

https://doi.org/10.26637/MJM0801/0011

# Induced magic labeling of some graphs

K.B. Libeeshkumar<sup>1\*</sup> and V. Anil Kumar<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let (A, +) be an Abelian group with identity element0. Let  $f : V \to A$  be a vertex labeling and  $f^* : E \to A$  be the induced labeling of f, defined by  $f^*(v_1v_2) = f(v_1) + f(v_2)$  for all  $v_1v_2 \in E$ . Then  $f^*$  again induces a labeling say  $f^{**} : V \to A$  defined by  $f^{**}(v) = \sum_{vv_1 \in E} f^*(vv_1)$ . A graph G = (V, E) is said to be an

Induced *A*-Magic Graph (IAMG) if there exists a non zero labeling  $f: V \to A$  such that  $f \equiv f^{**}$ . The function f, so obtained is called an Induced *A*-Magic Labeling (IAML) of *G* and a graph which has no such Induced Magic Labeling is called a Non-induced magic graph. In this paper we discuss the existence of Induced Magic Labeling of some special graphs like  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $K_n$  and  $K_{m,n}$ .

#### **Keywords**

Induced A-Magic Labeling of Graphs, Induced A-Magic graphs.

#### **AMS Subject Classification**

05C78, 05C25.

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics University of Calicut, Malappuram, Kerala-670007, India.
 \*Corresponding author: <sup>1</sup> libeesh123@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>anil@uoc.ac.in
 Article History: Received 11 October 2019; Accepted 27 December 2020

©2020 MJM.

## **Contents**

## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with only finite, un directed simple and connected graphs. We refer [3] for the phrasing and standard notations related to graph theory. A *graph* is a pair G = (V, E), where V, E are the vertex set and edge set respectively. The *degree* of a vertex v in G is the number of edges incident at v and it is denoted as deg(v). Let (A, +) be an Abelian group with identity element0. Let  $f : V \to A$  be a vertex labeling and  $f^* : E \to A$  be the induced edge labeling of f, defined by  $f^*(v_1v_2) = f(v_1) + f(v_2)$  for all  $v_1v_2 \in E$ . Then  $f^*$  again induces a vertex labeling say  $f^{**} : V \to A$  defined by  $f^{**}(v) = \sum_{vv_1 \in E} f^*(vv_1)$ . A graph G = (V, E) is said to be an an Induced A-Magic Graph (IAMG) if there exists

to be an an induced A-Magic Graph (IAMG) if there exists a non zero labeling  $f: V \to A$  such that  $f \equiv f^{**}$ . The function f, so obtained is called an Induced A-Magic Labeling (IAML) of G and a graph which has no such Induced Magic Labeling is called a Non-induced magic graph. If an induced magic labeling f where f(v) = k for all verex v in G, then f is called *k*-induced magic labeling of G and G, a *k*-induced magic graph. This paper discuss some special Induced magic graphs that belongs to the following sets:

- (i)  $\Gamma(A) :=$  Set of all induced *A*-magic graphs.
- (ii)  $\Gamma(A, f) :=$  Set of all induced *A*-magic graphs with IAML f.
- (iii)  $\Gamma_k(A) :=$  Set of all induced A-magic graphs with k-induced magic labeling.

## 2. Main Results

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph and f is an IAML of G. If  $v_1 \in V$  is a pendant vertex adjacent to  $v \in V$ , then  $f(v_1) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let f be an IAML of a graph G and  $v_1$  be a pendant vertex adjacent to v. Then  $f^*(vv_1) = f(v) + f(v_1)$  and  $v_1$  is a pendant vertex implies that  $f^{**}(v_1) = f(v) + f(v_1)$ . Also f is an induced magic labeling of G implies that  $f(v_1) = f^{**}(v_1) = f(v) + f(v_1)$ . Thus f(v) = 0.

