

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0703/0016

# On $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed sets in bitopological spaces

M. Ramaboopathi<sup>1\*</sup> and K. M. Dharmalingam <sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

The aim of this paper, we introduce a new class of sets called  $(1,2)^* \cdot \hat{g}_1$ -closed sets,  $(1,2)^* \cdot \mathscr{G}$ -closed sets and  $(1,2)^* \cdot \check{g}$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces and we obtain several characterizations of this class and investigate the relationships with other some closed sets in bitopological spaces.

### Keywords

 $(1,2)^*$ - $\hat{g}$ -closed sets,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\hat{g}_1$ -closed sets,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed sets and  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed sets.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54E55.

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics, The Madura College, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu, India. \*Corresponding author: <sup>1</sup> mrboopathi24@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>kmdharma6902@yahoo.in Article History: Received 18 April 2019; Accepted 09 June 2019

©2019 MJM.

### Contents

1	Introduction
2	Preliminaries
3	On $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed sets464
4	On $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closure and $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-interior
5	Conclusion
	References

## 1. Introduction

N. Levine [8] was introduced generalized closed sets in general topology as a generalization of closed sets. This concept was found to be useful and many results in general topology were improved. J. Kelly [6] was introduced the notion of bitopological spaces. Recently many Researchers[rep. [12], [13]] introduced by various types of generalized closed sets and studied their fundamental properties are investigated in bitopological spaces. M.Garg [4] was introduced a new class of sets namely  $\hat{g}$ -closed sets in topological spaces and K. M. Dharmalingam *et. al.*, [2] extend the  $\hat{g}$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce a new class of sets called  $(1,2)^*$ - $\hat{g}_1$ -closed sets,  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed sets and  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed sets in bitopological spaces and we obtain several characterizations of this class and investigate the relationships with other some closed sets in bitopological spaces.

# 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open [7] if  $A = P \cup Q$ , for some  $P \in \tau_1$  and  $Q \in \tau_2$ .

*The complement of*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-open set is called*  $\tau_{1,2}$ *-closed.* 

**Definition 2.2.** [7] Let A be a subset of a bitopological space *X*. Then

- 1. the  $\tau_{1,2}$ -interior of A, denoted by  $\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A), is defined by  $\cup \{ U : U \subseteq A \text{ and } U \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}$ -open $\}$ .
- 2. the  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closure of A, denoted by  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A), is defined by  $\cap \{ U : A \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-closed} \}.$

**Remark 2.3.** [7] Notice that  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open subsets of X need not necessarily form a topology.

**Definition 2.4.** *Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called* 

- 1.  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set [7] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl( $\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A)).
- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ -preopen set [7] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)).
- 3.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -open set [7] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int $(\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(\tau_{1,2}$ -int(A))).
- 4.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\beta$ -open set [9] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl( $\tau_{1,2}$ -int( $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A))).

The complements of the above mentioned open sets are called their respective closed sets.

**Definition 2.5.** *Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. Then A is called* 

1.  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set [11] if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever A  $\subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed set [1] if  $(1,2)^*$ -scl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open.
- 3.  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed set [1] if  $(1,2)^*$ -scl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.
- 4.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -closed set [3] if  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.
- 5.  $(1,2)^*$ -g $\beta$ -closed set [3] if  $(1,2)^*$ - $\beta$ cl(A)  $\subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.
- 6. (1,2)\*-ĝ-closed set [2] if τ<sub>1,2</sub>-cl(A) ⊆ U whenever A ⊆ U and U is (1,2)\*-sg-open. The complements of the above closed sets are their respective open sets.
- 7.  $(1,2)^*$ -locally closed [10] if  $A = M \cap N$  where M is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and N is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

## **3.** On $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed sets

**Definition 3.1.** A subset *H* of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a

- 1.  $(1,2)^* \hat{g}_1$ -closed set if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and G is  $(1,2)^* \hat{g}$ -open.
- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathcal{G}$ -closed set if  $(1,2)^*$ -scl $(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and G is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\hat{g}_1$ -open.
- 3.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set if  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and G is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open.
- 4.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}_{\alpha}$ -closed set if  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha cl(H) \subseteq G$  whenever  $H \subseteq G$  and G is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open.
- The (1,2)\*-G-Kernel of the set H, denoted by (1,2)\*-G-ker(H), is the intersection of all (1,2)\*-G-open supersets of H.

The complements of the above (1), (2) and (3) used closed sets are their respective open sets.

**Remark 3.2.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , for a subset *H* the following relations are true.

- 1. if H is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\hat{g}_1$ -closed.
- 2. *if* H is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-closed.
- 3. if H is  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open.

