathscr{T}_{v}(u_{2})(x)| \\ &\leq |f(x,u_{1}(x)) - f(x,u_{2}(x))| \\ &+ |g(x,u_{1}(x)) - g(x,u_{2}(x))| \int_{\Lambda(x)} |h(x,y,v(\xi(y)))| dy \\ &\leq \left(a(x) + b(x) \int_{\Lambda(x)} |h(x,y,v(\xi(y)))| dy\right) |u_{1}(x) - u_{2}(x)| \\ &\leq \left(a(x) + b(x) \int_{\Lambda(x)} k(x,y) \varphi(r(\xi(y))) dy\right) |u_{1}(x) - u_{2}(x)|. \end{split}$$

Writing this for  $x \in K_j$ , passing to the supremum over  $K_j$ , and appealing to  $(H_5)$  shows that  $\mathscr{T}_v$  is a contraction. Then Corollary 2.8 guarantees that the operator  $\mathscr{T}_v : M \to M$  admits a unique fixed point  $\psi_v$  in M.

We are now in position to state and prove the main existence result of this paper:

**Theorem 3.5.** Under assumptions  $(H_0) - (H_5)$ , Equation (1.1) has at least one solution in the Fréchet space  $C(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is based on an application of the Schauder-Tychonov fixed point theorem, namely Lemma 2.9. Using Lemma 3.4, we first define the mapping  $\mathscr{A} : M \to M$  which assigns to each *v* the image  $\mathscr{A}v$  solution of the following nonlinear equation:

$$\mathscr{A}v(x) = f(x, \mathscr{A}v(x)) + g(x, \mathscr{A}v(x))H[v](x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where *H* is defined by (3.4). It is clear that a fixed point of the mapping  $\mathscr{A}$  is a solution to Equation (1.1).

Claim 1.  $\mathscr{A}$  is a continuous operator on M. It is sufficient to show that it is sequentially continuous.



Let  $(v_n)_n$  be a sequence which converges to  $v \in M$ , let  $j \ge 1$  be fixed, and  $x \in K_j$ . Using  $(H_0) - (H_4)$ , we get the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathscr{A}v_n(x) - \mathscr{A}v(x)| \\ &\leq |f(x, \mathscr{A}v_n(x)) - f(x, \mathscr{A}v(x))| \\ &+ \left| g(x, \mathscr{A}v_n(x)) \int_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, v_n(\xi(y))) dy \right| \\ &- g(x, \mathscr{A}v(x)) \int_{\Lambda(x)} h(x, y, v_n(\xi(y))) dy \right| \\ &+ |g(x, \mathscr{A}v(x))| |H[v_n](x) - H[v](x)| \\ &\leq \alpha_j |\mathscr{A}v_n(x) - \mathscr{A}v(x)| + \bar{g}^j |H[v_n](x) - H[v](x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_j & = & \sup_{x \in K_j} \{a(x) + b(x) \int\limits_{\Lambda(x)} k(x,y) \varphi(r(\xi(y))) dy\}, \\ \overline{g}^j & = & \sup_{x \in K_j} \{|g(x,u)|, x \in K_j, u \in [-\overline{r}^j, \overline{r}^j]\}, \\ \overline{r}^j & = & \sup_{x \in K_j} \{r(x), x \in K_j\}. \end{array}$$

Hence

$$|\mathscr{A}v_n(x) - \mathscr{A}v(x)| \leq \frac{\bar{g}^j}{1-\alpha_j} |H[v]_n(x) - H[v](x)|.$$

Since *H* is continuous, from the last estimate we deduce the continuity of the operator  $\mathscr{A}$  on *M*.