**Corollary 2.2.** If G has a pendant vertex, then  $G \notin \Gamma_k(A)$  for any Abelian group A.

*Proof.* Proof is indisputable from the lemma 2.1.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.3.** Let *f* be an IAML of a graph *G* and wuvz be a path in *G* with *w* and *z* are pendant vertices in *G*, then  $f^*(uv) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose *f* is an IAML of a graph G = (V, E) and *wuvz* is any path in *G* with *w* and *z* are pendant vertices. Then by the lemma 2.1, we have f(u) = 0 = f(v). Hence  $f^*(uv) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.4.** Let f be a vertex labeling of a graph G. Then f is an IAML of G, if and only if  $[deg(u) - 1]f(u) + \sum f(v) = 0$ , for any vertex  $u \in V(G)$ , where the summation is taken over all the vertices v which are adjacent to u.

*Proof.* Let f be an IAML of G and u be a vertex in G with deg(u) = m. Let  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_m$  be those vertices adjacent to u in G. Now f is an IAML if and only if  $f(u) = f^{**}(u) = f^*(uv_1) + f^*(uv_2) + f^*(uv_3) + \cdots + f^*(uv_m) = mf(u) + f(v_1) + f(v_2) + f(v_3) + \cdots + f(v_m)$ .

That is if and only if  $(m-1)f(u) + \sum f(v) = 0$ , where v is adjacent to u.

**Theorem 2.5.**  $P_n \in \Gamma(A)$  if and only if *n* is a multiple of 3.

*Proof.* Suppose n = 3m, for some integer m. Let  $P_n$  be the path with vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$ . For any  $a \neq 0$  in A, define  $f : V \rightarrow A$  as :

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if} \quad i = 1, 4, 7, \cdots, 3m - 2\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i = 2, 5, 8, \cdots, 3m - 1\\ a^{-1} & \text{if} \quad i = 3, 6, 9, \cdots, 3m. \end{cases}$$

Then, *f* is an IAML of *P<sub>n</sub>*. Conversely suppose *n* is not a multiple of 3, then n = 3m + 1 or n = 3m + 2 for some positive integer *m*. Let  $f: V \to A$  be a vertex labeling function with  $f \equiv f^{**}$ . Then for  $1 \le k \le n-3$  and any path  $v_k v_{k+1} v_{k+2} v_{k+3}$  in *P<sub>n</sub>*, we have  $f(v_{k+1}) = f^{**}(v_{k+1})$  implies that  $f(v_k) + f(v_{k+1}) + f(v_{k+2}) = 0$ . Also  $f(v_{k+2}) = f^{**}(v_{k+2})$  implies that  $f(v_{k+1}) + f(v_{k+2}) + f(v_{k+3}) = 0$ . Therefore we should have  $f(v_k) = f(v_{k+3})$ . Let us deal with the following cases:

**Case 1 :** n = 3m + 1

In this context, from the above discussion we have,  $0 = f(v_2) = f(v_5) = f(v_8) = \cdots = f(v_{3m-1}) = f(v_{n-2})$ and  $0 = f(v_{n-1}) = f(v_{n-4}) = \cdots = f(v_6) = f(v_3) = 0$ . Thus  $f(v_3) = 0$  and  $f(v_1) + f(v_3) = 0$  implies that  $f(v_1) = 0$ , which again implies that  $0 = f(v_1) = f(v_4) = f(v_7) = \cdots = f(v_{3m+1}) = f(v_n)$ . Hence  $f \equiv 0$ , Therefore *f* is not an IAML.

**Case 2 :** n = 3m + 2

In this context from the above discussion we have,  $0 = f(v_2) = f(v_5) = f(v_8) = \cdots = f(v_{3m+2}) = f(v_n)$  and  $0 = f(v_{n-1}) = f(v_{n-4}) = \cdots = f(v_4) = f(v_1)$ . Thus  $f(v_1) = 0$  and  $f(v_1) + f(v_3) = 0$  implies that  $f(v_3) = 0$ , which implies  $0 = f(v_3) = f(v_6) = f(v_9) = \cdots = f(v_{3m}) = f(v_{n-2})$ . Hence  $f \equiv 0$ . Therefore, f is not an IAML.

Hence if *n* is not a multiple of a 3, then  $P_n \notin \Gamma(A)$ 

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3 \cdots, v_{n-1}, v_n = v_0\}$  be the vertex set of  $C_n$ . Then for any path  $v_{k-1}v_kv_{(k+1)mod n}$ , f is an IAML of  $C_n$  if and only if  $f(v_{k-1}) + f(v_k) + f(v_{(k+1)mod n}) = 0$ , where  $1 \le k \le n$ . Moreover any IAML f of  $C_n$  satisfies  $f(v_k) =$  $f(v_{(k+3)mod n})$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ .

