Malaya
Journal of
MatematikMJM
an international journal of mathematical sciences with
computer applications...



Invariant solutions of Barlett and Whitaker's equations

Mehdi Nadjafikhah^{*a*} and Omid Chekini^{*b*,*}

^{a,b} School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak-16, Tehran, I.R.Iran.

Abstract

www.malayajournal.org

Lie symmetry group method is applied to study the Barlett and Whitaker's equations. The symmetry group and its optimal system are given, and group invariant solutions associated to the symmetries are obtained. Finally the structure of the Lie algebra symmetries is determined.

Keywords: Lie group analysis, Symmetry group, Optimal system, Invariant solution.

2010 MSC: 58G35, 35C05, 22E70.

©2012 MJM. All rights reserved.

1 Introduction

Enzymes electrodes are powerful tools for understanding the mechanism and kinetics of fast reactions. Owing to their specicity and sensitivity, enzyme elec-trodes including various amplication, schemes have been developed for many applications such as electrochemical immunoassays, [1, 2] water pollutant detection, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and monitoring of biological metabolities [8, 9, 10, 11]. The sensitivity of enzyme electrodes is very often increased by incorporation of a substrate-recycling scheme and several strategies including chemical, enzymatic, or electrochemical recycling have been developed. In the view of numerous application of such bio-sensor with amplied response, we are interested in investigating the concentration *s* and *p* in order to improve the metrological characteristics further.

In addition, this theoretical approach is of practical interest since this kind of bio-sensor can be used for the determination of phenolic compounds and catecholamine neurotransmitters in the field of environmental control and clinical analysis [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Such a theoretical and kinetic analysis is a powerful approach to rationalize functions of biosensors. Desprez and Labbe [23] obtained the analytical expression concentration and current for the limiting cases only. The purpose of this communication is to derive a simple accurate polynomial expressions of concentrations generated at a enzyme electrode using Lie Symmetries.

2 Lie Symmetry of the System

We consider the BWEs (Barlett and Whitaker's equations) [24], Desprez and Labbe [23], describing the concentrations of s and p at steady state as follows (with one independent and two dependent):

BWEs :
$$\frac{d^2s}{dx^2} - \frac{\gamma s}{\alpha s + 1} = 0, \qquad \frac{d^2p}{dx^2} + \frac{\gamma s}{\alpha s + 1} = 0,$$
 (2.1)

where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{K_s}, \qquad \Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{mK_s}{K_c E_t}},$$
(2.2)

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: m_nadjafikhah@iust.ac.ir (Mehdi Nadjafikhah), omid_chgini@mathdep.iust.ac.ir (Omid Chekini).

x is varible, *s* and *p* are functions, and γ , Λ , α , K_s , *m*, K_c , and E_t are constants. Let

$$\mathbf{v} = \xi(x, s, p)\partial_x + \tau(x, s, p)\partial_s + \varphi(x, s, p)\partial_p,$$
(2.3)

be a general vector field on the space of independent and dependent variables. we need the second prolongation:

$$\Pr^{(2)}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \tau^x \partial_{s_x} + \varphi^x \partial_{p_x} + \tau^{xx} \partial_{s_{xx}} + \varphi^{xx} \partial_{p_{xx}}, \tag{2.4}$$