*Claim 2.*  $\mathscr{A}(M)$  is equi-continuous. Given  $j \ge 1$ , for all  $x_1, x_2 \in K_j$  and  $v \in M$ , we have the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathscr{A}v(x_1) - \mathscr{A}v(x_2)| \\ &\leq |f(x_1, \mathscr{A}v(x_1)) - f(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_1))| \\ &+ |f(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_1)) - f(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_2))| \\ &+ |g(x_1, \mathscr{A}v(x_1)) - g(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_1))| |Hv(x_1) \\ &+ |g(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_1)) - g(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_2))| |Hv(x_1) \\ &+ |g(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_2))| |H[v](x_1) - H[v](x_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathscr{A}v(x_{1}) - \mathscr{A}v(x_{2})| \\ &\leq |f(x_{1}, \mathscr{A}v(x_{1})) - f(x_{2}, \mathscr{A}v(x_{1}))| \\ &+ |g(x_{1}, \mathscr{A}v(x_{1})) - g(x_{2}, \mathscr{A}v(x_{1}))| |H[v](x_{1})| \\ &+ \alpha^{j} |\mathscr{A}v(x_{1}) - \mathscr{A}v(x_{2})| + \overline{g}^{j} |H[v](x_{1}) - H[v](x_{2})|. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniform continuity of functions *f* and *g* on the compact set  $K_j \times [-\bar{r}^j, \bar{r}^j]$  and the equicontinuity of H(M), for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that for all  $x_1, x_2 \in K_j$  with  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(x_1, \mathscr{A}v(x_1)) - f(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_1))| < \frac{(1 - \alpha^j)\varepsilon}{3},$$
$$|g(x_1, \mathscr{A}v(x_1)) - g(x_2, \mathscr{A}v(x_1))| < \frac{(1 - \alpha^j)\varepsilon}{3\bar{k}_j \varphi(\bar{R}_j)\Lambda^j},$$

and

$$|H[v](x_1)-H[v](x_2)| < \frac{(1-\alpha^j)\varepsilon}{3\overline{g}^j}.$$

Therefore

$$|\mathscr{A}v(x_1) - \mathscr{A}v(x_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon,$$

which means that the restriction of all functions from  $\mathscr{A}(M)$  forms an equi-continuous set, for each  $j \ge 1$ . As a consequence, the set  $\mathscr{A}(M)$  is relatively compact by Lemma 2.5. Now applying the Schauder-Tychonov fixed point theorem, i.e., Lemma 2.9, we conclude that  $\mathscr{A}$  has a fixed point in M, that is a solution to Equation (1.1).

## 4. Examples

In this section, we illustrate the applicability of Theorem 3.5 by giving two concrete examples.

**Example 4.1.** Consider the following integral equation posed on  $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &u(x_1, x_2) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2) \exp^{x_1 + x_2} \\ &+ \arctan\left(\frac{u(x_1, x_2)}{3 + x_1^4 + x_2^4}\right) \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{4x_1^2 x_2^2 y_1 y_2}{(1 + x_1^4 + x_2^4)} \frac{u^2(y_1, y_2)}{(1 + u^2(y_1, y_2))} dy_1 dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Let

$$\Lambda(x_1, x_2) = (0, x_1) \times (0, x_2), \quad \xi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2),$$
$$f(x_1, x_2, u) = (x_1 + x_2) \exp^{(x_1 + x_2)},$$
$$g(x_1, x_2, u) = \arctan\left(\frac{u}{3 + x_1^4 + x_2^4}\right),$$
$$h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u) = \frac{4x_1^2 x_2^2}{(1 + x_1^4 + x_2^4)} \frac{u^2}{(1 + u^2)} y_1 y_2.$$

We have for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$|f(x_1, x_2, u) - f(x_1, x_2, v)| = 0,$$
  
$$|g(x_1, x_2, u) - g(x_1, x_2, v)| \le \frac{1}{(3 + x_1^4 + x_2^4)} |u - v|$$
  
$$|h(x_1, x_2, v_1, v_2, u)| \le \frac{4x_1^2 x_2^2}{2} v_1 v_2$$

$$|h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u)| \le \frac{\pi x_1 x_2}{(1 + x_1^4 + x_2^4)} y_1 y_2.$$

So we can take a(x) = c(x) = 0,  $b(x) = \frac{1}{3+x_1^4+x_2^4}$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 1$ , and

$$k(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \frac{4x_1^2 x_2^2}{1 + x_1^4 + x_2^4} y_1 y_2,$$

such that Assumptions  $(H_1) - (H_5)$  are satisfied. To check  $(H_5)$ , we choose

$$r(x) = \frac{(x_1 + x_2)\exp^{(x_1 + x_2)}}{1 - \frac{x_1^4 x_2^4}{(3 + x_1^4 + x_2^4)(1 + x_1^4 + x_2^4)}}$$



which is a continuous function from  $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ that further satisfies  $(H_5)$  for

$$\frac{\frac{1}{(3+x_1^4+x_2^4)}\int_0^{x_2}\int_0^{x_1}\frac{4x_1^2x_2^2y_1y_2}{(1+x_1^4+x_2^4)}dy_1dy_2 = \\ \frac{x_1^4x_2^4}{(3+x_1^4+x_2^4)(1+x_1^4+x_2^4)} < 1.$$

By Theorem 3.5, Equation (4.1) has at least one solution in the space  $C((0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty))$ .