*Proof.* For  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ , consider the path  $v_{k-1}v_k$  $v_{(k+1)mod n}$  in  $C_n$ . Observe that f is an IAML of  $C_n$  if and only if  $f(v_k) = f^{**}(v_k)$ , which holds if and only if  $f(v_{k-1}) + f(v_k) + f(v_{(k+1)mod n}) = 0$ .

Also for any  $0 \le k \le n-1$ , let  $v_k v_{k+1} v_{[(k+2) \mod n]} v_{[(k+3) \mod n]}$ , is a path in  $C_n$ , we have  $f(v_k) + f(v_{k+1}) + f(v_{(k+2) \mod n}) = 0$ and  $f(v_{k+1}) + f(v_{(k+2) \mod n}) + f(v_{(k+3) \mod n}) = 0$ . Thus  $f(v_k) = f(v_{(k+3) \mod n})$ .

**Corollary 2.7.**  $C_n \in \Gamma_k(A)$  if and only if O(k) = 3, where O(k) denotes the order of k in A.

*Proof.* Consider  $C_n$  with  $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n = v_0\}$ . Suppose  $C_n \in \Gamma_k(A)$ , that is there exist an IAML f of  $C_n$  with  $f(v_i) = k$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Then by theorem 2.6 we have 3k = 0 in A, which implies O(k) = 3. Conversely suppose O(k) = 3. Then consider the vertex label  $f(v_i) = k$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Since  $f(v_i) = k$  for all i and O(k) = 3, we have,  $f^*(v_iv_{i+1}) = 2k$  for all i, and which implies  $f^{**}(v_i) = f^*(v_iv_{i+1}) + f^*(v_{i-1}v_i) = 4k = k = f(v_i)$ , for all i. Thus f is an IAML of  $C_n$ , that is  $C_n \in \Gamma_k(A)$ . Hence the proof. □

**Corollary 2.8.**  $C_n$  has a non-constant IAML if and only if n is a multiple of 3.

*Proof.* Consider  $C_n$  with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n = v_0.\}$ . Suppose n = 3k, for some integer k. Let a, b, c be any three distinct elements in A, such that a + b + c = 0, then define  $f : V(C_n) \to A$  as follows:

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if} \quad i = 1, 4, 7, \cdots, 3k - 2\\ b & \text{if} \quad i = 2, 5, 8, \cdots, 3k - 1\\ c & \text{if} \quad i = 3, 6, 9, \cdots, 3k. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly *f* is a non constant IAML of  $C_n$ . Conversely assume that *n* is not a multiple of 3. Then either n = 3k + 1 or 3k + 2 for some integer *k*. Let *f* be an IAML of  $C_n$  and  $f(v_1) = w$ .

**Case 1:** n = 3k + 1

In this context, by the theorem 2.6 we have:  $w = f(v_1) = f(v_4) = f(v_7) = \dots = f(v_{3k+1}) = f(v_n) = f(v_3) = f(v_6) = f(v_9) = \dots = f(v_{3k}) = f(v_2) = f(v_5) = f(v_8) = \dots = f(v_{3k-1}).$ Thus  $f(v_i) = w$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

**Case 2:** n = 3k + 2

In this context, by the theorem 2.6 we have:  

$$w = f(v_1) = f(v_4) = f(v_7) = \dots = f(v_{3k+1}) = f(v_2) = f(v_5) = f(v_8) = \dots = f(v_{3k-1}) = f(v_{3k+2}) = f(v_n) = f(v_3) = \dots = f(v_3) =$$

$$f(v_0) = f(v_3) = f(v_6) = f(v_9) = \cdots f(v_{3k}).$$
  
Thus in this case also  $f(v_i) = w$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, n$ .

Thus in either case, we have  $f(v_i) = w$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Thus if  $n \neq 0 \pmod{3}$  then every IAML of  $C_n$  is a constant IAML of  $C_n$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** The complete graph  $K_n \in \Gamma(A, f)$  if and only if  $(n-3)f(v_1) = (n-3)f(v_2) = (n-3)f(v_3) = \cdots =$  $(n-3)f(v_n) = -[f(v_1) + f(v_2) + f(v_3) + \cdots + f(v_n)]$  where  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots, v_n$  are the vertices of  $K_n$ .