of **v**, with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{x} &= \tau_{x} + \tau_{p}p_{x} + \tau_{s}s_{x} - s_{x}\xi_{x} - s_{x}\xi_{p}p_{x} - \xi_{s}s_{x}^{2}, \\ \varphi^{x} &= \varphi_{x} + \varphi_{p}p_{x} + \varphi_{s}s_{x} - p_{x}\xi_{x} - \xi_{p}p_{x}^{2} - p_{x}\xi_{s}s_{x}, \\ \tau^{xx} &= 2\tau_{xp}p_{x} + 2\tau_{xs}s_{x} - s_{x}\xi_{xx} - 2\xi_{xs}s_{x}^{2} + \tau_{pp}p_{x}^{2} + p_{xx}\tau_{p} + \tau_{ss}s_{x}^{2} - \xi_{ss}s_{x}^{3} + s_{xx}\tau_{s} \\ &- 2s_{xx}\xi_{x} - 2s_{x}\xi_{xp}p_{x} + 2p_{x}\tau_{sp}s_{x} - s_{x}\xi_{pp}p_{x}^{2} - 2p_{x}\xi_{sp}s_{x}^{2} - p_{xx}\xi_{p}s_{x} \\ &- 3s_{xx}\xi_{s}s_{x} - 2s_{xx}\xi_{p}p_{x} + \tau_{xx}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5)$$

$$\varphi^{xx} &= 2\varphi_{xp}p_{x} + 2\varphi_{xs}s_{x} - p_{x}\xi_{xx} - 2\xi_{xp}p_{x}^{2} + \varphi_{pp}p_{x}^{2} - \xi_{pp}p_{x}^{3} + p_{xx}\varphi_{p} - 2p_{xx}\xi_{x} + \varphi_{ss}s_{x}^{2} \\ &+ s_{xx}\varphi_{s} - 2p_{x}\xi_{xs}s_{x} + 2p_{x}\varphi_{sp}s_{x} - 2s_{x}\xi_{sp}p_{x}^{2} - 3p_{xx}\xi_{p}p_{x} - 2p_{xx}\xi_{s}s_{x} \\ &- p_{x}\xi_{ss}s_{x}^{2} - s_{xx}\xi_{s}p_{x} + \varphi_{xx}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $Pr^{(2)}\mathbf{v}$ to equations (2.1), we find the infinitesimal criterion system. determining equations yields:

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{ss} &= \tau_{p,p} = \xi_{ss} = \xi_{p,p} = \xi_{sp} = 0, \\ \tau_{sp} - \xi_{xp} &= \tau_{ss} - 2\xi_{xs} = 2\xi_{xp} - \varphi_{p,p} = \xi_{xs} - \varphi_{sp} = 0, \\ -2sK_cE_t\xi_p + 2\tau_{xp}mK_s + 2\tau_{xp}ms = 2sK_cE_t\xi_s + 2\varphi_{xs}mK_s + 2\varphi_{xs}ms = 0, \\ 2\tau_{xs}mK_s + 2\tau_{xs}ms - 3sK_cE_t\xi_s - \xi_{xx}mK_s - \xi_{xx}ms + KcE_ts\xi_p = 0, \\ 3sK_cE_t\xi_p - sK_cE_t\xi_s + 2\varphi_{xp}mK_s + 2\varphi_{xp}ms - \xi_{xx}mK_s - \xi_{xx}ms = 0, \\ -\tau K_cE_tK_s - 2K_cE_ts\xi_xK_s - 2K_cE_ts^2\xi_x - K_cE_ts\tau_pK_s \\ -K_cE_ts^2\tau_p + \tau_{xx}mK_s^2 + 2\tau_{xx}mK_ss + \tau_{xx}ms^2 + K_cE_ts\tau_sK_s + K_cE_ts^2\tau_s = 0, \\ \tau K_cE_tK_s - K_cE_ts\varphi_pK_s - K_cE_ts^2\varphi_p + 2K_cE_ts\xi_xK_s + 2K_cE_ts^2\xi_x \\ + K_cE_ts\varphi_sK_s + K_cE_ts^2\varphi_s + \varphi_{xx}mK_s^2 + 2\varphi_{xx}mK_ss + \varphi_{xx}ms^2 = 0. \end{split}$$

The solution of the above system gives the following coefficients of the vector field **v**:

$$\varphi = C_2 x + C_4 (s + p) + C_3, \qquad \tau = 0, \qquad \xi = C_1,$$
(2.7)

where C_1, \dots, C_4 are arbitrary constants; Thus the Lie algebra **G** of the electoenzymatic processes involved in a PPO-rotating-disk-bioelectrode equation is spanned by the four vector fields