**Example 4.2.** Consider the following integral equation on  $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$ 

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} x_1 x_2 \cos\left(\frac{u(x_1, x_2)}{1 + x_1^2 x_2^2}\right) + \frac{u(x_1, x_2)}{(1 + x_1^2)^2 (1 + x_2^2)} \int_0^{x_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_1^2 + 1} \frac{2u(y_1, y_2)}{1 + |u(y_1, y_2)|} y_1 y_2 dy_1 dy_2.$$
(4.2)

For all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(x_1, x_2) &= (x_1, x_1^2 + 1) \times (0, x_2), \quad \xi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2), \\ f(x_1, x_2, u) &= \frac{1}{2} x_1 x_2 \cos(\frac{u}{1 + x_1^2 x_2^2}), \\ g(x_1, x_2, u) &= \frac{u}{(1 + x_1^2)^2 (1 + x_2^2)}, \\ h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u) &= \frac{2u}{1 + |u|} y_1 y_2. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$|f(x_1, x_2, u) - f(x_1, x_2, v)| \le \frac{x_1 x_2}{2(1 + x_1^2 x_2^2)} |u - v|,$$
  

$$|g(x_1, x_2, u) - g(x_1, x_2, v)| \le \frac{1}{(1 + x_1^2)^2 (1 + x_2^2)} |u - v|,$$
  

$$|h(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u)| \le 2y_1 y_2.$$

So we can choose  $a(x) = \frac{x_1 x_2}{2(1+x_1^2 x_2^2)}$ ,  $b(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x_1^2)^2(1+x_2^2)}$ , c(x) = 0,  $\varphi(u) = 1$ , and

$$k(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = 2y_1y_2,$$

such that assumptions  $(H_1) - (H_5)$  are satisfied. To verify  $(H_5)$ , we consider the function

$$r(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}x_1x_2}{1 - \frac{|x_1x_2|}{2(1 + x_1^2 x_2^2)} - \frac{(x_1^4 + x_1^2 + 1)x_2^2}{2(1 + x_1^2)^2(1 + x_2^2)}}$$

which is a continuous function from  $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies  $(H_5)$  for

$$\frac{x_1x_2}{2(1+x_1^2x_2^2)} + \frac{1}{(1+x_1^2)^2(1+x_2^2)} \int_0^{x_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_1^2+1} 2y_1y_2 dy_1 dy_2 < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1.$$

Applying Theorem 3.5, we conclude that Equation (4.2) has at least one solution in the space  $C((0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty))$ .

### 5. Concluding Remarks

- Example 1 cannot be covered by the method used in [4] because the function (x<sub>1</sub> + x<sub>2</sub>) exp<sup>x<sub>1</sub>+x<sub>2</sub> is not bounded. Example 2 cannot be treated by the method in [9] because Ω ⊂ ℝ<sup>2</sup> and *f* depends on the unknown *u*.
  </sup>
- 2. The nonlinear quadratic Volterra equation:

$$u(x) = a(x) + f(x, u(x)) \int_0^x v(x, y, u(y) dy, \quad x \ge 0,$$

is solved in [9] via a measure of noncompactness and it is clearly covered by Equation (1.1). From Theorem 3.5, the existence of solution is obtained when  $\Lambda(x) = (0,x)$ , the nonlinearity f satisfies the Lipschitz condition ( $H_3$ ) in the second argument, and the function v is variable-separated dominated as in ( $H_4$ ) while it is assumed bounded in the third argument in [9, ( $H_3$ )', (33)], namely  $|v(x,y,u)| \leq g(x,y)$ . Our assumption ( $H_5$ ) then reduces to [9, ( $H_4$ )', (34)]. In this case, notice that if we take  $\varphi(s) = 1$  in Hypothesis ( $H_4$ ), then the function r introduced in ( $H_5$ ) becomes:

$$r(x) = \frac{|f(x,0)| \int_0^x g(x,y) dy + |a(x)|}{1 - k_f \int_0^x g(x,y) dy},$$

where  $k_f$  is the Lipschitz constant of f and

$$k_f \int_0^x g(x, y) dy < 1$$

by  $[9, (H_4)', (34)]$ , i.e., by Hypothesis  $(H_5)$ . This shows that a direct application of Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem leads to the same existence result.