Converse part of the above is not true as shown in the following Example.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{p, q, r, s\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r, s\}, \{p, r, s\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$  then  $\tau_{1,2} = \{\phi, \{p\}, \{r, s\}, \{p, r, s\}, X\}$ . In the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then

- 1. the subset  $\{r, s\}$  is  $(1, 2)^* \hat{\hat{g}}_1$ -closed but not  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.
- 2. the subset  $\{p,q,r\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-closed but not  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

3. the subset {s} is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open but not  $(1,2)^*$ -semiopen.

**Proposition 3.4.** For a subset *H* of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following implications are true.

- 1. *H* is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed.
- 2. *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>-closed.
- 3. *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed.
- 4. *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*g*-closed.
- 5. *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ *g*-closed.
- 6. *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed.
- 7. *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set  $\Rightarrow$  *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-closed.
- *Proof.* 1. If *H* is any  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set in *X* and *G* is any  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open set containing *H*, then  $H = \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq G$ . Thus *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed.
  - If *H* is a (1,2)\*-ğ-closed set in *X* and *G* is any (1,2)\*-*G*-open set containing *H*, then (1,2)\*-αcl(*H*) ⊆ τ<sub>1,2</sub>-cl(*H*) ⊆ *G*. Thus *H* is (1,2)\*-ğα-closed.
  - 3. If *H* is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set in *X* and *G* is any  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set containing *H*, since every  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open and *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed, we have  $(1,2)^*$ -scl $(H) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl $(H) \subseteq G$ . Thus *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed.
  - If *H* is a (1,2)\*-ğ-closed set and *G* is any (1,2)\*-open set containing *H*, since every (1,2)\*-open set is (1,2)\*- *G*-open, we have τ<sub>1,2</sub>-cl(*H*) ⊆ *G*. Thus *H* is (1,2)\*-g-closed.
  - 5. If *H* is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set in *X* and *G* is any  $(1,2)^*$ -open set containing *H*, since every  $(1,2)^*$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open, we have  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha cl(H) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq G$ . Thus *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -closed.
  - 6. If *H* is a  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set in *X* and *G* is any  $(1,2)^*$ -open set containing *H*, since every  $(1,2)^*$ -open set is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open, we have  $(1,2)^*$ - $scl(H) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq G$ . Hence *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-closed.
  - If *H* is a (1,2)\*-*š*-closed set in *X* and *G* is any (1,2)\*open set containing *H*, every (1,2)\*-open set is (1,2)\*-*G*-open, we have (1,2)\*-*βcl*(*H*) ⊆ τ<sub>1,2</sub>-*cl*(*H*) ⊆ *G*. Thus *H* is (1,2)\*-*gsp*-closed.

**Remark 3.5.** These relations are shown in the diagram.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed  $\leftarrow$   $\tau_1$ - $\gamma$ -closed  $\rightarrow$   $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed

Ļ		-,- ↓		Ţ
$(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}\alpha$ -closed	$\leftarrow$	(1,2)*-ğ-closed	$\rightarrow$	$(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed
, <b>↓</b>		, <b>↓</b>		. ↓
$(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha g$ -closed	$\leftarrow$	(1,2)*-g-closed	$\rightarrow$	$(1,2)^*$ -g $\beta$ -closed

**Remark 3.6.** In a bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then

1. the family of  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed sets and the family of  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed sets are independent of each other.

2. the family of  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed sets and the family of  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed sets are independent of each other.

As shown in the following Examples.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{p, q, r\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{p, q\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 =$  $\{\phi, X\}$  then  $\tau_{1,2} = \{\phi, \{p,q\}, X\}$ . In the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then the subset  $\{r\}$  is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed and  $(1, 2)^*$ semi-closed but not  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{y, z\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 =$  $\{\phi, X\}$  then  $\tau_{1,2} = \{\phi, \{y, z\}, X\}$ . In the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then the subset  $\{y\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed but not  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ -closed and  $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed.

**Lemma 3.9.** A subset H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed  $\iff \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(A)  $\subseteq$  $(1,2)^{\star}$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -ker(H).

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Assuming that H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed. Then  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq Proof$ . Let  $H \subseteq Y \cap G$ , where G is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open. Then U whenever  $H \subseteq U$  and U is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open. Let  $x \in \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H). If  $x \notin (1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -ker(H), then there is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open set U containing H such that  $x \notin U$ . Since U is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open set containing *H*, we have  $x \notin \tau_{1,2}$ -*cl*(*H*) and this is a contradiction.