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \partial_x, \qquad \mathbf{v}_2 = x\partial_p, \qquad \mathbf{v}_3 = \partial_p, \qquad \mathbf{v}_4 = (s+p)\partial_p.$$
 (2.8)

The commutator table of **G** is

[,]	v ₁	v ₂	v ₃	\mathbf{v}_4
\mathbf{v}_1	0	v ₃	0	0
\mathbf{v}_2	$-\mathbf{v}_3$	0	0	\mathbf{v}_2
v ₃	0	0	0	v ₃
\mathbf{v}_4	0	$-\mathbf{v}_2$	$-\mathbf{v}_3$	0

Table 1. Commutation relations satisfied by infinitesimal generators

Thus, **G** is a solvabel algebra with derived series $\mathbf{G} \ge \mathbf{G}^{(1)} \ge \{0\}$, where $\mathbf{G}^{(1)} = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\} \cong R^2$, and $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^{(1)} \cong R^2$ are abelian, thus **G** is semidirect product of R^2 by itself.

The one-parameter groups G_i generated by the base of **G** are given in the following table.

$$G_{1} : (x, s, p) \longmapsto (x + \varepsilon, s, p),$$

$$G_{2} : (x, s, p) \longmapsto (x, s, x\varepsilon + p),$$

$$G_{3} : (x, s, p) \longmapsto (x, s, p + \varepsilon),$$

$$G_{4} : (x, s, p) \longmapsto (x, s, -s + e^{\varepsilon}(s + p)).$$

$$(2.9)$$

Since each group G_i is a symmetry group and if s = S(x), p = P(x) are solutions of the equations (2.1), so are the functions

1)
$$s = S(x - \varepsilon), \quad p = P(x - \varepsilon),$$

2) $s = S(x), \quad p = P(x) + x\varepsilon,$
3) $s = S(x), \quad p = P(x) + \varepsilon,$
4) $s = S(x), \quad p = e^{\varepsilon}(S(x) + P(x)) - S(x),$
(2.10)

where ε is a real number.

3 Optimal system of (2.1)

As is well known, the theoretical Lie group method plays an important role in finding exact solutions and performing symmetry reductions of differential equations. Since any linear combination of infinitesimal generators is also an infinitesimal generator, there are always infinitely many different symmetry subgroups for the differential equation. So, a mean of determining which subgroups would give essentially different types of solutions is necessary and significant for a complete understanding of the invariant solutions. As any transformation in the full symmetry group maps a solution to another solution, it is sufficient to find invariant solutions which are not related by transformations in the full symmetry group, this has led to the concept of an optimal system. The problem of finding an optimal system of subgroups is equivalent to that of finding an optimal system of subalgebras. For one-dimensional subalgebras, this classification problem is essentially the same as the problem of classifying the orbits of the adjoint representation. This problem is attacked by the naive approach of taking a general element in the Lie algebra and subjecting it to various adjoint transformations so as to simplify it as much as possible. One of the applications of the adjoint representation is classifying group-invariant solutions.

The adjoint action is given by the Lie series

$$\mathbf{Ad}(\exp(\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_i)\mathbf{v}_j) = \mathbf{v}_j - \varepsilon[\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}[\mathbf{v}_i, [\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j]] - \cdots$$
(3.1)

where $[\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j]$ is a commutator for the Lie algebra, ε is a parameter, and $i, j = 1, \dots, 4$. The adjoint table