3. The nonlinear (non-quadratic) Volterra equation with deviated argument:

$$u(x) = d(x) + \int_0^x v(x, y, u(\varphi(y))dy, x \ge 0,$$

discussed in [5] is also covered by Equation (1.1). The Schauder fixed point theorem was employed for the nonlinearity v was assumed to satisfy the sublinear growth condition (see [5, (i), (6)]):

$$|\mathbf{v}(x, y, u)| \le \eta(x, y) + \alpha(x)\beta(y)|u|,$$

for some positive continuous functions,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\eta$ . This is of course a more restrictive condition than Hypothesis ( $H_5$ ). Further conditions (ii) and (iii) are imposed in [5, (8)].

- 4. The usage of MNC in the space  $BC(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  in [4] has generated several boundedness conditions on the nonlinear functions of the functional-integral equation in consideration (see [4, (*i*)-(*v*)]), which did not occur in this work.
- 5. It may be of interest to relax Lipschitz conditions in  $(H_2) (H_3)$  which are intrinsic to the method used in this work.



## References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, and D.O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 141. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [2] A. Aghajani, R. Allahyari, and M. Mursaleen, A generalization of Darbo's theorem with application to the solvability of systems of integral equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 260(2014), 68–77.
- [3] J. Appell, J. Banaś, and N. Merentes, Measures of noncompactness in the study of asymptotically stable and ultimately nondecreasing solutions of integral equations, *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, 29(3)(2010), 251–273.
- <sup>[4]</sup> R. Arab, R. Allahyari, and A.S. Haghighi, Construction of a measure of noncompactness on  $BC(\Omega)$  and its application to Volterra integral equations, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 13(3)(2016), 1197–1210.
- [5] J. Banas, K. Sadarangani, Compactness conditions in the study of functional, differential, and integral equations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013, Art. ID 819315, 14 pp.
- [6] A. Bellour, D. O'Regan, and M.A. Taoudi, On the existence of integrable solutions for a nonlinear quadratic integral equation, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, 46(1-2)(2014), 67–77.
- [7] M. Benchohra, M. A. Darwish, On quadratic integral equations of Urysohn type in Fréchet spaces, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.*, 79(1)(2010), 105–110.
- [8] M.A. Darwish, J. Banaś, and E.O. Alzahrani, The existence and attractivity of solutions of an Urysohn integral equation on an unbounded interval, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013, Art. ID 147409, 9 pp.
- [9] S. Dudek, L. Olszzowy, Continuous dependence of the solutions of nonlinear integral quadratic Volterra equation on the parameter, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2015, Art. ID 471235, 9 pp.
- [10] G.L.Jr. Cain, M.Z. Nashed, Fixed points and stability for a sum of two operators in locally convex spaces, *Pac. J. Math.*, 39(1971), 581–592.
- [11] H.H.G. Hashem, A.M.A. Elsayed Solvability of nonlinear quadratic functional equations, *App. Math. Inf. Sci.*, 9(5)(2015), 2715-2720.
- <sup>[12]</sup> L. Olszowy, Fixed point theorems in the Fréchet space  $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and functional integral equations on an unbounded interval, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218(18)(2012), 9066–9074.
- [13] V. Runde, A Taste of Topology, Universitext. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [14] F. Wang, H. Zhou, Fixed point theorems in locally convex spaces and a nonlinear integral equation of mixed type, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2015, 2015:228, 11 pp.
- [15] T. Zhu, G. Li, Existence of nondecreasing solutions of a quadratic integral equation of Volterra type, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 221(2013), 214–220.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319 – 3786 Malaya Journal of Matematik ISSN(O):2321 – 5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*