 $\Leftarrow$  let  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq (1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -ker(H). If U is any  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open set containing H, then  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq (1,2)^{\star}$ - $\mathscr{G}$ - $ker(H) \subseteq$ U. Therefore, H is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed.

**Proposition 3.10.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if P and Q are  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed sets then  $P \cup Q$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed.

*Proof.* If  $P \cup Q \subseteq G$  and G is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open, then  $P \subseteq G$  and  $Q \subseteq G$ . Since P and Q are  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed,  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(P) and  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(Q) and hence  $G \supseteq \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(P) \cup \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(Q) = $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(P \cup Q)$ . Thus  $P \cup Q$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.11.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if a set H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed, then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H) – H contains no nonempty  $(1,2)^*$ -G-closed.

*Proof.* Assuming that H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed. Let K be a  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed subset of  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H) - H. Then  $H \subseteq K^c$ . Since H is  $(1,2)^{\star}$ -*ğ*-closed,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -*cl*(*H*)  $\subseteq K^c$ .

Consequently,  $K \subseteq (\tau_{1,2}\text{-}cl(H))^c$ . We already have  $K \subseteq$  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H). Thus  $K \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \cap (\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H))^c$  and  $K = \phi$ .

**Remark 3.12.** The converse of Proposition 3.11 is not true as shown in the following Example.

**Example 3.13.** Let  $X = \{i, j, k, l\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$  $\{i,l\},X\}$  then  $\tau_{1,2} = \{\phi,\{i,l\},X\}$ . In the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed is  $\{\phi, \{j, k\}, \{i, j, k\}, \{j, k, l\}, X\}$  Corollary 3.21. If H is  $(1, 2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set and F is a and  $(1,2)^*$ -G-closed is  $\{\phi, \{i\}, \{l\}, \{i,j\}, \{i,k\}, \{i,l\}, \{j,k\}, \{j,k\}, \{i,k\}, \{i,k\}, \{j,k\}, \{i,k\}, \{i$  $\{i, j, k\}, \{i, j, l\}, \{i, k, l\}, X\}$ . If  $H = \{j\}$  then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H) - $H = \{k\}$  does not contain any nonempty  $(1,2)^*$ -G-closed. But  $(1,2)^*$ - $\hat{g}_1$ -closed is not  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.14.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if a set H is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed, then  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H) – H contains no nonempty  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set.

*Proof.* Suppose that H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed. Let K be a  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed subset of  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H) - H. Then  $H \subseteq K^c$ . Since H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ closed, we have  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq K^c$ .

Consequently,  $K \subseteq (\tau_{1,2} - cl(H))^c$ . Hence,  $K \subseteq \tau_{1,2} - cl(H) \cap$  $(\tau_{1,2}-cl(H))^c = \phi$ . Therefore  $K = \phi$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set and  $H \subseteq K \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H), then K is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set.

*Proof.* Let  $K \subseteq U$  where U is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open set. Since  $H \subseteq$ K,  $H \subseteq U$ . Since H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed set,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H)  $\subseteq U$ . Since  $K \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H),  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(K) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq U$ . Therefore *K* is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed set.

**Proposition 3.16.** Let  $H \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and suppose that H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed. Then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed relative to Y.

 $H \subseteq G$  and hence  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq G$ . This implies that  $Y \cap$  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq Y \cap G$ . Thus H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed relative to Υ.

**Proposition 3.17.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if H is  $a (1,2)^*$ -G-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed, then H is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

*Proof.* Since H is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open and  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -*cl*(*H*)  $\subseteq$  *H* and hence *H* is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.18.** Let H be a  $(1,2)^*$ -locally closed set. Then H is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed  $\iff$  H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-closed.

*Proof.* It is fact that every  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed. Conversely,  $H \cup (X - \tau_{1,2} - cl(H))$  is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open, since H is  $(1,2)^*$ -locally closed. Now  $H \cup (X - \tau_{1,2} - cl(H))$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open set such that  $H \subseteq H \cup (X - \tau_{1,2} - cl(H))$ . Since H is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed, then  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq H \cup (X - \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H)). Thus, we have  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq H$  and hence H is a  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.19.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , for each  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -G-closed or  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ ğ-closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed. Then  $\{x\}^c$  is not  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open and the only  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open set containing  $\{x\}^c$  is the space X itself. Therefore  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(\{x\}^c) \subseteq X$  and so  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed.

**Remark 3.20.** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , let K be a  $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set. Then the following properties hold: If H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $H \cap F$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed.

 $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set, then  $H \cap F$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set.