		-	-	
[,]	\mathbf{v}_1	v ₂	v ₃	\mathbf{v}_4
\mathbf{v}_1	\mathbf{v}_1	$\mathbf{v}_2 - \epsilon \mathbf{v}_3$	v ₃	\mathbf{v}_4
\mathbf{v}_2	$\mathbf{v}_1 + \epsilon \mathbf{v}_3$	\mathbf{v}_2	\mathbf{v}_3	$\mathbf{v}_4 - \epsilon \mathbf{v}_2$
\mathbf{v}_3	\mathbf{v}_1	\mathbf{v}_2	\mathbf{v}_3	$\mathbf{v}_4 - \epsilon \mathbf{v}_3$
\mathbf{v}_4	\mathbf{v}_1	$e^{\epsilon}\mathbf{v}_2$	$e^{\epsilon}\mathbf{v}_3$	\mathbf{v}_4

Table 2. Adjoint relations satisfied by infinitesimal generators

with (i, j)-th entry indicating $\mathbf{Ad}(\exp(\epsilon \mathbf{v}_i)\mathbf{v}_j)$ and ϵ is a real number. Here we can find the general group of the symmetries by considering a general linear combination $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_4\mathbf{v}_4$ of the given vector fields. In particular if g is the action of the symmetry group near the identity, it can be represented in the form $g = \exp(c_1\mathbf{v}_1) \circ \cdots \circ \exp(c_4\mathbf{v}_4)$.

Let F_i^{ε} : **G** \longrightarrow **G** defined by **v** \longrightarrow **Ad**(exp($\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_i$)**v**) is a linear map, for i = 1, ..., 4. The matrices M_i^{ε} of F_i^{ε} , i = 1, ..., 4, with respect to basis {**v**₁, ..., **v**₄} are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.2)

respectively, by acting these matrices on a vector field \mathbf{v} alternatively we can show that a one-dimensional optimal system of \mathbf{G} is given by

1) \mathbf{v}_1 , 2) \mathbf{v}_3 , 3) $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$, 4) $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2$, 5) $\mathbf{v}_1 + a\mathbf{v}_2$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. (3.3)

4 Conclusion

In this article group classification of (2.1) and the algebraic structure of the symmetry group is considered. Classification of one-dimensional subalgebra is determined by constructing one-dimensional optimal system. The structure of Lie algebra symmetries is analyzed.

References

- Kronkvist, K., Wallentin, K., Johansson, G, Selective enzyme amplification of NAD+/NADH using coimmobilized glycerol dehydrogenase and diaphorase with amperometric detection, Analytical chimica Acta, (1994), 290-335.
- [2] Kotte, H., Grundig, B., Vorlop, K. D., Strehlitz, B. and Stottmeister, U, Methylphenazonium-modified enzyme sensor based on polymer thick films for subnanomolar detection of phenols, Analytical chemistry, 67(1995), 65-70.
- [3] Wang, J., Lu, J., Ly, S.Y., Vuki, M., Tian, B., Adeniyi, W.K. and Armendariz, R.A, Lab-on-a-Cable for electrochemical monitoring of phenolic contaminants. Analytical chemistry, 72(2000), 2659-2663.
- [4] Zhenjiu, L., Deng, J. and Li. D, A new tyrosinase biosensor based on tailoring the porosity of Al2O3 sol-gel to co- immobilize tyrosinase and the mediator, Analytical chimica Acta, 407(2000), 87-96.
- [5] Russell, I. M. and Burton, S. G. Development and demonstration of an immobilised-polyphenol oxidase bioprobe for the detection of phenolic pollutants in water, Analytical chimica Acta, 389(1999), 161-170.
- [6] Cosnier. S., Fombon. J.J., Labbe, P. and Limosin, D, Development of a PPO-poly (amphiphilic pyrrole) electrode for on site monitoring of phenol in aqueous effluents, Sensors and Actuators, B59(1999), 134-139.
- [7] Nistor, C., Emneus, J., Gorton, L. and Ciucu, A, Improved stability and altered selectivity of tyrosinase based graphite electrodes for detection of phenolic compounds, Analytical chimica Acta, 387(1999), 309-326.
- [8] Forzani, E.S., Rivas, G.A. and Solis, V.M, Kinetic behaviour of dopamine-polyphenol oxidase on electrodes of tetrathiafulvalenium tetracyanoquinodi methanide and tetracyan oquinodi methane species V. M, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 461(1999), 174-183.
- [9] Moore, T.J., Nam, G.G., Pipes, L.C. and Coury Jr, L.A, Chemically amplified voltammetric enzyme electrodes for oxidizable pharmaceuticals, Analytical Chemistry, 66(1994), 3158-3163.
- [10] Lisdat, F., Wollenberg, U., Paeschke, M. and Scheller, F.W, Sensitive catecholamine measurement using a monoenzymatic recycling system, Analytical chimica Acta, 368(1998), 233-241.
- [11] Forzani, E.S., Solis, V. and Calvo, E.J. (2000) Electrochemical behavior of polyphenol oxidase immobilized in self-assembled structures layer by layer with cationic polyallylamine. Analytical Chemisty, 72, 5300-5307.
- [12] Toyota, T., Kuan, S.S. and Guilbault, G.G. (1985) Determination of total protein in serum using a tyrosinase enzyme electrode. Anaytical chemistry, 57, 1925-1928.
- [13] Kulys, J. and Schmid, R.D, A Sensitive Enzyme Electrode for Phenol Monitoring, Analytical Letters, 23(1990), 589-597.