*Proof.* For  $1 \le i, j \le n$ , we have  $f(v_i) = f^{**}(v_i)$  holds if and only if  $f(v_1) + f(v_2) + f(v_3) + \cdots + f(v_{i-1}) + (n-2)f(v_i) + f(v_{i+1}) + \cdots + f(v_n) = 0$ , similarly the condition  $f(v_j) = f^{**}(v_j)$  is equivalent to the condition  $f(v_1) + f(v_2) + f(v_3) + \cdots + f(v_{j-1}) + (n-2)f(v_j) + f(v_{j+1}) + \cdots + f(v_n) = 0$ . Thus we have f is an IAML if and only if  $(n-3)f(v_i) = (n-3)f(v_j) = -[f(v_1) + f(v_2) + f(v_3) + \cdots + f(v_n)]$ , for  $1 \le i, j \le n$ . Hence the proof.

**Corollary 2.10.**  $K_n \in \Gamma_k(A)$  if and only if O(k) divides 2n-3, where O(k) denotes the order of k in A.

*Proof.* Let  $K_n$  be the complete graph with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3 \\ \dots, v_n\}$ . We have  $K_n \in \Gamma_k(A)$ , means there exist an IAML f with f(v) = k, for all  $v \in V(K_n)$ . Also by the theorem 2.9, we have f is an IAML of  $K_n$  if and only if  $(n-3)f(v) = -[f(v_1) + f(v_2) + f(v_3) + \dots + f(v_n)]$ , for all  $v \in V(K_n)$ . Thus  $K_n \in \Gamma_k(A)$  if and only if (n-3)k = -nk, that is if and only if (2n-3)k = 0, that is if and only if O(k) divides 2n-3 in A. Completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.11.**  $K_{m,n} \in \Gamma_k(A)$  if and only if O(k) divides 2m - 1 and O(k) divides 2n - 1, where O(k) denotes the order of k in A.

*Proof.* Let  $V(K_{m,n}) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \cdots, v_m, u_1, u_2, u_3, \cdots, u_n\}$ with each  $(v_i u_i) \in E(K_{m,n})$ , for  $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$ . Suppose  $K_{m,n} \in \Gamma_k(A)$ , then we have there exist an IAML f with  $f(v_i u_j) = k$ , for  $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$ .Now f is an IAML of  $K_{m,n}$  implies  $k = f(v_1) = f^{**}(v_1) = 2nk$ , since  $f^*(v_1u_j) = 2k$ for  $1 \le j \le n$ , that is (2n-1)k = 0 in A, which implies O(k) divides 2n - 1. similarly by considering the equation  $f(u_1) = f^{**}(u_1)$  we get  $k = f(u_1) = f^{**}(u_1) = 2mk$ , that is (2m-1)k = 0 in A, which implies O(k) divides 2m-1. Conversely suppose that O(k) divides 2m-1 and O(k) divides 2n - 1. Consider the vertex label  $f(v_i) = k = f(u_i)$ , for  $v_i, u_j \in V(K_{m,n}), 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$ . Then  $f^*(v_i, u_j) = 2k$ for  $1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n$ . There for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m, f^{**}(v_i) =$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f^*(v_i u_j) = 2nk = k$ , since O(k) divides 2n - 1. Thus we have  $f^{**}(v_i) = f(v_i) = k$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ . In a similar way, we have  $f^{**}(u_j) = f(u_j) = k$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Hence we have  $f = f^{**}$ , Thus we get  $K_{m,n} \in \Gamma_k(A)$ . This concludes the proof. 

#### References

- <sup>[1]</sup> R. Balakrishnan and K. Ranganathan, A Textbook of Graph Theory, Springer, 2012.
- [2] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA,1972.
- <sup>[3]</sup> Chartrand G, Zhang P, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, McGraw-Hill, Boston; 2005.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ISSN(P):2319 – 3786 Malaya Journal of Matematik ISSN(O):2321 – 5666 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