*Proof.* Let U be a  $(1,2)^*$ -G-open set such that  $H \cap F \subseteq U$ . By Remark 3.20, it shows that  $H \subseteq U \cup (X \setminus F)$  and  $U \cup$  $(X \setminus F)$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open. Since *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed, we have  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq U \cup (X \setminus F)$  and so  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H \cap F) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H) \cap$  $\tau_{1,2}\text{-}cl(F) = \tau_{1,2}\text{-}cl(H) \cap F \subseteq (U \cup (X \setminus F)) \cap F = U \cap F \subseteq U.$ Therefore  $H \cap F$  is  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closed.



## 4. On $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-closure and $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-interior

**Definition 4.1.** In a bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , for every set  $H \subseteq X$ , we define

 (1,2)\*-ğ-closure of H to be the intersection of all (1,2)\*ğ-closed sets containing H.

 $i.e., (1,2)^{\star} - \check{g} - cl(H) = \cap \{K : H \subseteq K \in (1,2)^{\star} - \check{g} - closed\}.$ 

 (1,2)\*-ğ-int(H) is defined as the union of all (1,2)\*-ğopen sets contained in H.

*i.e.*,  $(1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-*int* $(H) = \bigcup \{K : K \subseteq H \text{ and } K \text{ is } (1,2)^*$ -*ğ*-*open* $\}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** In a bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , for any  $H \subseteq X$ ,  $H \subseteq (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $cl(H) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -cl(H).

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 3.4(1).

**Lemma 4.3.** For any  $H \subseteq X$ ,  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-cl $(H) \subseteq (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -cl(H), where  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-cl(H) is given by  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-cl $(H) = \cap \{K : H \subseteq K \in (1,2)^*$ -sg-closed $\}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 3.4(3).

**Proposition 4.4.** For any bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following relations are hold:

- 1. If H is  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open.
- 2. If H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ $_{\alpha}$ -open.
- 3. If H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -g-open.
- 4. If H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\alpha$ g-open.
- 5. If H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -gs-open.
- 6. If H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -gsp-open.
- 7. If H is  $(1,2)^*$ -ğ-open set, then H is  $(1,2)^*$ -sg-open.

Proof. Obvious.

**Proposition 4.5.** If H and K are  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open sets, then  $H \cap K$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open.

Proof. Obvious.

**Theorem 4.6.** A subset H of X is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open  $\iff K \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(H) whenever K is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed and  $K \subseteq H$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -*int*(H) such that K is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed and  $K \subseteq H$ . Let  $H^c \subseteq U$  where U is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open. Then  $U^c \subseteq H$  and  $U^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed. Therefore  $U^c \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -*int*(H) by hypothesis. Since  $U^c \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -*int*(H), we have  $(\tau_{1,2}$ -*int* $(H))^c \subseteq U$ .

i.e.,  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H^c) \subseteq U$ , since  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H^c) = (\tau_{1,2}$ - $int(H))^c$ . Thus  $H^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed. i.e., H is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open.

Conversely, suppose that *H* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open such that  $K \subseteq H$  and *K* is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -closed. Then  $K^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\mathscr{G}$ -open and  $H^c \subseteq K^c$ . Therefore,  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H^c) \subseteq K^c$  by definition of  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closedness and so  $K \subseteq \tau_{1,2}$ -int(H), since  $\tau_{1,2}$ - $cl(H^c) = (\tau_{1,2}$ - $int(H))^c$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** For an  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $cl(H) \iff K \cap H \neq \phi$  for every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open set K containing x.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in (1,2)^* \cdot \check{g} \cdot cl(H)$  for any  $x \in X$ . To prove  $K \cap H \neq \phi$  for every  $(1,2)^* \cdot \check{g}$ -open set K containing x. Prove the result by contradiction. Suppose there exists a  $(1,2)^* \cdot \check{g}$ -open set K containing x such that  $K \cap H = \phi$ . Then  $H \subseteq K^c$  and  $K^c$  is  $(1,2)^* \cdot \check{g}$ -closed. We have  $(1,2)^* \cdot \check{g} \cdot cl(H) \subseteq K^c$ . This shows that  $x \notin (1,2)^* \cdot \check{g} \cdot cl(H)$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $K \cap H \neq \phi$  for every  $(1,2)^* \cdot \check{g}$ -open set K containing x.

Conversely, let  $K \cap H \neq \phi$  for every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open set K containing x. To prove  $x \in (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -cl(H). We prove the result by contradiction. Suppose  $x \notin (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -cl(H). Then there exists a  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -closed set S containing H such that  $x \notin S$ . Then  $x \in S^c$  and  $S^c$  is  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open. Also  $S^c \cap H = \phi$ , which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence  $x \in (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -cl(H).

**Lemma 4.8.** For any  $H \subseteq X$ ,  $\tau_{1,2}$ -int $(H) \subseteq (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -int $(H) \subseteq H$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 4.4(1).