- [14] Wang, J. and Varughese, K, Polishable and robust biological electrode surfaces, Analytical chemistry, 62(1990), 318-320.
- [15] Skladal, P, Mushroom tyrosinase-modified carbon paste electrode as an amperometric biosensor for phenols, Collection of Czechoslovak Chemical Communications, 569(1991), 1427-1433.
- [16] Hall, G.F., Best, D.J. and Turner, A.P.F, The determination of p-cresol in chloroform with an enzyme electrode used in the organic phase, Analytical chimica Acta, 213(1988), 113-119.
- [17] Cosiner, S. and Innocent, C, A novel biosensor elaboration by electropolymerization of an adsorbed amphiphilic pyrrole-tyrosinase enzyme layer, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 328(1992), 361-366.
- [18] Wang, J., Nasser, N., Kwon and Cho, M.Y, Tissue bioelectrode for organic-phase enzymatic assays, Analytical chimica Acta, 2649(1992), 7-12.
- [19] Ortega, F., Dominguez, E., Pettersson J. and Gorton, L, Amperometric biosensor for the determination of phenolic compounds using a tyrosinase graphite electrode in a flow injection system, Journal of Biotechnology, 31(1993), 289-300.
- [20] Besombes, J. L., Cosnier, S., Labbe, P and Reverdy, G, Determination of Phenol and Chlorinated Phenolic Compounds Based on a PPO-Bioelectrode and Its Inhibition, Analytical Letters, 28(1995), 405-424.
- [21] Lutz, M., Burestedt, E., Emneus, J., Liden, H., Gobhadi, S., Gorton, L. and Marko-Varga, G, Effects of different additives on a tyrosinase based carbon paste electrode, Analytical chimica Acta, 305(1995), 8-17.
- [22] Onnerfjord, P., Emneus, J., Marko-Varga, G., Gorton, L., Ortega, F. and Dominguez, E, Tyrosinase graphite-epoxy based composite electrodes for detection of phenols, Biosensors Bioelectronics, 10(1995), 607-619.
- [23] Desprez, V. and Labbe, P, A Kinetic model for the electroenzymatic processd involved in polyphenoloxidase- based amperometric catechol sensors, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 415(1996), 191-195.
- [24] Bartlett, P.N. and Whitaker, R.G. Electrochemical immobilisation of enzymes: Part I. Theory, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 224(1987), 27-35.
- [25] Olver, P.J., Equivalence, invariant and symmetry, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [26] Olver, P.J., Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, Second edition, GTM, Vol. 107, Springer Verlage, New York, 1993.

Received: December 6, 2013; Accepted: February 16, 2014

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Website: http://www.malayajournal.org/