**Theorem 4.9.** Let H be any subset of a space X. Then

- 1.  $((1,2)^* \check{g} int(H))^c = (1,2)^* \check{g} cl(H^c).$
- 2.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -int $(H) = ((1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -cl $(H^c))^c$ .
- 3.  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $cl(H) = ((1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $int(H^c))^c$ .
- *Proof.* 1. Let  $x \in ((1,2)^*-\check{g}-int(H))^c$ . Then  $x \notin (1,2)^*-\check{g}-int(H)$ . That is, every  $(1,2)^*-\check{g}$ -open set U containing x is such that  $U \nsubseteq H$ . That is, every  $(1,2)^*-\check{g}$ -open set U containing x is such that  $U \cap H^c \neq \phi$ . By Lemma 4.7,  $x \in (1,2)^*-\check{g}-cl(H^c)$  and therefore  $((1,2)^*-\check{g}-int(H))^c \subseteq (1,2)^*-\check{g}-cl(H^c)$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $cl(H^c)$ . Then by Lemma 4.7, every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open set U containing x is such that  $U \cap H^c \neq \phi$ . That is, every  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -open set U containing x is such that  $U \nsubseteq H$ . This implies by Definition 4.1(2),  $x \notin (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ -int(H). That is,  $x \in ((1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $int(H))^c$  and so  $(1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $cl(H^c) \subseteq ((1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $int(H))^c$ . Thus  $((1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $int(H))^c = (1,2)^*$ - $\check{g}$ - $cl(H^c)$ .

- 2. Follows by taking complements in (1).
- 3. Follows by replacing H by  $H^c$  in (1).

#### 5. Conclusion

The notions of sets and functions in bitopological spaces and fuzzy topological spaces are extensively developed and used in many engineering problems, information systems, particle physics, computational topology and mathematical sciences. By researching generalizations of closed sets, some new separation axioms have been founded and they turn out to be useful in the study of digital topology. Therefore, all bitopological sets and functions defined will have many possibilities of applications in digital topology and computer graphics.



## References

- J. Antony Rex Rodrigo, O. Ravi, A. Pandi and C. M. Santhana, On (1,2)\*-s-normal spaces and pre-(1,2)\*-gs-closed functions, International Journal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics, 4(1)(2011), 29-42.
- [2] K. M. Dharmalingam and M. Ramaboopathi, *New forms of generalized closed sets in bitopological spaces*, Journal of Applied Science ans Computations, VI(III)(2019), 712-718.
- [3] Z. Duszynski, M. Jeyaraman, M. S. Joseph, O. Ravi and M. L. Thivagar, *A new generalization of closed sets in bitopology*, South Asian Journal of Mathematics, 4(5)(2014), 215-224.
- [4] M. Garg, S. Agarwal and C. K. Goal, On ĝclosed sets in topological spaces, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXXIII(4)M(2007), 1643-1652.
- [5] M. Garg, S. Khare and S. Agarwal, On \*\*g-closed sets in topological spaces, Ultra Science, 20(2)M(2008), 403-416.
- [6] J. C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 13(1963), 71-89.
- M. Lellis Thivagar, O. Ravi and M. E. Abd El-Monsef, *Remarks on bitopological* (1,2)\*-quotient mappings, J. Egypt Math. Soc., 16(1)(2008), 17-25.
- [8] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19(2)(1970), 89-96.
- <sup>[9]</sup> O. Ravi and M. L. Thivagar, *Remarks on*  $\lambda$ *-irresolute via*  $(1,2)^*$ *-sets*, Advances App. Math. Analysis, 5(1)(2010), 1-15.
- [10] O. Ravi, M. L. Thivagar and E. Ekici, *Decomposition of* (1,2)\*-*continuity and complete* (1,2)\*-*continuity in bitopological spaces*, Analele Universitatil Din Oradea. Fasc. Mathematical Tom XV(2008), 29-37.
- [11] O. Ravi, M. L. Thivagar and Jinjinli, *Remarks on extensions of* (1,2)\*-g-closed maps, Archimedes J. Math., 1(2)(2011), 177-187.
- [12] D. Saravanakumar, N. Kalaivani and G. Sai Sundara Krishnan, On μ̃-open sets in generalized topological spaces, Malaya J. Math., 3(3) (2015), 268-276.
- [13] D. Sekar and G. Kumar, On generalized α-regularinterior and generalized α-regular-closure topological spaces, Malaya J. Math., 5(1) (2017), 115-121.

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

ISSN(P):2319 – 3786 Malaya Journal of Matematik ISSN(O):2321 – 5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

